

## LARGE VALUES OF DIRICHLET POLYNOMIALS

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATION

This is a brief overview with proofs of the classical large values bound for Dirichlet polynomials

$$D(s) = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n n^{-s} \quad (1.1) \quad \text{eq:one1}$$

that is quoted in Guth-Maynard. Here the  $a_n$   $n = 1, \dots, N$  are complex numbers, where  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , and as usual with Dirichlet series and polynomials  $s = \sigma + it$  is a complex number with real and imaginary parts  $\sigma$  and  $t$ . We will suppose that  $T$  is a real number with

$$T \geq 1, \quad (1.2) \quad \text{eq:one2}$$

$R \in \mathbb{N}$  and the  $s_r = \sigma_r + it_r$  with  $1 \leq r \leq R$  are  $R$  complex numbers which satisfy

$$0 \leq \sigma_0 \leq \sigma_r \leq 1 \text{ and } |t_q - t_r| \geq 1 \quad (1 \leq q < r \leq R) \quad (1.3) \quad \text{eq:one3}$$

for some  $\sigma_0 \in [0, 1]$ .

We note that  $R - 1 \leq \max_r t_r - \min_r t_r \leq T$ , so that

$$R \leq T + 1. \quad (1.4) \quad \text{eq:one4}$$

We also introduce the peculiar notation

$$G = \sum_{n=1}^N |a_n|^2 n^{-2\sigma_0}. \quad (1.5) \quad \text{eq:one5}$$

We are in particular concerned with bounding the number

$$R(V) = \text{card}\{1 \leq r \leq R : |D(s_r)| \geq V\} \quad (1.6) \quad \text{eq:one6}$$

where  $V$  is a positive parameter at our disposal, in terms of  $N, T, C, V$ . Such estimates are largely equivalent to bounds for

$$\sum_{r=1}^R |D(s_r)|^2$$

as can be seen as follows. We have

$$R(V) \leq V^{-2} \sum_{r=1}^R |D(s_r)|^2 \quad (1.7) \quad \text{eq:one7}$$

and

$$\sum_{r=1}^R |D(s_r)|^2 \leq RV^2 + \int_V^{\mathcal{D}} 2XR(X)dx \quad (1.8) \quad \boxed{\text{eq:one8}}$$

where  $\mathcal{D} = \max_r |D(s_r)|$ .

## 2. THE SPECIAL CASE

To simplify matters we suppose to begin with that  $\sigma_r = \sigma_0 = 0$ .

thm:two1 **Theorem 2.1.** *Assume the above notation and that  $\sigma_r = 0$  ( $r = 1, \dots, R$ ). Then*

$$\sum_{r=1}^R |D(it_r)|^2 \ll (T + N)G \log(2N), \quad (2.1) \quad \boxed{\text{eq:two1}}$$

$$\sum_{r=1}^R |D(it_r)|^2 \ll (RT^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log(2T))^2 + N)G, \quad (2.2) \quad \boxed{\text{eq:two2}}$$

$$\sum_{r=1}^R |D(it_r)|^2 \ll (R^{\frac{2}{3}}T^{\frac{1}{3}}N^{\frac{1}{3}}(\log(2T))^{\frac{4}{3}} + N)G, \quad (2.3) \quad \boxed{\text{eq:two3}}$$

We remark that by working a bit harder some of the logarithmic powers can be reduced.

*Proof.* To prove eq:two1 (2.1) we use a method introduced by Gallager. We have

$$D(it)^2 - D(iu)^2 = \int_u^t 2iD(iv)D'(iv)dv$$

so that

$$|D(it_r)|^2 \leq \int_{t_r - \frac{1}{2}}^{t_r + \frac{1}{2}} |D(iu)|^2 du + \int_{t_r - \frac{1}{2}}^{t_r + \frac{1}{2}} |D(iv)D'(iv)| dv$$

and

$$\sum_{r=1}^R |D(it_r)|^2 \leq \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{T+\frac{1}{2}} |D(iu)|^2 du + \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{T+\frac{1}{2}} |D(iv)D'(iv)| dv.$$

