Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 4 Primitive Roots and RSA

Robert C. Vaughan

Primitiv Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

DC A

Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 4 Primitive Roots and RSA

Robert C. Vaughan

September 13, 2024

Primitive Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

 We have seen that on the residue classes modulo m we can perform many of the standard operations of arithmetic.

Primitive Roots

- We have seen that on the residue classes modulo m we can perform many of the standard operations of arithmetic.
- Such an object is called a ring. In this case it is usually denoted by $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ or \mathbb{Z}_m .

Primitive Roots

- We have seen that on the residue classes modulo m we can perform many of the standard operations of arithmetic.
- Such an object is called a ring. In this case it is usually denoted by $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ or \mathbb{Z}_m .
- In this chapter we will look at its multiplicative structure.

Primitive Roots

- We have seen that on the residue classes modulo m we can perform many of the standard operations of arithmetic.
- Such an object is called a ring. In this case it is usually denoted by $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ or \mathbb{Z}_m .
- In this chapter we will look at its multiplicative structure.
- In particular we will consider the reduced residue classes modulo m.

 An obvious question is what happens if we take powers of a fixed residue a?

Definition 1

Given $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, (a, m) = 1 we define the order $\operatorname{ord}_m(a)$ of a modulo m to be the smallest positive integer t such that

$$a^t \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$$
.

We may express this by saying that a belongs to the exponent t modulo m, or that t is the order of a modulo m.

 An obvious question is what happens if we take powers of a fixed residue a?

Definition 1

Given $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, (a, m) = 1 we define the order $\operatorname{ord}_m(a)$ of a modulo m to be the smallest positive integer t such that

$$a^t \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$$
.

We may express this by saying that a belongs to the exponent t modulo m, or that t is the order of a modulo m.

• Note that by Euler's theorem, $a^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$, so that $\operatorname{ord}_m(a)$ exists.

Primitive Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

We can do better than that.

Theorem 2

Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}$, (a, m) = 1 and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $a^n \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. Then $\operatorname{ord}_m(a)|n$. In particular $\operatorname{ord}_m(a)|\phi(m)$.

Primitive Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

We can do better than that.

Theorem 2

Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}$, (a, m) = 1 and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $a^n \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. Then $\operatorname{ord}_m(a)|n$. In particular $\operatorname{ord}_m(a)|\phi(m)$.

• **Proof.** For concision let $t = \operatorname{ord}_m(a)$.

Primitive Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

DC A

We can do better than that.

Theorem 2

Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}$, (a, m) = 1 and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $a^n \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. Then $\operatorname{ord}_m(a)|n$. In particular $\operatorname{ord}_m(a)|\phi(m)$.

- **Proof.** For concision let $t = \operatorname{ord}_m(a)$.
- Since t is minimal we have $t \leq n$.

Primitive Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

Theorem 2

Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}$, (a, m) = 1 and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $a^n \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. Then $\operatorname{ord}_m(a)|n$. In particular $\operatorname{ord}_m(a)|\phi(m)$.

- **Proof.** For concision let $t = \operatorname{ord}_m(a)$.
- Since t is minimal we have $t \leq n$.

We can do better than that.

 Thus by the division algorithm there are q and r with 0 < r < t such that n = tq + r.

Theorem 2

Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}$, (a, m) = 1 and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $a^n \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. Then $\operatorname{ord}_m(a)|n$. In particular $\operatorname{ord}_m(a)|\phi(m)$.

- **Proof.** For concision let $t = \operatorname{ord}_m(a)$.
- Since t is minimal we have $t \leq n$.
- Thus by the division algorithm there are q and r with $0 \le r < t$ such that n = tq + r.
- Hence

$$a^r \equiv (a^t)^q a^r = a^{qt+r} = a^n \equiv 1 \pmod{m}.$$

Theorem 2

Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}$, (a, m) = 1 and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $a^n \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. Then $\operatorname{ord}_m(a)|n$. In particular $\operatorname{ord}_m(a)|\phi(m)$.

- **Proof.** For concision let $t = \operatorname{ord}_m(a)$.
- Since t is minimal we have $t \leq n$.
- Thus by the division algorithm there are q and r with $0 \le r < t$ such that n = tq + r.
- Hence

$$a^r \equiv (a^t)^q a^r = a^{qt+r} = a^n \equiv 1 \pmod{m}.$$

• But $0 \le r < t$.

Theorem 2

Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}$, (a, m) = 1 and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $a^n \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. Then $\operatorname{ord}_m(a)|n$. In particular $\operatorname{ord}_m(a)|\phi(m)$.

- **Proof.** For concision let $t = \operatorname{ord}_m(a)$.
- Since t is minimal we have $t \leq n$.
- Thus by the division algorithm there are q and r with $0 \le r < t$ such that n = tq + r.
- Hence

$$a^r \equiv (a^t)^q a^r = a^{qt+r} = a^n \equiv 1 \pmod{m}.$$

- But $0 \le r < t$.
- If we would have r > 0, then we would contradict the minimality of t.

Theorem 2

Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}$, (a, m) = 1 and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $a^n \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. Then $\operatorname{ord}_m(a)|n$. In particular $\operatorname{ord}_m(a)|\phi(m)$.

- **Proof.** For concision let $t = \operatorname{ord}_m(a)$.
- Since t is minimal we have $t \leq n$.
- Thus by the division algorithm there are q and r with $0 \le r < t$ such that n = tq + r.
- Hence

$$a^r \equiv (a^t)^q a^r = a^{qt+r} = a^n \equiv 1 \pmod{m}.$$

- But $0 \le r < t$.
- If we would have r > 0, then we would contradict the minimality of t.
- Hence r = 0.

Primitive Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

RSA

Here is an application we will make use of later.

Theorem 3

Suppose that d|p-1. Then the congruence $x^d \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ has exactly d solutions.

Primitive Roots

Binomial Congruence and Discrete Logarithms

RSA

Here is an application we will make use of later.

Theorem 3

Suppose that d|p-1. Then the congruence $x^d \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ has exactly d solutions.

$$x^{p-1} - 1 = (x^d - 1)(x^{p-1-d} + x^{d-p-2d} + \dots + x^d + 1).$$

Here is an application we will make use of later.

Theorem 3

Suppose that d|p-1. Then the congruence $x^d \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ has exactly d solutions.

Proof. We have

$$x^{p-1} - 1 = (x^d - 1)(x^{p-1-d} + x^{d-p-2d} + \dots + x^d + 1).$$

 To see this just multiply out the right hand side and observe that the terms telescope. Here is an application we will make use of later.

Theorem 3

Suppose that d|p-1. Then the congruence $x^d \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ has exactly d solutions.

$$x^{p-1} - 1 = (x^d - 1)(x^{p-1-d} + x^{d-p-2d} + \dots + x^d + 1).$$

- To see this just multiply out the right hand side and observe that the terms telescope.
- We know from Euler's theorem that there are exactly p-1 incongruent roots to the left hand side modulo p.

• Here is an application we will make use of later.

Theorem 3

Suppose that d|p-1. Then the congruence $x^d \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ has exactly d solutions.

$$x^{p-1} - 1 = (x^d - 1)(x^{p-1-d} + x^{d-p-2d} + \dots + x^d + 1).$$

- To see this just multiply out the right hand side and observe that the terms telescope.
- We know from Euler's theorem that there are exactly p-1 incongruent roots to the left hand side modulo p.
- On the other hand, by Lagrange's theorem, the second factor has at most p-1-d such roots, so the first factor must account for at least d of them.

