Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 8 The Quadratic Sieve

> Robert C. Vaughan

Prolegomenon

The Quadrat

Note on Gaussian Elimination

# Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 8 The Quadratic Sieve

Robert C. Vaughan

December 24, 2023

#### Prolegomenon

Prolegomenor

Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination • There have been many factorization algorithms developed with the intent of finding t, x, y so that

$$tn = x^2 - y^2,$$
 (1.1)

## Prolegomenon

The Quadrat

Note on Gaussian Elimination • There have been many factorization algorithms developed with the intent of finding t, x, y so that

$$tn = x^2 - y^2, (1.1)$$

• going back to Fermat in the case t=1 and Legendre for general t.

#### Prolegomenon

The Quadra

Note on Gaussian Elimination • There have been many factorization algorithms developed with the intent of finding t, x, y so that

$$tn = x^2 - y^2,$$
 (1.1)

- going back to Fermat in the case t = 1 and Legendre for general t.
- One of the lines of attack was through the use of continued fractions.

#### Prolegomenon

The Quadra Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination  There have been many factorization algorithms developed with the intent of finding t, x, y so that

$$tn = x^2 - y^2,$$
 (1.1)

- going back to Fermat in the case t = 1 and Legendre for general t.
- One of the lines of attack was through the use of continued fractions.
- It seems to have been periodically rediscovered, for example by Kraitchik and, most notably, by Lehmer and Powers in 1931 and then developed further by Morrison and Brillhart in 1975 who showed that the advent of modern computers made it a practical method.

#### Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 8 The Quadratic Sieve

Robert C. Vaughan

## Prolegomenon

Sieve

Gaussian Elimination • The idea is to consider the continued fraction of  $\sqrt{tn}$ 

$$\sqrt{tn} = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \cdots}}.$$

## Prolegomenon

The Quadrat

Note on Gaussian Elimination • The idea is to consider the continued fraction of  $\sqrt{tn}$ 

$$\sqrt{tn} = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \cdots}}$$

 This expansion is actually periodic, and truncating the expansion after k terms produces an approximation

$$\frac{A_k}{B_k} \tag{1.2}$$

to  $\sqrt{tn}$ .

## Prolegomenon

The Quadra Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination ullet The idea is to consider the continued fraction of  $\sqrt{tn}$ 

$$\sqrt{tn} = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \cdots}}$$

• This expansion is actually periodic, and truncating the expansion after *k* terms produces an approximation

$$\frac{A_k}{B_k} \tag{1.2}$$

to  $\sqrt{tn}$ .

In particular

$$A_k^2 - tnB_k^2 = (-1)^{k-1}R_k (1.3)$$

where  $R_k$  is relatively small.

## Prolegomenon

The Quadra Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination ullet The idea is to consider the continued fraction of  $\sqrt{tn}$ 

$$\sqrt{tn} = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \cdots}}.$$

• This expansion is actually periodic, and truncating the expansion after *k* terms produces an approximation

$$\frac{A_k}{B_k} \tag{1.2}$$

to  $\sqrt{tn}$ .

• In particular

$$A_k^2 - tnB_k^2 = (-1)^{k-1}R_k (1.3)$$

where  $R_k$  is relatively small.

• By the way the approximation (1.2) turns out to be exactly the approximation that would arise from an application of Dirchlet's theorem, Theorem 2.2.

#### Prolegomenon

The Quadra Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination ullet The idea is to consider the continued fraction of  $\sqrt{tn}$ 

$$\sqrt{tn} = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \cdots}}.$$

• This expansion is actually periodic, and truncating the expansion after *k* terms produces an approximation

$$\frac{A_k}{B_k} \tag{1.2}$$

to  $\sqrt{tn}$ .

• In particular

$$A_k^2 - tnB_k^2 = (-1)^{k-1}R_k (1.3)$$

where  $R_k$  is relatively small.

- By the way the approximation (1.2) turns out to be exactly the approximation that would arise from an application of Dirchlet's theorem, Theorem 2.2.
- Thus we have a solution to

$$A_k^2 \equiv (-1)^{k-1} R_k \pmod{n}.$$

## Prolegomenon

The Oundread

Note on Gaussian Elimination • Thus we have a solution to

$$A_k^2 \equiv (-1)^{k-1} R_k \pmod{n}.$$

## Prolegomenon

The Quadrati Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination • Thus we have a solution to

$$A_k^2 \equiv (-1)^{k-1} R_k \pmod{n}.$$

• Having computed  $(-1)^{k-1}R_k$  for k=0,...K one looks for a subset K of the k such that the product

$$\prod_{k\in\mathcal{K}}(-1)^{k-1}R_k$$

is a perfect square.

## Prolegomenon

The Quadr Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination Thus we have a solution to

$$A_k^2 \equiv (-1)^{k-1} R_k \pmod{n}.$$

• Having computed  $(-1)^{k-1}R_k$  for k=0,...K one looks for a subset K of the k such that the product

$$\prod_{k\in\mathcal{K}}(-1)^{k-1}R_k$$

is a perfect square.