We also have

$$\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{T+\frac{1}{2}} |D(iu)|^2 du = \sum_{n=1}^N |a_n|^2 (T+1) \sum_{m=1}^N \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq m}}^N \frac{b_m \bar{b}_n - c_m \bar{c}_n}{-i \log(m/n)}$$

where

$$b_n = a_n n^{-i(T+1/2)}, \quad c_n = a_n n^{i/2}.$$

Hence, by Hilbert's inequality

$$\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{T+\frac{1}{2}} |D(iu)|^2 du \ll \sum_{n=1}^N |a_n|^2 (T+n) \ll G(T+N).$$

Similarly

$$\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{T+\frac{1}{2}} |D'(iv)|^2 dv \ll \sum_{n=1}^N |a_n|^2 (\log n)^2 (T+n) \ll G(T+N) (\log N)^2$$

so (2.1) follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. To prove (2.2) we invoke the duality lemma.

**lem:two1**

**Lemma 2.2** (Duality). *Let  $A = [c_{mn}]$  be a fixed  $M \times N$  matrix with complex entries. The following three assertions concerning the non-negative constant  $\lambda$  are equivalent.*

(i) For any  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^N$ ,

$$\sum_{m=1}^M \left| \sum_{n=1}^N c_{mn} z_n \right|^2 \leq \lambda^2 \sum_{n=1}^N |z_n|^2;$$

(ii) For any  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^N$  and any  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{C}^M$ ,

$$\left| \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^N c_{mn} z_n w_m \right| \leq \Delta \left( \sum_{n=1}^N |z_n|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{m=1}^M |w_m|^2 \right)^{1/2};$$

(iii)

For any  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{C}^M$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \left| \sum_{m=1}^M c_{mn} w_m \right|^2 \leq \lambda^2 \sum_{m=1}^M |w_m|^2.$$

*Proof of Lemma 2.2.* We show that (i) and (ii) are equivalent. Then by interchanging the roles of  $m$  and  $n$  it is clear that (ii) and (iii) are equivalent.

(i)  $\implies$  (ii). By Cauchy's inequality

$$\left| \sum_m \left( \sum_n c_{mn} x_n \right) y_m \right| \leq \left( \sum_m \left| \sum_n c_{mn} x_n \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_m |y_m|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

In the first factor on the right we insert the bound provided by (i), and we obtain (ii).

(ii)  $\implies$  (i). Set

$$w_m = \sum_{n=1}^N c_{mn} z_n,$$

and let  $S$  denote the left and side of (i). Then  $S = \sum_n c_{mn} z_n \overline{w_m}$ , and by (ii) we see that

$$S \leq \Delta \left( \sum_{n=1}^N |z_n|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{m=1}^M |w_m|^2 \right)^{1/2} = \lambda \left( \sum_{n=1}^N |z_n|^2 \right)^{1/2} S^{1/2}.$$

If  $S = 0$ , then (ii) is obviously satisfied. Otherwise  $S > 0$ , and we may square both sides above and divide by  $S$  to obtain (i).  $\square$

We now return to the proof of [\(2.2\)](#). By the duality lemma it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \left| \sum_{r=1}^R b_r n^{-it_r} \right|^2 \ll (RT^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log(2T))^2 + N) \sum_{r=1}^R |b_r|^2. \quad (2.4) \quad \text{eq:two4}$$

It is convenient to insert the smooth weights  $2(1 - n/(2N))$  on the left and extend the summation to  $2N$ . Then we treat the left hand side by multiplying out and inverting the order. Hence we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \left| \sum_{r=1}^R b_r n^{-it_r} \right|^2 \leq 2 \sum_{q=1}^R \sum_{r=1}^R b_q \bar{b}_r S(t_r - t_s). \quad (2.5) \quad \text{eq:two5}$$

where

$$S(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{2N} (1 - n/(2N)) n^{it}.$$