• Here is an application we will make use of later.

Theorem 3

Suppose that d|p-1. Then the congruence $x^d \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ has exactly d solutions.

$$x^{p-1}-1=(x^d-1)(x^{p-1-d}+x^{d-p-2d}+\cdots+x^d+1).$$

- To see this just multiply out the right hand side and observe that the terms telescope.
- We know from Euler's theorem that there are exactly p-1 incongruent roots to the left hand side modulo p.
- On the other hand, by Lagrange's theorem, the second factor has at most p-1-d such roots, so the first factor must account for at least d of them.
- On the other hand, again by Lagrange's theorem, it has at most *d* roots modulo *p*.

Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 4 Primitive Roots and RSA

Robert C. Vaughan

Primitive Roots

Binomial Congruence and Discret

• We have already seen that, when (a, m) = 1, a has order modulo m which divides $\phi(m)$.

Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 4 Primitive Roots and RSA

Robert C. Vaughan

Primitive Roots

Binomial Congruence and Discret Logarithms

DC A

- We have already seen that, when (a, m) = 1, a has order modulo m which divides $\phi(m)$.
- One question one can ask is, given any $d|\phi(m)$, are there elements of order d?

Primitive Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

DCA

- We have already seen that, when (a, m) = 1, a has order modulo m which divides $\phi(m)$.
- One question one can ask is, given any $d|\phi(m)$, are there elements of order d?
- In the special case $d = \phi(m)$ this would mean that

$$a, a^2, \ldots, a^{\phi(m)}$$

are distinct modulo m,

Primitive Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms • We have already seen that, when (a, m) = 1, a has order modulo m which divides $\phi(m)$.

- One question one can ask is, given any $d|\phi(m)$, are there elements of order d?
- In the special case $d = \phi(m)$ this would mean that

$$a, a^2, \ldots, a^{\phi(m)}$$

are distinct modulo m,

• because otherwise we would have

$$a^u \equiv a^v \pmod{m}$$

with
$$1 \le u < v \le \phi(m)$$
,

Primitive Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms • We have already seen that, when (a, m) = 1, a has order modulo m which divides $\phi(m)$.

• One question one can ask is, given any $d|\phi(m)$, are there elements of order d?

• In the special case $d=\phi(m)$ this would mean that

$$a, a^2, \ldots, a^{\phi(m)}$$

are distinct modulo m,

because otherwise we would have

$$a^u \equiv a^v \pmod{m}$$

with $1 \le u < v \le \phi(m)$,

and then

$$a^{v-u} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$$

and $1 \le v - u < \phi(m)$ contradicting the assumption that $\operatorname{ord}_m(a) = \phi(m)$.

Primitive Roots

Binomial Congruence and Discret

Consider

Example 4

m = 7.

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

Consider

$$m = 7$$
.

•
$$a = 1$$
, ord₇(1) = 1.

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

D.C. 4

Consider

$$m = 7$$
.

- a = 1, ord₇(1) = 1.
- a = 2, $2^2 = 4$, $2^3 = 8 \equiv 1$. $ord_7(2) = 3$.

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

DC A

Consider

$$m = 7$$
.

- a = 1, ord₇(1) = 1.
- a = 2, $2^2 = 4$, $2^3 = 8 \equiv 1$. ord₇(2) = 3.
- a = 3, $3^2 = 9 \equiv 2$, $3^3 = 27 \equiv 6$, $3^4 \equiv 18 \equiv 4$, $3^5 \equiv 12 \equiv 5$, $3^6 \equiv 1$, $\operatorname{ord}_7(3) = 6$.

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

DC A

Consider

$$m = 7$$
.

- a = 1, ord₇(1) = 1.
- a = 2, $2^2 = 4$, $2^3 = 8 \equiv 1$. $ord_7(2) = 3$.
- a = 3, $3^2 = 9 \equiv 2$, $3^3 = 27 \equiv 6$, $3^4 \equiv 18 \equiv 4$, $3^5 \equiv 12 \equiv 5$, $3^6 \equiv 1$, $\operatorname{ord}_7(3) = 6$.
- a = 4, $4^2 \equiv 2$, $4^3 \equiv 2^6 \equiv 1$, $ord_7(4) = 3$.

Consider

Example 4

m = 7.

- a = 1, ord₇(1) = 1.
- a = 2, $2^2 = 4$, $2^3 = 8 \equiv 1$. $ord_7(2) = 3$.
- a = 3, $3^2 = 9 \equiv 2$, $3^3 = 27 \equiv 6$, $3^4 \equiv 18 \equiv 4$, $3^5 \equiv 12 \equiv 5$, $3^6 \equiv 1$, $\operatorname{ord}_7(3) = 6$.
- a = 4, $4^2 \equiv 2$, $4^3 \equiv 2^6 \equiv 1$, $ord_7(4) = 3$.
- a = 5, $5^2 = 25 \equiv 4$, $5^3 \equiv 20 \equiv 6$, $5^4 \equiv 30 \equiv 2$, $5^5 \equiv 10 \equiv 3$, $5^6 \equiv 1$, $ord_7(5) = 6$.

DC A

Consider

Example 4

m = 7.

- a = 1, ord₇(1) = 1.
- a = 2, $2^2 = 4$, $2^3 = 8 \equiv 1$. ord₇(2) = 3.
- a = 3, $3^2 = 9 \equiv 2$, $3^3 = 27 \equiv 6$, $3^4 \equiv 18 \equiv 4$, $3^5 \equiv 12 \equiv 5$, $3^6 \equiv 1$, $\operatorname{ord}_7(3) = 6$.
- a = 4, $4^2 \equiv 2$, $4^3 \equiv 2^6 \equiv 1$, $ord_7(4) = 3$.
- a = 5, $5^2 = 25 \equiv 4$, $5^3 \equiv 20 \equiv 6$, $5^4 \equiv 30 \equiv 2$, $5^5 \equiv 10 \equiv 3$, $5^6 \equiv 1$, $ord_7(5) = 6$.
- a = 6, $6^2 = 36 \equiv 1$, $ord_7(6) = 2$.

Consider

$$m = 7$$
.

- a = 1, ord₇(1) = 1.
- a = 2, $2^2 = 4$, $2^3 = 8 \equiv 1$. $ord_7(2) = 3$.
- a = 3, $3^2 = 9 \equiv 2$, $3^3 = 27 \equiv 6$, $3^4 \equiv 18 \equiv 4$. $3^5 \equiv 12 \equiv 5, \ 3^6 \equiv 1, \ \text{ord}_7(3) = 6.$
- a = 4, $4^2 \equiv 2$, $4^3 \equiv 2^6 \equiv 1$, $ord_7(4) = 3$.
- a = 5, $5^2 = 25 \equiv 4$, $5^3 \equiv 20 \equiv 6$, $5^4 \equiv 30 \equiv 2$. $5^5 \equiv 10 \equiv 3, 5^6 \equiv 1, \text{ ord}_7(5) = 6.$
- a = 6, $6^2 = 36 \equiv 1$, $ord_7(6) = 2$.
- Thus there is one element of order 1. one element of order 2. two of order 3 and two of order 6.