Then for

$$R \equiv \prod_{k \in \mathcal{K}} (-1)^{k-1} R_k \pmod{n}, A \equiv \prod_{k \in \mathcal{K}} A_k \pmod{n}$$

one has

$$A^2 \equiv R^2 \pmod{n}$$

## Prolegomenon

The Quadra Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination • Thus we have a solution to

$$A_k^2 \equiv (-1)^{k-1} R_k \pmod{n}.$$

• Having computed  $(-1)^{k-1}R_k$  for k=0,...K one looks for a subset K of the k such that the product

$$\prod_{k\in\mathcal{K}}(-1)^{k-1}R_k$$

is a perfect square.

• Then for

$$R \equiv \prod_{k \in \mathcal{K}} (-1)^{k-1} R_k \pmod{n}, A \equiv \prod_{k \in \mathcal{K}} A_k \pmod{n}$$

one has

$$A^2 \equiv R^2 \pmod{n}$$

• and hopefully  $GCD(A \pm R, n)$  provides a proper factor of n.

Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 8 The Quadratic Sieve

> Robert C. Vaughan

## Prolegomenon

The Quadra Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination  Things then developed very rapidly culminating in 1981 with what we now know as the Quadratic Sieve (QS).

## Prolegomenon

The Quadrati

Note on Gaussian Elimination

- Things then developed very rapidly culminating in 1981 with what we now know as the Quadratic Sieve (QS).
- The expression in (1.3) on the left

$$A_k^2 - tnB_k^2 = (-1)^{k-1}R_k$$

$$x^2 - tny^2$$
.

## Prolegomenon

The Quadratic

Note on Gaussian Elimination

- Things then developed very rapidly culminating in 1981 with what we now know as the Quadratic Sieve (QS).
- The expression in (1.3) on the left

$$A_k^2 - tnB_k^2 = (-1)^{k-1}R_k$$

can be thought of as an indefinite binary quadratic form

$$x^2 - tny^2$$
.

 Gauss had already studied such forms and had introduced the idea of "composition" of forms.

## Prolegomenon

The Quadrat

Note on Gaussian Elimination

- Things then developed very rapidly culminating in 1981 with what we now know as the Quadratic Sieve (QS).
- The expression in (1.3) on the left

$$A_k^2 - tnB_k^2 = (-1)^{k-1}R_k$$

$$x^2 - tny^2$$
.

- Gauss had already studied such forms and had introduced the idea of "composition" of forms.
- This lead Shanks to bring such structural ideas to the party, and gave arise to an alternative version of the method usually known as SQUFOF.

#### Prolegomenon

The Quadra

Note on Gaussian Elimination

- Things then developed very rapidly culminating in 1981 with what we now know as the Quadratic Sieve (QS).
- The expression in (1.3) on the left

$$A_k^2 - tnB_k^2 = (-1)^{k-1}R_k$$

$$x^2 - tny^2$$
.

- Gauss had already studied such forms and had introduced the idea of "composition" of forms.
- This lead Shanks to bring such structural ideas to the party, and gave arise to an alternative version of the method usually known as SQUFOF.
- This has a worse case runtime proportional to  $n^{1/4}$ , so does not compete in that regard to the other methods described here.

#### Prolegomenon

The Quadrat

Note on Gaussian Elimination

- Things then developed very rapidly culminating in 1981 with what we now know as the Quadratic Sieve (QS).
- The expression in (1.3) on the left

$$A_k^2 - tnB_k^2 = (-1)^{k-1}R_k$$

$$x^2 - tny^2$$
.

- Gauss had already studied such forms and had introduced the idea of "composition" of forms.
- This lead Shanks to bring such structural ideas to the party, and gave arise to an alternative version of the method usually known as SQUFOF.
- This has a worse case runtime proportional to  $n^{1/4}$ , so does not compete in that regard to the other methods described here.
- However SQUFOF (SQUareFOrmsFactorization) is sufficiently simple that it can be implemented on a pocket calculator and the instructor of this course has a version on his mobile phone.

Prolegomenon

## The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination  Recall that in Lehman's method the aim is to find x, t so that

$$x^2 - 4tn$$

is a perfect square.

Prolegomenon

# The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination  Recall that in Lehman's method the aim is to find x, t so that

$$x^2 - 4tn$$

is a perfect square.

• In the discussion above of the continued fraction approach we saw that an alternative way to achieve this is to find  $x_1, \ldots, x_r$  and  $y_1, \ldots, y_r$  such that

$$y_i \equiv x_i^2 \pmod{n}$$

and

$$(x_1 \dots x_r)^2 \equiv y_1 \dots y_r = z^2 \pmod{n}.$$

Prolegomenon

# The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination  Recall that in Lehman's method the aim is to find x, t so that

$$x^2 - 4tn$$

is a perfect square.

• In the discussion above of the continued fraction approach we saw that an alternative way to achieve this is to find  $x_1, \ldots, x_r$  and  $y_1, \ldots, y_r$  such that

$$y_i \equiv x_i^2 \pmod{n}$$

and

$$(x_1 \ldots x_r)^2 \equiv y_1 \ldots y_r = z^2 \pmod{n}.$$

• However we want something better than trial and error.