The terms with  $q = r$  contribute

$$\sum_{r=1}^R |b_r|^2 \left( N - \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

For the remaining terms we observe that

$$S(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty} \zeta(w - it) \frac{(2N)^w}{w(w+1)} dw.$$

We assume  $|t| \leq T$  and let  $\theta = c/\log(2+|t|)$  where  $c$  is a small constant, sufficiently small to ensure that  $\theta \leq \frac{1}{4}$ . Then we move the vertical path to the line  $\text{Re } w = -\theta$ , picking up residues from  $w = 1 + it$  and  $w = 0$ . From known estimates for the zeta function we obtain

$$S(t) = \frac{(2N)^{1+it}}{(1+it)(2+it)} + \zeta(-it) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\theta-i\infty}^{-\theta+i\infty} \zeta(w - it) \frac{(2N)^w}{w(w+1)} dw.$$

We have

$$\zeta(-it) \ll (1 + |t|)^{\frac{1}{2}} \log(2 + |t|).$$

and by the functional equation and the bound

$$\zeta(w - it) = \rho(w - it)\zeta(1 + \theta - iv - it) \ll (1 + |v| + |t|)^{\frac{1}{2} + \theta} \theta^{-1}$$

we obtain

$$S(t) \ll \frac{N}{1 + |t|^2} + (1 + |t|)^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^2(1 + |t|).$$

Thus, by [\(2.5\)](#),<sup>[eq:two5](#)</sup>

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \left| \sum_{r=1}^R b_r n^{-it_r} \right|^2 \ll \sum_{q=1}^R |b_q|^2 \left( N + \sum_{\substack{r=1 \\ r \neq q}}^R \left( \frac{N}{1 + |t_q - t_r|^2} + T^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^2(2T) \right) \right),$$

and therefore [\(2.4\)](#),<sup>[eq:two4](#)</sup> and so [\(2.2\)](#),<sup>[eq:two2](#)</sup> follow.

The inequality [\(2.3\)](#),<sup>[eq:two3](#)</sup> will follow from [\(2.2\)](#),<sup>[eq:two2](#)</sup> by a process of divide and rule! If we should have

$$N > (R^{\frac{2}{3}} T^{\frac{1}{3}} N^{\frac{1}{3}} (\log(2T))^{\frac{4}{3}})$$

then we would have

$$N > RT^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log(2T))^2$$

and the desired bound follows immediately from [\(2.2\)](#).<sup>[eq:two2](#)</sup> Thus we may suppose that

$$N \leq (R^{\frac{2}{3}} T^{\frac{1}{3}} N^{\frac{1}{3}} (\log(2T))^{\frac{4}{3}}).$$

Let

$$T_1 = (\log(2T))^{-\frac{4}{3}} (NT/R)^{2/3}$$

and divide the interval  $[0, T]$  into  $\lceil T/T_1 \rceil$  intervals of length  $\leq T_1$ . By the assumption on  $N$  we have

$$T_1 \leq T.$$

Note also that if we denote the  $j$ -th interval by  $I_j = [u_j, v_j]$  we can replace the  $a_n$  by  $a_n n^{-iu_j}$  and the  $t_r$  in the interval by  $t_r - u_j$  and then apply [\(2.2\)](#),<sup>[eq:two2](#)</sup> to the  $j$ -th interval. Let  $R_j$  denote the number of  $t_r \in I_j$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{r=1}^R |D(it_r)|^2 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\lceil T/T_1 \rceil} \sum_{\substack{r \\ t_r \in I_j}} |D(it_r)|^2 \\
&\ll \sum_{j=1}^{\lceil T/T_1 \rceil} (R_j T_1^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log(2T))^2 + N) G \\
&\ll (RT_1^{1/2} (\log T)^2 + NT/T_1) G \\
&\ll R^{\frac{2}{3}} T^{\frac{1}{3}} N^{\frac{1}{3}} (\log(2T))^{\frac{4}{3}} G
\end{aligned}$$

as required □

### 3. THE MAIN THEOREMS

We now advert to a general  $\sigma_0 \in [0, 1]$ .