DC/

Consider

Example 4

m = 7.

- a = 1, ord₇(1) = 1.
- a = 2, $2^2 = 4$, $2^3 = 8 \equiv 1$. ord₇(2) = 3.
- a = 3, $3^2 = 9 \equiv 2$, $3^3 = 27 \equiv 6$, $3^4 \equiv 18 \equiv 4$, $3^5 \equiv 12 \equiv 5$, $3^6 \equiv 1$, $\operatorname{ord}_7(3) = 6$.
- a = 4, $4^2 \equiv 2$, $4^3 \equiv 2^6 \equiv 1$, $\operatorname{ord}_7(4) = 3$.
- a = 5, $5^2 = 25 \equiv 4$, $5^3 \equiv 20 \equiv 6$, $5^4 \equiv 30 \equiv 2$, $5^5 \equiv 10 \equiv 3$, $5^6 \equiv 1$, $ord_7(5) = 6$.
- a = 6, $6^2 = 36 \equiv 1$, $ord_7(6) = 2$.
- Thus there is one element of order 1, one element of order 2, two of order 3 and two of order 6.
- Is it a fluke that for each $d|6 = \phi(7)$ the number of elements of order d is $\phi(d)$?

Primitive Roots

Binomial Congruence and Discrete Logarithms

DC A

We now come to an important concept

Definition 5

Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and (a, m) = 1. If $\operatorname{ord}_m(a) = \phi(m)$ then we say that a is a primitive root modulo m.

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

D.C. 4

We now come to an important concept

Definition 5

Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and (a, m) = 1. If $\operatorname{ord}_m(a) = \phi(m)$ then we say that a is a primitive root modulo m.

We know that we do not always have primitive roots.

We now come to an important concept

Definition 5

Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and (a, m) = 1. If $\operatorname{ord}_m(a) = \phi(m)$ then we say that a is a primitive root modulo m.

- We know that we do not always have primitive roots.
- For example, any number a with (a,8)=1 is odd and so $a^2\equiv 1\mod 8$, whereas $\phi(8)=4$.

We now come to an important concept

Definition 5

Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and (a, m) = 1. If $\operatorname{ord}_m(a) = \phi(m)$ then we say that a is a primitive root modulo m.

- We know that we do not always have primitive roots.
- For example, any number a with (a, 8) = 1 is odd and so $a^2 \equiv 1 \mod 8$, whereas $\phi(8) = 4$.
- There are primitive roots to some moduli. For example, modulo 7 the powers of 3 are successively 3, 2, 6, 4, 5, 1.

We now come to an important concept

Definition 5

Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and (a, m) = 1. If $\operatorname{ord}_m(a) = \phi(m)$ then we say that a is a primitive root modulo m.

- We know that we do not always have primitive roots.
- For example, any number a with (a,8)=1 is odd and so $a^2\equiv 1 \mod 8$, whereas $\phi(8)=4$.
- There are primitive roots to some moduli. For example, modulo 7 the powers of 3 are successively 3, 2, 6, 4, 5, 1.
- Gauss determined precisely which moduli possess primitive roots. The first step is the case of prime modulus.

Theorem 6 (Gauss)

Suppose that p is a prime number. Let d|p-1 then there are $\phi(d)$ residue classes a with $\operatorname{ord}_p(a) = d$. In particular there are $\phi(p-1) = \phi(\phi(p))$ primitive roots modulo p.

Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 4 Primitive Roots and RSA

Robert C. Vaughan

Primitive Roots

Binomial Congruence and Discrete Logarithms

_ _ .

Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 4 Primitive Roots and RSA

Robert C. Vaughan

Primitive Roots

Binomial Congruence and Discrete Logarithms

DC.

- **Proof of Gauss' Theorem** We have seen that the order of every reduced residue class modulo p divides p-1.
- For a given d|p-1 let $\psi(d)$ denote the number of reduced residues of order d modulo p.

Primitive Roots

Binomial Congruence and Discrete Logarithms

- **Proof of Gauss' Theorem** We have seen that the order of every reduced residue class modulo p divides p-1.
- For a given d|p-1 let $\psi(d)$ denote the number of reduced residues of order d modulo p.
- The congruence $x^d \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ has exactly d solutions.

Primitive Roots

Binomial Congruence and Discret Logarithms

- **Proof of Gauss' Theorem** We have seen that the order of every reduced residue class modulo p divides p-1.
- For a given d|p-1 let $\psi(d)$ denote the number of reduced residues of order d modulo p.
- The congruence $x^d \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ has exactly d solutions.
- Thus every solution has order dividing *d*.

Primitive Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

DCA

- **Proof of Gauss' Theorem** We have seen that the order of every reduced residue class modulo p divides p-1.
- For a given d|p-1 let $\psi(d)$ denote the number of reduced residues of order d modulo p.
- The congruence $x^d \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ has exactly d solutions.
- Thus every solution has order dividing *d*.
- Also each residue with order dividing *d* is a solution.

Primitive Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

- For a given d|p-1 let $\psi(d)$ denote the number of reduced residues of order d modulo p.
- The congruence $x^d \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ has exactly d solutions.
- Thus every solution has order dividing d.
- Also each residue with order dividing *d* is a solution.
- Thus for each d|p-1 we have $\sum_{r|d} \psi(r) = d$.

Primitive Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

- For a given d|p-1 let $\psi(d)$ denote the number of reduced residues of order d modulo p.
- The congruence $x^d \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ has exactly d solutions.
- Thus every solution has order dividing d.
- Also each residue with order dividing *d* is a solution.
- ullet Thus for each d|p-1 we have $\displaystyle\sum_{r|d}\psi(r)=d.$
- This is reminiscent of an earlier formula $\sum_{r|d} \phi(r) = d$.

Primitive Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

- For a given d|p-1 let $\psi(d)$ denote the number of reduced residues of order d modulo p.
- The congruence $x^d \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ has exactly d solutions.
- Thus every solution has order dividing d.
- Also each residue with order dividing *d* is a solution.
- ullet Thus for each d|p-1 we have $\displaystyle\sum_{r|d}\psi(r)=d.$
- This is reminiscent of an earlier formula $\sum_{r|d} \phi(r) = d$.
- Let $1 = d_1 < d_2 < \ldots < d_k = p-1$ be the divisors of p-1 in order.

Primitive Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

- For a given d|p-1 let $\psi(d)$ denote the number of reduced residues of order d modulo p.
- The congruence $x^d \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ has exactly d solutions.
- ullet Thus every solution has order dividing d.
- Also each residue with order dividing *d* is a solution.
- ullet Thus for each d|p-1 we have $\displaystyle\sum_{r|d}\psi(r)=d.$
- This is reminiscent of an earlier formula $\sum_{r|d} \phi(r) = d$.
- Let $1 = d_1 < d_2 < \ldots < d_k = p-1$ be the divisors of p-1 in order.
- We have a relationship $\sum_{r|d_j} \psi(r) = d_j$ for each $j=1,2,\ldots$ and, of course, the sum is over a subset of the divisors of

Primitive Roots

Binomial Congruence and Discrete Logarithms

We have a relationship

$$\sum_{r|d_j}\psi(r)=d_j$$

for each j=1,2,... where the sum is over the divisors of d_j and so is over a subset of the divisors of p-1.