Prolegomenon

## The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination • Idea. Initially we consider

$$x^2 - n = y$$

Prolegomenor

## The Quadratic

Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination • Idea. Initially we consider

$$x^2 - n = y$$

with for a sequence of values of  $x = x_j$ .

• The data we garner from this will ultimately enable us to find t, x such that  $x^2 - tn$  is a perfect square.

Prolegomenor

The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination • Idea. Initially we consider

$$x^2 - n = y$$

- The data we garner from this will ultimately enable us to find t, x such that  $x^2 tn$  is a perfect square.
- Suppose that each of the  $y_j$  has only small prime factors, say we have  $p \le B$  for every  $p|y_j$ .

Prolegomenon
The Quadratic

Note on Gaussian

Sieve

• Idea. Initially we consider

$$x^2 - n = y$$

- The data we garner from this will ultimately enable us to find t, x such that  $x^2 tn$  is a perfect square.
- Suppose that each of the  $y_j$  has only small prime factors, say we have  $p \le B$  for every  $p|y_i$ .
- For example we just look for prime factors  $p \le B = 7$  and suppose we found  $y_1 = 6$ ,  $y_2 = 15$ ,  $y_3 = 21$ ,  $y_4 = 35$ .

Prolegomenon
The Quadratic

Note on Gaussian • Idea. Initially we consider

$$x^2 - n = y$$

- The data we garner from this will ultimately enable us to find t, x such that  $x^2 tn$  is a perfect square.
- Suppose that each of the  $y_j$  has only small prime factors, say we have  $p \leq B$  for every  $p|y_i$ .
- For example we just look for prime factors  $p \le B = 7$  and suppose we found  $y_1 = 6$ ,  $y_2 = 15$ ,  $y_3 = 21$ ,  $y_4 = 35$ .
- Then we would have  $y_1 = 2^1 3^1 5^0 7^0$ ,

$$y_2 = 2^0 3^1 5^1 7^0, y_3 = 2^0 3^1 5^0 7^1, y_4 = 2^0 3^0 5^1 7^1$$

Prolegomenor

The Quadratic

Note on Gaussian Elimination • Idea. Initially we consider

$$x^2 - n = y$$

with for a sequence of values of  $x = x_j$ .

- The data we garner from this will ultimately enable us to find t, x such that  $x^2 tn$  is a perfect square.
- Suppose that each of the  $y_j$  has only small prime factors, say we have  $p \leq B$  for every  $p|y_i$ .
- For example we just look for prime factors  $p \le B = 7$  and suppose we found  $y_1 = 6$ ,  $y_2 = 15$ ,  $y_3 = 21$ ,  $y_4 = 35$ .
- Then we would have  $y_1 = 2^1 3^1 5^0 7^0$ ,

$$y_2 = 2^0 3^1 5^1 7^0, y_3 = 2^0 3^1 5^0 7^1, y_4 = 2^0 3^0 5^1 7^1$$

• so we can associate with these the four vectors

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \langle 1, 1, 0, 0 \rangle, \mathbf{v}_2 = \langle 0, 1, 1, 0 \rangle, \mathbf{v}_3 = \langle 0, 1, 0, 1 \rangle, \mathbf{v}_4 = \langle 0, 0, 1, 1 \rangle.$$

The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination

• We have 
$$y_1=2^13^15^07^0$$
, 
$$y_2=2^03^15^17^0, y_3=2^03^15^07^1, y_4=2^03^05^17^1$$

Prolegomenor

## The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination • We have  $y_1 = 2^1 3^1 5^0 7^0$ ,

$$y_2 = 2^0 3^1 5^1 7^0, y_3 = 2^0 3^1 5^0 7^1, y_4 = 2^0 3^0 5^1 7^1$$

so we can associate with these the four vectors

$$\begin{aligned} \textbf{v}_1 &= \langle 1, 1, 0, 0 \rangle, \textbf{v}_2 = \langle 0, 1, 1, 0 \rangle, \\ \textbf{v}_3 &= \langle 0, 1, 0, 1 \rangle, \textbf{v}_4 = \langle 0, 0, 1, 1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Prolegomenor

# The Quadratic

Note on Gaussian Elimination • We have  $y_1 = 2^1 3^1 5^0 7^0$ ,

$$y_2 = 2^0 3^1 5^1 7^0, y_3 = 2^0 3^1 5^0 7^1, y_4 = 2^0 3^0 5^1 7^1$$

so we can associate with these the four vectors

$$\begin{aligned} \textbf{v}_1 &= \langle 1, 1, 0, 0 \rangle, \textbf{v}_2 = \langle 0, 1, 1, 0 \rangle, \\ \textbf{v}_3 &= \langle 0, 1, 0, 1 \rangle, \textbf{v}_4 = \langle 0, 0, 1, 1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

• Then we want to find integers  $e_j = 0$  or 1 so that

$$e_1{f v}_1+e_2{f v}_2+e_3{f v}_3+e_4{f v}_4\equiv {f 0}\ ({\sf mod}\ 2)$$
 where  ${f 0}=\langle 0,0,0,0
angle.$ 

