## MATH 597B, SPRING 2015, PROBLEMS 8

## Due Tuesday 17th March

1. Let f(n) be an arithmetic function such that f(1) = 1. Show that f is multiplicative if and only if f(m)f(n) = f((m, n))f([m, n]) for all pairs of positive integers m, n.

2. (Hooley (1972), Montgomery & Vaughan (1979)) By lower and upper bound sifting functions we mean functions  $\lambda^{\pm}:\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{R}$  with the properties

$$\sum_{m|n} \lambda_m^- \leq \sum_{m|n} \mu(m) \leq \sum_{m|n} \lambda_m^+$$

respectively.

(i) Let  $\lambda_d^+$  be an upper bound sifting function such that  $\lambda_d^+ = 0$  for all d > z. Show that for any q,

$$0 \le \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} \sum_{\substack{d \\ (d,q)=1}} \frac{\lambda_d^+}{d} \le \sum_d \frac{\lambda_d^+}{d}.$$

(Hint: Multiply both sides by  $P/\varphi(P) = \sum 1/m$  where m runs over all integers composed of the primes dividing P, and  $P = \prod_{p \leq z} p$ .) (ii) Let  $\Lambda_d$  be real with  $\Lambda_d = 0$  for d > z. Show that for any q,

$$0 \leq \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} \sum_{\substack{d, e \\ (de,q)=1}} \frac{\Lambda_d \Lambda_e}{[d, e]} \leq \sum_{d, e} \frac{\Lambda_d \Lambda_e}{[d, e]}.$$

(iii) Let  $\lambda_d^-$  be a lower bound sifting function such that  $\lambda_d^- = 0$  for d > z. Show that for any q,

$$\frac{\varphi(q)}{q} \sum_{\substack{d \\ (d,q)=1}} \frac{\lambda_d^-}{d} \ge \sum_d \frac{\lambda_d^-}{d}.$$