Primitive Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

We have a relationship

$$\sum_{r|d_j}\psi(r)=d_j$$

for each j = 1, 2, ... where the sum is over the divisors of d_j and so is over a subset of the divisors of p - 1.

ullet I claim that these relationships determines $\psi(d_j)$ uniquely.

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

RSA

We have a relationship

$$\sum_{r|d_j}\psi(r)=d_j$$

for each j = 1, 2, ... where the sum is over the divisors of d_j and so is over a subset of the divisors of p - 1.

- I claim that these relationships determines $\psi(d_j)$ uniquely.
- We can prove this by observing that if N is the number of positive divisors of p-1, then we have N linear equations in the N unknowns $\psi(r)$ and we can we can write this in matrix notation

$$\psi \mathcal{U} = \mathsf{d}$$
.

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

RSA

We have a relationship

$$\sum_{r|d_j}\psi(r)=d_j$$

for each j = 1, 2, ... where the sum is over the divisors of d_j and so is over a subset of the divisors of p - 1.

- I claim that these relationships determines $\psi(d_j)$ uniquely.
- We can prove this by observing that if N is the number of positive divisors of p-1, then we have N linear equations in the N unknowns $\psi(r)$ and we can we can write this in matrix notation

$$\psi \mathcal{U} = \mathbf{d}$$
.

• Moreover \mathcal{U} is an upper triangular matrix with non-zero entries on the diagonal and so is invertible.

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

RSA

We have a relationship

$$\sum_{r|d_j}\psi(r)=d_j$$

for each j=1,2,... where the sum is over the divisors of d_j and so is over a subset of the divisors of p-1.

- I claim that these relationships determines $\psi(d_j)$ uniquely.
- We can prove this by observing that if N is the number of positive divisors of p-1, then we have N linear equations in the N unknowns $\psi(r)$ and we can we can write this in matrix notation

$$\psi \mathcal{U} = \mathbf{d}$$
.

- Moreover \mathcal{U} is an upper triangular matrix with non-zero entries on the diagonal and so is invertible.
- Hence the $\psi(d_i)$ are uniquely determined.

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

RSA

We have a relationship

$$\sum_{r|d_j}\psi(r)=d_j$$

for each j=1,2,... where the sum is over the divisors of d_j and so is over a subset of the divisors of p-1.

- ullet I claim that these relationships determines $\psi(d_j)$ uniquely.
- We can prove this by observing that if N is the number of positive divisors of p-1, then we have N linear equations in the N unknowns $\psi(r)$ and we can we can write this in matrix notation

$$\psi \mathcal{U} = \mathbf{d}$$
.

- Moreover \mathcal{U} is an upper triangular matrix with non-zero entries on the diagonal and so is invertible.
- Hence the $\psi(d_i)$ are uniquely determined.
- But we already know a solution, namely $\psi = \phi$.

Primitive Roots

Binomial Congruence and Discrete Logarithms

__.

• If we wish to avoid the linear algebra, starting from

$$\sum_{r|d_j}\psi(r)=d_j$$

for each $j=1,2,\ldots$ we can prove uniqueness by induction.

Primitive Roots

Binomial Congruence and Discrete Logarithms

DC A

• If we wish to avoid the linear algebra, starting from

$$\sum_{r|d_j}\psi(r)=d_j$$

for each $j=1,2,\ldots$ we can prove uniqueness by induction.

• For the base case we have $\psi(1) = 1$.

Primitive Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

• If we wish to avoid the linear algebra, starting from

$$\sum_{r|d_j}\psi(r)=d_j$$

for each j = 1, 2, ... we can prove uniqueness by induction.

- For the base case we have $\psi(1) = 1$.
- Then suppose that $\psi(d_1), \ldots, \psi(d_i)$ are determined.

Primitive Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms • If we wish to avoid the linear algebra, starting from

$$\sum_{r|d_j}\psi(r)=d_j$$

for each j = 1, 2, ... we can prove uniqueness by induction.

- For the base case we have $\psi(1) = 1$.
- Then suppose that $\psi(d_1), \ldots, \psi(d_j)$ are determined.
- Then we have

$$\sum_{r|d_{j+1}}\psi(r)=d_{j+1}.$$

• If we wish to avoid the linear algebra, starting from

$$\sum_{r|d_j}\psi(r)=d_j$$

for each j = 1, 2, ... we can prove uniqueness by induction.

- For the base case we have $\psi(1) = 1$.
- Then suppose that $\psi(d_1), \ldots, \psi(d_i)$ are determined.
- Then we have

$$\sum_{r|d_{j+1}}\psi(r)=d_{j+1}.$$

Hence

$$\psi(d_{j+1}) = d_{j+1} - \sum_{\substack{r \mid d_{j+1} \\ r < d_{j+1}}} \psi(r)$$

and every term on the right hand side is already determined.

DC/

• If we wish to avoid the linear algebra, starting from

$$\sum_{r|d_j}\psi(r)=d_j$$

for each j = 1, 2, ... we can prove uniqueness by induction.

- For the base case we have $\psi(1) = 1$.
- Then suppose that $\psi(d_1), \ldots, \psi(d_j)$ are determined.
- Then we have

$$\sum_{r|d_{j+1}}\psi(r)=d_{j+1}.$$

Hence

$$\psi(d_{j+1}) = d_{j+1} - \sum_{\substack{r \mid d_{j+1} \\ r < d_{j+1}}} \psi(r)$$

and every term on the right hand side is already determined.

 Thus we can conclude there is only one solution to our system of equations. Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 4 Primitive Roots and RSA

Primitive Roots

Robert C. Vaughan

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

If we wish to avoid the linear algebra, starting from

$$\sum_{r|d_j}\psi(r)=d_j$$

for each $j = 1, 2, \dots$ we can prove uniqueness by induction.

- For the base case we have $\psi(1) = 1$.
- Then suppose that $\psi(d_1), \ldots, \psi(d_i)$ are determined.
- Then we have

$$\sum_{r|d_{i+1}} \psi(r) = d_{j+1}.$$

• Hence

$$\psi(d_{j+1}) = d_{j+1} - \sum_{\substack{r \mid d_{j+1} \\ r < d_{j+1}}} \psi(r)$$

and every term on the right hand side is already determined.

- Thus we can conclude there is only one solution to our system of equations.
 - system of equations. • But we already know one solution, namely $\psi(r) = \phi(r)$.

• To get a better insight here is the proof in the special case p=13

Example 7

Here is the proof when p = 13, so we are concerned with the divisors of 12.

$$(\psi(1), \psi(2), \psi(3), \psi(4), \psi(6), \psi(12)) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= (1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 12)$$

Primitive Roots

Binomial Congruence and Discrete Logarithms

DC.

How about higher powers of odd primes?

Theorem 8 (Gauss)

We have primitive roots modulo m when m = 2, m = 4, $m = p^k$ and $m = 2p^k$ with p an odd prime and in no other cases.

Binomial Congruence and Discrete Logarithms

Logari

How about higher powers of odd primes?

Theorem 8 (Gauss)

We have primitive roots modulo m when m=2, m=4, $m=p^k$ and $m=2p^k$ with p an odd prime and in no other cases.