Prolegomenor

# The Quadratic

Note on Gaussian Elimination • We have  $y_1 = 2^1 3^1 5^0 7^0$ ,

$$y_2 = 2^0 3^1 5^1 7^0, y_3 = 2^0 3^1 5^0 7^1, y_4 = 2^0 3^0 5^1 7^1$$

so we can associate with these the four vectors

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \langle 1, 1, 0, 0 \rangle, \mathbf{v}_2 = \langle 0, 1, 1, 0 \rangle, \mathbf{v}_3 = \langle 0, 1, 0, 1 \rangle, \mathbf{v}_4 = \langle 0, 0, 1, 1 \rangle.$$

• Then we want to find integers  $e_j = 0$  or 1 so that

$$e_1\mathbf{v}_1+e_2\mathbf{v}_2+e_3\mathbf{v}_3+e_4\mathbf{v}_4\equiv\mathbf{0}\pmod{2}$$
 where  $\mathbf{0}=\langle0,0,0,0
angle$ .

• Thus  $e_1 = 0$ ,  $e_2 = e_3 = e_4 = 1$  will do and

$$y_1^0 y_2^1 y_3^1 y_4^1 = 15.21.35 = (3.5.7)^2 = (105)^2.$$

## The Quadratic

Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination • We have  $y_1 = 2^1 3^1 5^0 7^0$ ,

$$y_2 = 2^0 3^1 5^1 7^0, y_3 = 2^0 3^1 5^0 7^1, y_4 = 2^0 3^0 5^1 7^1$$

so we can associate with these the four vectors

$$\begin{aligned} \textbf{v}_1 &= \langle 1, 1, 0, 0 \rangle, \textbf{v}_2 = \langle 0, 1, 1, 0 \rangle, \\ \textbf{v}_3 &= \langle 0, 1, 0, 1 \rangle, \textbf{v}_4 = \langle 0, 0, 1, 1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

• Then we want to find integers  $e_j = 0$  or 1 so that

$$e_1\mathbf{v}_1+e_2\mathbf{v}_2+e_3\mathbf{v}_3+e_4\mathbf{v}_4\equiv\mathbf{0}\ (\mathsf{mod}\ 2)$$
 where  $\mathbf{0}=\langle0,0,0,0
angle.$ 

• Thus  $e_1 = 0$ ,  $e_2 = e_3 = e_4 = 1$  will do and

$$y_1^0 y_2^1 y_3^1 y_4^1 = 15.21.35 = (3.5.7)^2 = (105)^2.$$

 Thus we can find perfect squares by vector addition. In other words solving linear equations. Sieve Robert C. Vaughan

The Quadratic

Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination • We have  $y_1 = 2^1 3^1 5^0 7^0$ ,

$$y_2 = 2^0 3^1 5^1 7^0, y_3 = 2^0 3^1 5^0 7^1, y_4 = 2^0 3^0 5^1 7^1$$

• so we can associate with these the four vectors

$$\begin{aligned} \textbf{v}_1 &= \langle 1, 1, 0, 0 \rangle, \textbf{v}_2 = \langle 0, 1, 1, 0 \rangle, \\ \textbf{v}_3 &= \langle 0, 1, 0, 1 \rangle, \textbf{v}_4 = \langle 0, 0, 1, 1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

• Then we want to find integers  $e_i = 0$  or 1 so that

$$e_1\mathbf{v}_1+e_2\mathbf{v}_2+e_3\mathbf{v}_3+e_4\mathbf{v}_4\equiv\mathbf{0}\ (\text{mod 2})$$
 where  $\mathbf{0}=\langle0,0,0,0\rangle$ .

• Thus  $e_1 = 0$ ,  $e_2 = e_3 = e_4 = 1$  will do and

$$y_1^0 y_2^1 y_3^1 y_4^1 = 15.21.35 = (3.5.7)^2 = (105)^2.$$

- Thus we can find perfect squares by vector addition. In other words solving linear equations.
- In practice this in turn means Gaussian elimination.

Sieve Robert C. Vaughan

The Quadratic

Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination

## Definition 1

Given a positive real number B we say that an integer z is B-factorable when every prime factor p of z satisfies  $p \leq B$ . To emphasise the fact that in our situation only certain primes (but also -1) may occur we will also use the term  $\mathcal{P}$ -factorable where  $\mathcal{P}$  is a set of primes, probably augmented by -1.

Note that the term B-smooth is commonly used instead.
 The word "smooth" has many better uses in mathematics.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Prolegomenor

The Quadratic

Note on Gaussian Elimination

### • The Quadratic Sieve (QS)

We are given an odd number n which we know to be composite and not a perfect power. The objective is to find a non-trivial factor of n.

Prolegomenon

The Quadratic

Note on Gaussian Elimination

# The Quadratic Sieve (QS) We are given an odd number n which we know to be composite and not a perfect power. The objective is to

#### • 1. Initialization.

find a non-trivial factor of n.