thm:three1

**Theorem 3.1.** *Assume that  $\sigma_0 \in [0, 1]$  and  $\sigma_r \geq \sigma_0$  ( $r = 1, \dots, R$ ).*

*Then*

$$\sum_{r=1}^R |D(it_r)|^2 \ll (T + N) G \log^2(2N), \quad (3.1) \quad \text{eq:three1}$$

$$\sum_{r=1}^R |D(it_r)|^2 \ll (RT^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log(2T))^2 + N) G \log(2N), \quad (3.2) \quad \text{eq:three2}$$

$$\sum_{r=1}^R |D(it_r)|^2 \ll (R^{\frac{2}{3}} T^{\frac{1}{3}} N^{\frac{1}{3}} (\log(2T))^{\frac{4}{3}} + N) G \log(2N), \quad (3.3) \quad \text{eq:three3}$$

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the case  $\sigma_0 = 0$  because we can reduce to this case by replacing  $a_n$  by  $a_n n^{-\sigma_0}$  and  $\sigma_r$  by  $\sigma_r - \sigma_0$ .

We proceed by adapting Gallagher's idea to this situation. We have

$$n^{-\sigma_r} = 1 - \int_0^{\sigma_r} n^{-v} (\log n) dv$$

and so

$$D(s_r) = D(it_r) - \int_0^{\sigma_r} D'(v + it) dv.$$

Hence

$$|D(s_r)| \leq |D(it_r)| + \int_0^1 |D'(v + it)| dv$$

and therefore by the Cauchy-Schwarz' inequality

$$\sum_{r=1}^R |D(s_r)|^2 \ll \sum_{r=1}^R |D(it_r)|^2 + \int_0^1 \sum_{r=1}^R |D'(v + it_r)|^2 dv.$$

Assuming any one of the bounds  $\text{\eqref{two1}}, \text{\eqref{two2}}, \text{\eqref{two3}}$  with  $a_n$  replaced if necessary by  $a_n n^{-v}(\log n)$  we obtain

$$\sum_{r=1}^R |D(s_r)|^2 \ll \lambda \sum_{n=1}^N |a_n|^2 \left( 1 + \int_0^1 |a_n|^2 n^{-2v} (\log n)^2 dv \right)$$

where  $\lambda$  is the appropriate factor on the right of  $\text{\eqref{two1}}, \text{\eqref{two2}}$  or  $\text{\eqref{two3}}$ .  $\square$

We can now obtain explicit large values theorems.

**thm:three2**

**Theorem 3.2.** *With the notation of  $\text{\eqref{one1}}, \text{\eqref{one6}}$  in addition to the universal bound  $\text{\eqref{one4}}, R \leq T + 1$ , we have*

$$R(V) \ll (T + N)GV^{-2} \log(2N)$$

when

$$\frac{T + N}{T} G \log(2N) < V^2 \leq \left( \frac{TN}{T + N} \right)^{1/2} G (\log(2T))^2 \log(2N),$$

$$R(V) \ll \frac{TNG^3 (\log(2T))^4 (\log(2N))^3}{V^6}$$

when

$$\left( \frac{TN}{T + N} \right)^{1/2} G (\log(2T))^2 \log(2N) < V^2 \leq T^{1/2} G (\log(2T))^2 \log(2N),$$

and

$$R(V) \ll NGV^{-2} \log(2N)$$

when

$$T^{1/2} G (\log(2T))^2 \log(2N) < V^2.$$

*Proof.* The bound  $\text{\eqref{three1}}$  gives the first estimate at once. The estimate  $\text{\eqref{three3}}$  implies

$$R(V) \ll TNG^3 V^{-6} (\log(2T))^4 (\log(2N))^3 + NGV^{-2} \log(2N)$$

and this implies the second and third bounds.  $\square$