 In applications one can usually reduce via the Chinese Remainder Theorem to powers of primes.

Primitive Roots

How about higher powers of odd primes?

Theorem 8 (Gauss)

We have primitive roots modulo m when m = 2, m = 4, $m = p^k$ and $m = 2p^k$ with p an odd prime and in no other cases.

- In applications one can usually reduce via the Chinese Remainder Theorem to powers of primes.
- Thus the lack of primitive roots for higher powers of 2 us a nuisance.

Primitive Roots

Congruences and Discrete Logarithms How about higher powers of odd primes?

Theorem 8 (Gauss)

We have primitive roots modulo m when m = 2, m = 4, $m = p^k$ and $m = 2p^k$ with p an odd prime and in no other cases.

- In applications one can usually reduce via the Chinese Remainder Theorem to powers of primes.
- Thus the lack of primitive roots for higher powers of 2 us a nuisance.
- Nevertheless Gauss did prove something.

Theorem 9 (Gauss)

Suppose that $k \ge 3$. Then the numbers $(-1)^u 5^v$ with u = 0, 1 and $0 < v < 2^{k-2}$ form a set of reduced residues modulo 2^k

Primitive Roots

Congruence and Discrete Logarithms How about higher powers of odd primes?

Theorem 8 (Gauss)

We have primitive roots modulo m when m=2, m=4, $m=p^k$ and $m=2p^k$ with p an odd prime and in no other cases.

- In applications one can usually reduce via the Chinese Remainder Theorem to powers of primes.
- Thus the lack of primitive roots for higher powers of 2 us a nuisance.
- Nevertheless Gauss did prove something.

Theorem 9 (Gauss)

Suppose that $k \ge 3$. Then the numbers $(-1)^u 5^v$ with u = 0, 1 and $0 \le v < 2^{k-2}$ form a set of reduced residues modulo 2^k

• We will not need these results but I will include the proofs in the class text for anyone interested.

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

DC A

Binomial Congruences

 As an application of primitive roots we can say something when p is odd about the solution of congruences of the form

$$x^k \equiv a \pmod{p}$$
.

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

DC A

Binomial Congruences

 As an application of primitive roots we can say something when p is odd about the solution of congruences of the form

$$x^k \equiv a \pmod{p}$$
.

• The case a = 0 is easy.

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

DC A

Binomial Congruences

 As an application of primitive roots we can say something when p is odd about the solution of congruences of the form

$$x^k \equiv a \pmod{p}$$
.

- The case a = 0 is easy.
- The only solution is $x \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Binomial Congruences

 As an application of primitive roots we can say something when p is odd about the solution of congruences of the form

$$x^k \equiv a \pmod{p}$$
.

- The case a = 0 is easy.
- The only solution is $x \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.
- Suppose $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then pick a primitive root g modulo p, and a c so that $g^c \equiv a \pmod{p}$.

Binomial Congruences

 As an application of primitive roots we can say something when p is odd about the solution of congruences of the form

$$x^k \equiv a \pmod{p}$$
.

- The case a = 0 is easy.
- The only solution is $x \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.
- Suppose $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then pick a primitive root g modulo p, and a c so that $g^c \equiv a \pmod{p}$.
- Also, since any solution x will have $p \nmid x$ we can define y so that $g^y \equiv x \pmod{p}$.

Binomial Congruences

 As an application of primitive roots we can say something when p is odd about the solution of congruences of the form

$$x^k \equiv a \pmod{p}$$
.

- The case a = 0 is easy.
- The only solution is $x \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.
- Suppose $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then pick a primitive root g modulo p, and a c so that $g^c \equiv a \pmod{p}$.
- Also, since any solution x will have $p \nmid x$ we can define y so that $g^y \equiv x \pmod{p}$.
- Thus our congruence becomes

$$g^{ky} \equiv g^c \pmod{p}$$
.

 As an application of primitive roots we can say something when p is odd about the solution of congruences of the form

$$x^k \equiv a \pmod{p}$$
.

- The case a = 0 is easy.
- The only solution is $x \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.
- Suppose $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then pick a primitive root g modulo p, and a c so that $g^c \equiv a \pmod{p}$.
- Also, since any solution x will have $p \nmid x$ we can define y so that $g^y \equiv x \pmod{p}$.
- Thus our congruence becomes

$$g^{ky} \equiv g^c \pmod{p}$$
.

Hence it follows that

$$ky \equiv c \pmod{p-1}$$
.

Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 4 Primitive Roots and RSA

> Robert C. Vaughan

Primitiv Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

Ŭ

• We have turned a polynomial congruence into a linear one.

Primitiv Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

- ·

- We have turned a polynomial congruence into a linear one.
- This is a bit like using logarithms on real numbers.

$$x^k \equiv a \pmod{p}, g^{ky} \equiv g^c \pmod{p},$$
 $ky \equiv c \pmod{p-1}.$

Primitiv Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

RSΔ

- We have turned a polynomial congruence into a linear one.
- This is a bit like using logarithms on real numbers.

$$x^k \equiv a \pmod{p}, g^{ky} \equiv g^c \pmod{p},$$
 $ky \equiv c \pmod{p-1}.$

 Sometimes the exponents c and y are referred to as the discrete logarithms modulo p to the base g.

Primitiv Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

RSA

- We have turned a polynomial congruence into a linear one.
- This is a bit like using logarithms on real numbers.

$$x^k \equiv a \pmod{p}, g^{ky} \equiv g^c \pmod{p},$$

 $ky \equiv c \pmod{p-1}.$

- Sometimes the exponents c and y are referred to as the discrete logarithms modulo p to the base g.
- Computing them numerically is hard and there is a protocol (Diffie-Hellman) which uses them to exchange secure keys and passwords.

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

We have turned a polynomial congruence into a linear one.

This is a bit like using logarithms on real numbers.

$$x^k \equiv a \pmod{p}, g^{ky} \equiv g^c \pmod{p},$$
 $ky \equiv c \pmod{p-1}.$

- Sometimes the exponents c and y are referred to as the discrete logarithms modulo p to the base g.
- Computing them numerically is hard and there is a protocol (Diffie-Hellman) which uses them to exchange secure keys and passwords.
- Our new congruence is soluble if and only if (k, p-1)|c, and when this holds the y which satisfy it lie in a residue class modulo $\frac{p-1}{(k,p-1)}$, i.e. (k,p-1) different residue classes modulò $\ddot{p} - 1$.

Primitiv Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

RSA

• We have turned a polynomial congruence into a linear one.

• This is a bit like using logarithms on real numbers.

$$x^k \equiv a \pmod{p}, g^{ky} \equiv g^c \pmod{p},$$
 $ky \equiv c \pmod{p-1}.$

- Sometimes the exponents c and y are referred to as the discrete logarithms modulo p to the base g.
- Computing them numerically is hard and there is a protocol (Diffie-Hellman) which uses them to exchange secure keys and passwords.
- Our new congruence is soluble if and only if (k, p-1)|c, and when this holds the y which satisfy it lie in a residue class modulo $\frac{p-1}{(k,p-1)}$, i.e. (k, p-1) different residue classes modulo p-1.
- Thus, when $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ the original congruence is either insoluble or has (k, p-1) solutions.

Primitiv Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

RSA

• We have turned a polynomial congruence into a linear one.