**1.1.** Pick a number B as the upper bound for the primes in the factor base  $\mathcal{P}$ . Theory says take  $B = \lceil L(n)^{1/2} \rceil$  where  $L(n) = \exp(\sqrt{\log n \log \log n})$ , but in practice a B somewhat smaller works well.

Prolegomenon

The Quadratic

Note on Gaussian Elimination

## • The Quadratic Sieve (QS)

We are given an odd number n which we know to be composite and not a perfect power. The objective is to find a non-trivial factor of n.

#### • 1. Initialization.

- **1.1.** Pick a number B as the upper bound for the primes in the factor base  $\mathcal{P}$ . Theory says take  $B = \lceil L(n)^{1/2} \rceil$  where  $L(n) = \exp(\sqrt{\log n \log \log n})$ , but in practice a B somewhat smaller works well.
- Also, adding extra primes suggested by the sieving process can be useful and if one uses the wrinkle in 5.3 below, then the prime p is adjoined to the factor base.

Prolegomenoi

The Quadratic

Note on Gaussian Elimination

## • The Quadratic Sieve (QS)

We are given an odd number n which we know to be composite and not a perfect power. The objective is to find a non-trivial factor of n.

#### • 1. Initialization.

- **1.1.** Pick a number B as the upper bound for the primes in the factor base  $\mathcal{P}$ . Theory says take  $B = \lceil L(n)^{1/2} \rceil$  where  $L(n) = \exp(\sqrt{\log n \log \log n})$ , but in practice a B somewhat smaller works well.
- Also, adding extra primes suggested by the sieving process can be useful and if one uses the wrinkle in 5.3 below, then the prime p is adjoined to the factor base.
- 1.2. Set  $p_0 = -1$ ,  $p_1 = 2$  and find the odd primes  $p_2 < p_3 < \ldots < p_K \le B$  such that  $\left(\frac{n}{p_k}\right)_L = 1$ .
- (LJ) is useful here.

Prolegomenoi

The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination

### • The Quadratic Sieve (QS)

We are given an odd number n which we know to be composite and not a perfect power. The objective is to find a non-trivial factor of n.

#### • 1. Initialization.

- **1.1.** Pick a number B as the upper bound for the primes in the factor base  $\mathcal{P}$ . Theory says take  $B = \lceil L(n)^{1/2} \rceil$  where  $L(n) = \exp(\sqrt{\log n \log \log n})$ , but in practice a B somewhat smaller works well.
- Also, adding extra primes suggested by the sieving process can be useful and if one uses the wrinkle in 5.3 below, then the prime p is adjoined to the factor base.
- 1.2. Set  $p_0 = -1$ ,  $p_1 = 2$  and find the odd primes  $p_2 < p_3 < \ldots < p_K \le B$  such that  $\left(\frac{n}{p_k}\right)_{l=1}^{l} = 1$ .
- (LJ) is useful here.
- 1.3. For k = 2, ..., K find the solutions  $\pm t_{p_k}$  to  $x^2 \equiv n \pmod{p_k}$  by using (QC).

Prolegomenon

### The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination

### • 2. Sieving.

2.1. Let  $N = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$ . Sieve the sequence  $x^2 - n$  with x = N + j,  $j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$  until one has obtained a list of at least K + 2 B-factorable  $x_j^2 - n$  and their factorizations (K + 2 is somewhat arbitrary and in the first example below is K + 1).

Prolegomenon
The Quadratic

Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination

### • 2. Sieving.

- 2.1. Let  $N = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$ . Sieve the sequence  $x^2 n$  with x = N + j,  $j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$  until one has obtained a list of at least K + 2 B-factorable  $x_j^2 n$  and their factorizations (K + 2 is somewhat arbitrary and in the first example below is K + 1.
- This could be done by using a matrix, with K+2 rows so that the j-th column is a K+3 dimensional vector in which the first entry is  $x_j$ , the second is  $x_j^2 n$ , and the k+3-rd entry is the exponent of  $p_k$  in  $x_j^2 n$ .

Prolegomenon

The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination

### • 2. Sieving.

- 2.1. Let  $N = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$ . Sieve the sequence  $x^2 n$  with x = N + j,  $j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$  until one has obtained a list of at least K + 2 B-factorable  $x_j^2 n$  and their factorizations (K + 2 is somewhat arbitrary and in the first example below is K + 1).
- This could be done by using a matrix, with K + 2 rows so that the j-th column is a K + 3 dimensional vector in which the first entry is  $x_j$ , the second is  $x_j^2 n$ , and the k + 3-rd entry is the exponent of  $p_k$  in  $x_j^2 n$ .
- 2.2. For each prime  $p_k$  in  $\mathcal{P}$  divide out all the prime factors  $p_k$  in each entry  $x_j^2 n$  with  $x_j \equiv \pm t_{p_k} \pmod{p_k}$ , recording the exponent in the k + 3-rd entry in the associated j-th vector. Once the primes start to grow this speeds things up significantly.