• This is a bit like using logarithms on real numbers.

$$x^k \equiv a \pmod{p}, \ g^{ky} \equiv g^c \pmod{p},$$
 $ky \equiv c \pmod{p-1}.$

- Sometimes the exponents c and y are referred to as the discrete logarithms modulo p to the base g.
- Computing them numerically is hard and there is a protocol (Diffie-Hellman) which uses them to exchange secure keys and passwords.
- Our new congruence is soluble if and only if (k, p-1)|c, and when this holds the y which satisfy it lie in a residue class modulo $\frac{p-1}{(k,p-1)}$, i.e. (k, p-1) different residue classes modulo p-1.
- Thus, when $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ the original congruence is either insoluble or has (k, p-1) solutions.

Primitiv Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

RSA

- We have turned a polynomial congruence into a linear one.
- This is a bit like using logarithms on real numbers.

$$x^k \equiv a \pmod{p}, g^{ky} \equiv g^c \pmod{p},$$
 $ky \equiv c \pmod{p-1}.$

- Sometimes the exponents c and y are referred to as the discrete logarithms modulo p to the base g.
- Computing them numerically is hard and there is a protocol (Diffie-Hellman) which uses them to exchange secure keys and passwords.
- Our new congruence is soluble if and only if (k, p-1)|c, and when this holds the y which satisfy it lie in a residue class modulo $\frac{p-1}{(k,p-1)}$, i.e. (k,p-1) different residue classes modulo p-1.
- Thus, when $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ the original congruence is either insoluble or has (k, p-1) solutions.
- Thus we just proved a theorem.

• Thus we just proved a theorem.

Theorem 10

Suppose p is an odd prime. When $p \nmid a$ the congruence $x^k \equiv a \pmod{p}$ has 0 or (k, p-1) solutions, and the number of reduced residues a modulo p for which it is soluble is $\frac{p-1}{(k,p-1)}$.

Robert C. Vaughan

Primitiv Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

DCA

Robert C

• Thus we just proved a theorem.

Theorem 10

Suppose p is an odd prime. When $p \nmid a$ the congruence $x^k \equiv a \pmod{p}$ has 0 or (k, p-1) solutions, and the number of reduced residues a modulo p for which it is soluble is $\frac{p-1}{(k,p-1)}$.

The above theorem suggests the following.

Definition 11

Given a primitive root g and a reduced residue class a modulo m we define the discrete logarithm $\operatorname{dlog}_g(a)$, or index $\operatorname{ind}_g(a)$ to be that unique residue class l modulo $\phi(m)$ such that $g^l \equiv a \pmod{m}$

Vaughan

Primitiv Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

K5/

Robert C

Vaughan

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms • Thus we just proved a theorem.

Theorem 10

Suppose p is an odd prime. When $p \nmid a$ the congruence $x^k \equiv a \pmod{p}$ has 0 or (k, p-1) solutions, and the number of reduced residues a modulo p for which it is soluble is $\frac{p-1}{(k,p-1)}$.

The above theorem suggests the following.

Definition 11

Given a primitive root g and a reduced residue class a modulo m we define the discrete logarithm $\operatorname{dlog}_g(a)$, or index $\operatorname{ind}_g(a)$ to be that unique residue class l modulo $\phi(m)$ such that $g^l \equiv a \pmod{m}$

• The notation $\operatorname{ind}_g(x)$ is more commonly used, but $\operatorname{dlog}_g(x)$ seems more natural.

Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 4 Primitive Roots and RSA

Robert C. Vaughan

Primitiv Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

• It is useful to work through a detailed example.

Example 12

Find a primitive root modulo 11 and construct a table of discrete logarithms.

Primitiv Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

_

• It is useful to work through a detailed example.

Example 12

Find a primitive root modulo 11 and construct a table of discrete logarithms.

• First we try 2. The divisors of 11 - 1 = 10 are 1, 2, 5, 10 and $2^1 = 2 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{11}$, $2^2 = 4 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{11}$, $2^5 = 32 \equiv 10 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{11}$, so 2 is a primitive root.

_ . .

• It is useful to work through a detailed example.

Example 12

Find a primitive root modulo 11 and construct a table of discrete logarithms.

- First we try 2. The divisors of 11 1 = 10 are 1, 2, 5, 10 and $2^1 = 2 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{11}$, $2^2 = 4 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{11}$, $2^5 = 32 \equiv 10 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{11}$, so 2 is a primitive root.
- Now we construct a table of powers of 2 modulo 11

DC A

• It is useful to work through a detailed example.

Example 12

Find a primitive root modulo 11 and construct a table of discrete logarithms.

- First we try 2. The divisors of 11 1 = 10 are 1, 2, 5, 10 and $2^1 = 2 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{11}$, $2^2 = 4 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{11}$, $2^5 = 32 \equiv 10 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{11}$, so 2 is a primitive root.
- \bullet Now we construct a table of powers of 2 modulo 11

• Then we construct the "inverse" table

		X	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
<u></u>	' = d	$\log_2(x)$	10	1	8	2	4	9	7	3	6	5

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

It is useful to work through a detailed example.

Example 12

Find a primitive root modulo 11 and construct a table of discrete logarithms.

- First we try 2. The divisors of 11-1=10 are 1, 2, 5, 10 and $2^1 = 2 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{11}$, $2^2 = 4 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{11}$, $2^5 = 32 \equiv 10 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{11}$, so 2 is a primitive root.
- Now we construct a table of powers of 2 modulo 11

• Then we construct the "inverse" table 3 5 10 $y = d\log_2(x)$ 10 8 4 3

• Note that while x is a residue modulo p (here p = 11), the v are residues modulo p-1 (here 10).

Primitiv Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

RSA

• It is useful to work through a detailed example.

Example 12

Find a primitive root modulo 11 and construct a table of discrete logarithms.

- First we try 2. The divisors of 11 1 = 10 are 1, 2, 5, 10 and $2^1 = 2 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{11}$, $2^2 = 4 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{11}$, $2^5 = 32 \equiv 10 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{11}$, so 2 is a primitive root.
- Now we construct a table of powers of 2 modulo 11

- Note that while x is a residue modulo p (here p = 11), the y are residues modulo p 1 (here 10).
- y is the order, or exponent, to which 2 has to be raised to give x modulo p. In other words $x \equiv g^{\operatorname{dlog}_g(x)} \pmod{p}$.

Primitiv Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

RSA

• It is useful to work through a detailed example.

Example 12

Find a primitive root modulo 11 and construct a table of discrete logarithms.

- First we try 2. The divisors of 11 1 = 10 are 1, 2, 5, 10 and $2^1 = 2 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{11}$, $2^2 = 4 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{11}$, $2^5 = 32 \equiv 10 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{11}$, so 2 is a primitive root.
- Now we construct a table of powers of 2 modulo 11
 v 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

$$x \equiv 2^y$$
 2 4 8 5 10 9 7 3 6 1

- Note that while x is a residue modulo p (here p = 11), the y are residues modulo p 1 (here 10).
- y is the order, or exponent, to which 2 has to be raised to give x modulo p. In other words $x \equiv g^{\text{dlog}_g(x)} \pmod{p}$.
- We can use this to solve congruences.

Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 4 Primitive Roots and RSA

Robert C. Vaughan

Primitiv Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

_ . .

•	у	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10)
	$x \equiv 2^y$	2	4	8	5	10	9	7	3	6	1	1
		Х	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
У	$= dlog_2($	x)	10	1	8	2	4	9	7	3	6	5

Primitiv Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

DC A

• We can use this to solve,

Example 13

$$x^3 \equiv 6 \pmod{11},$$

 $x^5 \equiv 9 \pmod{11},$
 $x^{65} \equiv 10 \pmod{11}$

Primitiv Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

DC A

We can use this to solve,

Example 13

if possible, the congruences,

$$x^{3} \equiv 6 \pmod{11},$$

 $x^{5} \equiv 9 \pmod{11},$
 $x^{65} \equiv 10 \pmod{11}$

• In the first put $x \equiv 2^y \pmod{11}$, so that $x^3 = 2^{3y}$ and we see from the second table that $6 \equiv 2^9 \pmod{11}$.

Primitiv Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

DC A

We can use this to solve,

Example 13

if possible, the congruences,

$$x^{3} \equiv 6 \pmod{11},$$

 $x^{5} \equiv 9 \pmod{11},$
 $x^{65} \equiv 10 \pmod{11}$

• In the first put $x \equiv 2^y \pmod{11}$, so that $x^3 = 2^{3y}$ and we see from the second table that $6 \equiv 2^9 \pmod{11}$.

Primitiv Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

RSΔ

• We can use this to solve,

Example 13

$$x^{3} \equiv 6 \pmod{11},$$

 $x^{5} \equiv 9 \pmod{11},$
 $x^{65} \equiv 10 \pmod{11}$

- In the first put $x \equiv 2^y \pmod{11}$, so that $x^3 = 2^{3y}$ and we see from the second table that $6 \equiv 2^9 \pmod{11}$.
- We need $3y \equiv 9 \pmod{10}$.

Primitiv Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

RS/

We can use this to solve,

Example 13

$$x^{3} \equiv 6 \pmod{11},$$

 $x^{5} \equiv 9 \pmod{11},$
 $x^{65} \equiv 10 \pmod{11}$

- In the first put $x \equiv 2^y \pmod{11}$, so that $x^3 = 2^{3y}$ and we see from the second table that $6 \equiv 2^9 \pmod{11}$.
- We need $3y \equiv 9 \pmod{10}$.
- This has the unique solution $y \equiv 3 \pmod{10}$.

Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 4 Primitive Roots and RSA

Robert C. Vaughan

Primitiv Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

RSA

	y	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10)
	$x \equiv 2^y$	2	4	8	5	10	9	7	3	6	1	1
		X	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
У	$= dlog_2($	x)	10	1	8	2	4	9	7	3	6	5

We can use this to solve,

Example 13

$$x^{3} \equiv 6 \pmod{11},$$

 $x^{5} \equiv 9 \pmod{11},$
 $x^{65} \equiv 10 \pmod{11}$

- In the first put $x \equiv 2^y \pmod{11}$, so that $x^3 = 2^{3y}$ and we see from the second table that $6 \equiv 2^9 \pmod{11}$.
- We need $3y \equiv 9 \pmod{10}$.
- This has the unique solution $y \equiv 3 \pmod{10}$.
- Going to the first table we find that $x \equiv 8 \pmod{11}$.

Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 4 Primitive Roots and RSA

Robert C. Vaughan

Primitiv Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

	y	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10)
	$x \equiv 2^y$	2	4	8	5	10	9	7	3	6	1	<u> </u>
		X	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
У	$r = dlog_2($	(x)	10	1	8	2	4	9	7	3	6	5

$$x^3 \equiv 6 \pmod{11},$$

 $x^5 \equiv 9 \pmod{11},$
 $x^{65} \equiv 10 \pmod{11}$

Primiti Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

- ·

$$x^3 \equiv 6 \pmod{11},$$

 $x^5 \equiv 9 \pmod{11},$
 $x^{65} \equiv 10 \pmod{11}$

• For the second congruence we find that $5y \equiv 6 \pmod{10}$ and now we see that this has no solutions because $(5,10) = 5 \nmid 6$.

Primiti Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

RSA

$$x^3 \equiv 6 \pmod{11},$$

 $x^5 \equiv 9 \pmod{11},$
 $x^{65} \equiv 10 \pmod{11}$

- For the second congruence we find that $5y \equiv 6 \pmod{10}$ and now we see that this has no solutions because $(5,10) = 5 \nmid 6$.
- In the third case we have $65y \equiv 5 \pmod{10}$ and this is equivalent to $13y \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and this has one solution modulo $y \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, and so 5 solutions modulo 10 given by $y \equiv 1, 3, 5, 7$ or 9 modulo 10.

Primiti Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

RSA

$$x^3 \equiv 6 \pmod{11},$$

 $x^5 \equiv 9 \pmod{11},$
 $x^{65} \equiv 10 \pmod{11}$

- For the second congruence we find that $5y \equiv 6 \pmod{10}$ and now we see that this has no solutions because $(5,10) = 5 \nmid 6$.
- In the third case we have $65y \equiv 5 \pmod{10}$ and this is equivalent to $13y \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and this has one solution modulo $y \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, and so 5 solutions modulo 10 given by $y \equiv 1, 3, 5, 7$ or 9 modulo 10.
- Hence the original congruence has five solutions given by

$$x \equiv 2, 8, 10, 7, 6 \pmod{11}$$

Primitiv Roots

Congruence and Discrete Logarithms

RSA

 Rivest, Shamir and Adleman in 1978 rediscovered an idea which had already been described internally at GCHQ by Cocks in 1973 and then shared with NSA.

Primitiv Roots

Congruence and Discrete Logarithms

RSA

- Rivest, Shamir and Adleman in 1978 rediscovered an idea which had already been described internally at GCHQ by Cocks in 1973 and then shared with NSA.
- This is sometimes described as a way of sharing information by public key encryption.

Primitiv Roots

Congruence and Discrete Logarithms

RSA

- Rivest, Shamir and Adleman in 1978 rediscovered an idea which had already been described internally at GCHQ by Cocks in 1973 and then shared with NSA.
- This is sometimes described as a way of sharing information by public key encryption.
- The principle of the method is as follows.

RSA

 Rivest. Shamir and Adleman in 1978 rediscovered an idea which had already been described internally at GCHQ by Cocks in 1973 and then shared with NSA

- This is sometimes described as a way of sharing information by public key encryption.
- The principle of the method is as follows.
- Let $n, d, e \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $de \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$.

Primitiv Roots

Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

- Rivest, Shamir and Adleman in 1978 rediscovered an idea which had already been described internally at GCHQ by Cocks in 1973 and then shared with NSA.
- This is sometimes described as a way of sharing information by public key encryption.
- The principle of the method is as follows.
- Let $n, d, e \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $de \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$.
- Given a message M encoded as a number with M < n,

Primitiv Roots

Congruence and Discrete Logarithms

- Rivest, Shamir and Adleman in 1978 rediscovered an idea which had already been described internally at GCHQ by Cocks in 1973 and then shared with NSA.
- This is sometimes described as a way of sharing information by public key encryption.
- The principle of the method is as follows.
- Let $n, d, e \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $de \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$.
- Given a message M encoded as a number with M < n,
- compute $E \equiv M^e \pmod{n}$ and transmit E.