Robert C. Vaughan

The Quadratic Sieve

- 2. Sieving.
  - 2.1. Let  $N = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$ . Sieve the sequence  $x^2 n$  with x = N + j,  $j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$  until one has obtained a list of at least K + 2 B-factorable  $x_j^2 n$  and their factorizations (K + 2 is somewhat arbitrary and in the first example below is K + 1).
- This could be done by using a matrix, with K+2 rows so that the j-th column is a K+3 dimensional vector in which the first entry is  $x_j$ , the second is  $x_j^2 n$ , and the k+3-rd entry is the exponent of  $p_k$  in  $x_j^2 n$ .
- 2.2. For each prime  $p_k$  in  $\mathcal{P}$  divide out all the prime factors  $p_k$  in each entry  $x_j^2 n$  with  $x_j \equiv \pm t_{p_k} \pmod{p_k}$ , recording the exponent in the k+3-rd entry in the associated j-th vector. Once the primes start to grow this speeds things up significantly.
- 2.3. If the bottom entry in the j-th vector has reduced to 1, then  $x_j^2 n$  is B-factorable. If it has not completely factored then one can discard that column, or at least put it aside in case one needs to extend the factor base.

Prolegomenon

The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination

### • 3. Linear Algebra.

**3.1.** Form a  $(K+1) \times (K+2)$  matrix  $\mathcal{M}$  with the columns being formed by the 3-rd through K+3-rd entries of the column vectors arising in **2.2**, but with the entries reduced modulo 2.

Prolegomenor

The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination

### • 3. Linear Algebra.

- **3.1.** Form a  $(K+1) \times (K+2)$  matrix  $\mathcal{M}$  with the columns being formed by the 3-rd through K+3-rd entries of the column vectors arising in **2.2**, but with the entries reduced modulo 2.
- **3.2.** Use linear algebra (Gaussian elimination, for example) to solve

$$\mathcal{M}\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{0} \pmod{2}$$

where e is a K + 2 dimensional vector of 0s and 1s (not all 0!).

Prolegomenor

The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination • 3. Linear Algebra.

- **3.1.** Form a  $(K+1) \times (K+2)$  matrix  $\mathcal{M}$  with the columns being formed by the 3-rd through K+3-rd entries of the column vectors arising in **2.2**, but with the entries reduced modulo 2.
- **3.2.** Use linear algebra (Gaussian elimination, for example) to solve

$$\mathcal{M}\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{0} \pmod{2}$$

where e is a K + 2 dimensional vector of 0s and 1s (not all 0!).

• Note that the solution space may well be of dimension greater than 1 so then there would be multiple solutions.

Prolegomenon

### The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination

### • 4. Factorization.

**4.1.** Compute  $x = x_1^{e_1} x_2^{e_2} \dots x_{K+2}^{e_{K+2}}$  modulo n and

$$y = \sqrt{(x_1^2 - n)^{e_1}(x_2^2 - n)^{e_2} \dots (x_{K+2}^2 - n)^{e_{K+2}}}$$

Prolegomenon

## The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination

- 4. Factorization.
  - **4.1.** Compute  $x = x_1^{e_1} x_2^{e_2} \dots x_{K+2}^{e_{K+2}}$  modulo n and

$$y = \sqrt{(x_1^2 - n)^{e_1}(x_2^2 - n)^{e_2} \dots (x_{K+2}^2 - n)^{e_{K+2}}}$$

modulo n.

• The value of x can be computed by using the first entries in the j-vectors.

Prolegomenon

## The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination

#### • 4. Factorization.

**4.1.** Compute  $x = x_1^{e_1} x_2^{e_2} \dots x_{K+2}^{e_{K+2}}$  modulo n and

$$y = \sqrt{(x_1^2 - n)^{e_1}(x_2^2 - n)^{e_2} \dots (x_{K+2}^2 - n)^{e_{K+2}}}$$

- The value of x can be computed by using the first entries in the j-vectors.
- The square root should NOT be computed directly but by using the factorisations of each  $x_i^2$  n obtained in **2.2**.

Prolegomenon

## The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination

#### 4. Factorization.

**4.1.** Compute  $x = x_1^{e_1} x_2^{e_2} \dots x_{K+2}^{e_{K+2}}$  modulo n and

$$y = \sqrt{(x_1^2 - n)^{e_1}(x_2^2 - n)^{e_2} \dots (x_{K+2}^2 - n)^{e_{K+2}}}$$

- The value of x can be computed by using the first entries in the j-vectors.
- The square root should NOT be computed directly but by using the factorisations of each  $x_i^2$  n obtained in **2.2**.
- **4.2.** Compute  $m = \gcd(x y, n)$ .

Prolegomenon

### The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination

#### • 4. Factorization.

**4.1.** Compute  $x = x_1^{e_1} x_2^{e_2} \dots x_{K+2}^{e_{K+2}}$  modulo n and

$$y = \sqrt{(x_1^2 - n)^{e_1}(x_2^2 - n)^{e_2} \dots (x_{K+2}^2 - n)^{e_{K+2}}}$$

- The value of x can be computed by using the first entries in the j-vectors.
- The square root should NOT be computed directly but by using the factorisations of each  $x_i^2$  n obtained in **2.2**.
- **4.2.** Compute  $m = \gcd(x y, n)$ .
- 4.3. Return m.