Primitiv Roots

Congruence and Discrete Logarithms

- Rivest, Shamir and Adleman in 1978 rediscovered an idea which had already been described internally at GCHQ by Cocks in 1973 and then shared with NSA.
- This is sometimes described as a way of sharing information by public key encryption.
- The principle of the method is as follows.
- Let $n, d, e \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $de \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$.
- Given a message M encoded as a number with M < n,
- compute $E \equiv M^e \pmod{n}$ and transmit E.
- The recipient then computes $E^d \pmod{n}$.

Primitiv Roots

Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

- Rivest, Shamir and Adleman in 1978 rediscovered an idea which had already been described internally at GCHQ by Cocks in 1973 and then shared with NSA.
- This is sometimes described as a way of sharing information by public key encryption.
- The principle of the method is as follows.
- Let $n, d, e \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $de \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$.
- Given a message M encoded as a number with M < n,
- compute $E \equiv M^e \pmod{n}$ and transmit E.
- The recipient then computes $E^d \pmod{n}$.
- Then $E^d \equiv (M^e)^d = M^{de} \equiv M \pmod{n}$, since $\phi(n)|de-1$, and the recipient recovers the message.

Primitiv Roots

Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

- Rivest, Shamir and Adleman in 1978 rediscovered an idea which had already been described internally at GCHQ by Cocks in 1973 and then shared with NSA.
- This is sometimes described as a way of sharing information by public key encryption.
- The principle of the method is as follows.
- Let $n, d, e \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $de \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$.
- Given a message M encoded as a number with M < n,
- compute $E \equiv M^e \pmod{n}$ and transmit E.
- The recipient then computes $E^d \pmod{n}$.
- Then $E^d \equiv (M^e)^d = M^{de} \equiv M \pmod{n}$, since $\phi(n)|de-1$, and the recipient recovers the message.
- The sender has to know only *n* and *e*.

Primitiv Roots

Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

- Rivest, Shamir and Adleman in 1978 rediscovered an idea which had already been described internally at GCHQ by Cocks in 1973 and then shared with NSA.
- This is sometimes described as a way of sharing information by public key encryption.
- The principle of the method is as follows.
- Let $n, d, e \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $de \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$.
- Given a message M encoded as a number with M < n,
- compute $E \equiv M^e \pmod{n}$ and transmit E.
- The recipient then computes $E^d \pmod{n}$.
- Then $E^d \equiv (M^e)^d = M^{de} \equiv M \pmod{n}$, since $\phi(n)|de-1$, and the recipient recovers the message.
- The sender has to know only *n* and *e*.
- The recipient only has to know *n* and *d*.

Primitiv Roots

Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

- Rivest, Shamir and Adleman in 1978 rediscovered an idea which had already been described internally at GCHQ by Cocks in 1973 and then shared with NSA.
- This is sometimes described as a way of sharing information by public key encryption.
- The principle of the method is as follows.
- Let $n, d, e \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $de \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$.
- Given a message M encoded as a number with M < n,
- compute $E \equiv M^e \pmod{n}$ and transmit E.
- The recipient then computes $E^d \pmod{n}$.
- Then $E^d \equiv (M^e)^d = M^{de} \equiv M \pmod{n}$, since $\phi(n)|de-1$, and the recipient recovers the message.
- The sender has to know only *n* and *e*.
- The recipient only has to know *n* and *d*.
- The level of security depends only on the ease with which one can find d knowing n and e.

Primitiv Roots

Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

RSA

 Rivest, Shamir and Adleman in 1978 rediscovered an idea which had already been described internally at GCHQ by Cocks in 1973 and then shared with NSA.

- This is sometimes described as a way of sharing information by public key encryption.
- The principle of the method is as follows.
- Let $n, d, e \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $de \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$.
- Given a message M encoded as a number with M < n,
- compute $E \equiv M^e \pmod{n}$ and transmit E.
- The recipient then computes $E^d \pmod{n}$.
- Then $E^d \equiv (M^e)^d = M^{de} \equiv M \pmod{n}$, since $\phi(n)|de-1$, and the recipient recovers the message.
- The sender has to know only *n* and *e*.
- The recipient only has to know *n* and *d*.
- The level of security depends only on the ease with which one can find d knowing n and e.
- The numbers *n* and *e* can be in the public domain.



Primitiv Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete

RSA

• The crucial question is, given n and d, the solubility of

$$de \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$$

and this in turn requires the value of $\phi(n)$.

Primitive Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

RSA

- The crucial question is, given n and d, the solubility of
 - $de \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$

and this in turn requires the value of $\phi(n)$.

• Suppose that *n* is the product of two primes

$$n = pq$$
.

Primitiv Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

RSA

ullet The crucial question is, given n and d, the solubility of

$$de \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$$

and this in turn requires the value of $\phi(n)$.

• Suppose that *n* is the product of two primes

$$n = pq$$
.

• If n can be factored then we have $\phi(n) = (p-1)(q-1)$.

Primitiv Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

RSA

• The crucial question is, given n and d, the solubility of

$$de \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$$

and this in turn requires the value of $\phi(n)$.

• Suppose that *n* is the product of two primes

$$n = pq$$
.

- If n can be factored then we have $\phi(n) = (p-1)(q-1)$.
- But this factorization is a known hard problem, especially when the primes are roughly of the same size.

Primitiv Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

RSA

• The crucial question is, given n and d, the solubility of

$$de \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$$

and this in turn requires the value of $\phi(n)$.

• Suppose that *n* is the product of two primes

$$n = pq$$
.

- If n can be factored then we have $\phi(n) = (p-1)(q-1)$.
- But this factorization is a known hard problem, especially when the primes are roughly of the same size.
- Of course if the value of $\phi(n)$ can be discovered not only is the message easily broken,

RSA

ullet The crucial question is, given n and d, the solubility of

$$de \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$$

and this in turn requires the value of $\phi(n)$.

• Suppose that *n* is the product of two primes

$$n = pq$$
.

- If n can be factored then we have $\phi(n) = (p-1)(q-1)$.
- But this factorization is a known hard problem, especially when the primes are roughly of the same size.
- Of course if the value of $\phi(n)$ can be discovered not only is the message easily broken,
- but *n* is easily factored since one has

$$p + q = pq + 1 - \phi(n) = n + 1 - \phi(n),$$

 $pq = n$

and once can substitute for q and then solve the quadratic equation in p.

Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 4 Primitive Roots and RSA

Robert C. Vaughan

Primiti Roots

Binomial Congruences and Discrete Logarithms

RSA

• The crucial question is, given n and d, the solubility of

$$de \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$$

and this in turn requires the value of $\phi(n)$.

• Suppose that *n* is the product of two primes

$$n = pq$$
.

- If *n* can be factored then we have $\phi(n) = (p-1)(q-1)$.
- But this factorization is a known hard problem, especially when the primes are roughly of the same size.
- Of course if the value of $\phi(n)$ can be discovered not only is the message easily broken,
- but *n* is easily factored since one has

$$p + q = pq + 1 - \phi(n) = n + 1 - \phi(n),$$

$$pq = n$$

and once can substitute for q and then solve the quadratic equation in p.

• In other words, knowing $\phi(n)$ is equivalent to factoring $n_{n,n}$