Prolegomenon

## The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination

#### • 4. Factorization.

**4.1.** Compute  $x = x_1^{e_1} x_2^{e_2} \dots x_{K+2}^{e_{K+2}}$  modulo n and

$$y = \sqrt{(x_1^2 - n)^{e_1}(x_2^2 - n)^{e_2} \dots (x_{K+2}^2 - n)^{e_{K+2}}}$$

- The value of x can be computed by using the first entries in the j-vectors.
- The square root should NOT be computed directly but by using the factorisations of each  $x_i^2$  n obtained in **2.2**.
- **4.2.** Compute  $m = \gcd(x y, n)$ .
- 4.3. Return m.
- **4.4.** If necessary repeat for all solutions **e** until a non-trivial factor found.

Robert C. Vaughan

Prolegomeno

The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination

#### • 5. Aftermath.

**5.1.** If no proper factor of n found, try one or more of the following.

Robert C. Vaughan

Prolegomenon

The Quadratic Sieve

- 5. Aftermath.
  - **5.1.** If no proper factor of n found, try one or more of the following.
- **5.2.** Extend the sieving in 2.1 to obtain more **e** and pairs x, y.

Prolegomenon

## The Quadratic

- 5. Aftermath.
  - **5.1.** If no proper factor of n found, try one or more of the following.
- **5.2.** Extend the sieving in 2.1 to obtain more **e** and pairs *x*, *y*.
- 5.3 As a matter of policy the original sieving probably should be conducted so as to obtain K' pairs with K' somewhat more than K+2.

Prolegomenon
The Quadratic

Note on Gaussian

Sieve

• 5. Aftermath.

- **5.1.** If no proper factor of n found, try one or more of the following.
- **5.2.** Extend the sieving in 2.1 to obtain more **e** and pairs x, y.
- 5.3 As a matter of policy the original sieving probably should be conducted so as to obtain K' pairs with K' somewhat more than K+2.
- **5.3.** Use another polynomial in place of  $x^2 n$ , or rather, be a bit more cunning about the choice of the x in 2.1. Choose a large prime p for which  $b^2 n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  is soluble, and compute b. Then  $(px + b)^2 n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and x can be chosen so that  $f(x) = ((px + b)^2 n)/p$  is comparatively small since p is large, so the sieving proceeds relatively speedily, there is a better chance of a complete factorization of f(x), and we only have to augment the factor base with the prime p.

Robert C. Vaughan

Prolegomenor

The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination  The most time consuming part of this algorithm is the sieving.

Prolegomenor

The Quadratic Sieve

- The most time consuming part of this algorithm is the sieving.
- Note that just restricting the x to x ≡ ±t<sub>pk</sub> already speeds it up considerably but this is still usually the slowest part.

Prolegomenon

The Quadratic

- The most time consuming part of this algorithm is the sieving.
- Note that just restricting the x to  $x \equiv \pm t_{p_k}$  already speeds it up considerably but this is still usually the slowest part.
- The linear algebra can also be speeded up by various techniques, especially those developed for dealing with sparse matrices.

Prolegomenon

### The Quadratic

- The most time consuming part of this algorithm is the sieving.
- Note that just restricting the x to x ≡ ±t<sub>pk</sub> already speeds it up considerably but this is still usually the slowest part.
- The linear algebra can also be speeded up by various techniques, especially those developed for dealing with sparse matrices.
- Although the numbers in the following example are much smaller than would occur in a practice the example does illustrate the complexity of the basic quadratic sieve.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Prolegomenor

The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination • **Example 8.1.** Let n = 9487 and B = 30.

Robert C. Vaughan

Prolegomenoi

The Quadratic Sieve

- **Example 8.1.** Let n = 9487 and B = 30.
  - We first need to check which primes  $p \le 30$  will occur.

The Quadratic

Note on Gaussian

Sieve

- **Example 8.1.** Let n = 9487 and B = 30.
- We first need to check which primes  $p \le 30$  will occur.
- Thus for each odd prime  $p \le 30$  we need to ascertain whether n is a QR or a QNR modulo p.

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{9487}{3}\right)_L &= \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)_L = 1, \left(\frac{9487}{13}\right)_L = \left(\frac{10}{13}\right)_L = \left(\frac{36}{13}\right)_L = 1, \\ \left(\frac{9487}{5}\right)_L &= \left(\frac{2}{5}\right)_L = -1, \left(\frac{9487}{17}\right)_L = \left(\frac{1}{17}\right) = 1, \\ \left(\frac{9487}{7}\right)_L &= \left(\frac{2}{7}\right)_L = 1, \left(\frac{9487}{19}\right)_L = \left(\frac{6}{19}\right)_L = \left(\frac{25}{19}\right)_L = 1, \\ \left(\frac{9487}{11}\right)_L &= \left(\frac{5}{11}\right)_L = 1, \left(\frac{9487}{23}\right)_L = \left(\frac{11}{23}\right)_L = -\left(\frac{23}{11}\right)_L = -1, \\ \left(\frac{9487}{29}\right)_L &= \left(\frac{4}{29}\right)_L = 1. \end{split}$$

Prolegomenon
The Quadratic

Note on Gaussian

Sieve

- **Example 8.1.** Let n = 9487 and B = 30.
- We first need to check which primes  $p \le 30$  will occur.
- Thus for each odd prime  $p \le 30$  we need to ascertain whether n is a QR or a QNR modulo p.

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{9487}{3}\right)_L &= \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)_L = 1, \left(\frac{9487}{13}\right)_L = \left(\frac{36}{13}\right)_L = 1, \\ \left(\frac{9487}{5}\right)_L &= \left(\frac{2}{5}\right)_L = -1, \left(\frac{9487}{17}\right)_L = \left(\frac{1}{17}\right) = 1, \\ \left(\frac{9487}{7}\right)_L &= \left(\frac{2}{7}\right)_L = 1, \left(\frac{9487}{19}\right)_L = \left(\frac{6}{19}\right)_L = \left(\frac{25}{19}\right)_L = 1, \\ \left(\frac{9487}{11}\right)_L &= \left(\frac{5}{11}\right)_L = 1, \left(\frac{9487}{23}\right)_L = \left(\frac{11}{23}\right)_L = -\left(\frac{23}{11}\right)_L = -1, \\ \left(\frac{9487}{29}\right)_L &= \left(\frac{4}{29}\right)_L = 1. \end{split}$$

• Thus  $\mathcal{P} = \{-1, 2, 3, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 29\}.$ 

Prolegomenon
The Quadratic

Note on

- **Example 8.1.** Let n = 9487 and B = 30.
- We first need to check which primes  $p \le 30$  will occur.
- Thus for each odd prime  $p \le 30$  we need to ascertain whether n is a QR or a QNR modulo p.

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{9487}{3}\right)_L &= \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)_L = 1, \left(\frac{9487}{13}\right)_L = \left(\frac{10}{13}\right)_L = \left(\frac{36}{13}\right)_L = 1, \\ \left(\frac{9487}{5}\right)_L &= \left(\frac{2}{5}\right)_L = -1, \left(\frac{9487}{17}\right)_L = \left(\frac{1}{17}\right) = 1, \\ \left(\frac{9487}{7}\right)_L &= \left(\frac{2}{7}\right)_L = 1, \left(\frac{9487}{19}\right)_L = \left(\frac{6}{19}\right)_L = \left(\frac{25}{19}\right)_L = 1, \\ \left(\frac{9487}{11}\right)_L &= \left(\frac{5}{11}\right)_L = 1, \left(\frac{9487}{23}\right)_L = \left(\frac{11}{23}\right)_L = -\left(\frac{23}{11}\right)_L = -1, \\ \left(\frac{9487}{29}\right)_L &= \left(\frac{4}{29}\right)_L = 1. \end{split}$$

- Thus  $\mathcal{P} = \{-1, 2, 3, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 29\}.$
- Then by bf (QC)  $t_3 = \pm 1, t_7 = \pm 3, t_{11} = \pm 4,$

$$t_{13} = \pm 5$$
,  $t_{17} = \pm 1$ ,  $t_{19} = \pm 5$ ,  $t_{29} = \pm 2$ .

Sieve Robert C. Vaughan

The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination

- **Example 8.1.** Let n = 9487 and B = 30.
- We first need to check which primes  $p \le 30$  will occur.
- Thus for each odd prime  $p \le 30$  we need to ascertain whether n is a QR or a QNR modulo p.

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{9487}{3}\right)_L &= \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)_L = 1, \left(\frac{9487}{13}\right)_L = \left(\frac{10}{13}\right)_L = \left(\frac{36}{13}\right)_L = 1, \\ \left(\frac{9487}{5}\right)_L &= \left(\frac{2}{5}\right)_L = -1, \left(\frac{9487}{17}\right)_L = \left(\frac{1}{17}\right) = 1, \\ \left(\frac{9487}{7}\right)_L &= \left(\frac{2}{7}\right)_L = 1, \left(\frac{9487}{19}\right)_L = \left(\frac{6}{19}\right)_L = \left(\frac{25}{19}\right)_L = 1, \\ \left(\frac{9487}{11}\right)_L &= \left(\frac{5}{11}\right)_L = 1, \left(\frac{9487}{23}\right)_L = \left(\frac{11}{23}\right)_L = -\left(\frac{23}{11}\right)_L = -1, \\ \left(\frac{9487}{29}\right)_L &= \left(\frac{4}{29}\right)_L = 1. \end{split}$$

- Thus  $\mathcal{P} = \{-1, 2, 3, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 29\}.$
- Then by bf (QC)  $t_3 = \pm 1, t_7 = \pm 3, t_{11} = \pm 4$ ,

$$t_{13} = \pm 5, t_{17} = \pm 1, t_{19} = \pm 5, t_{29} = \pm 2.$$

• Now for a range of values of x near  $\sqrt{n} \approx 97$  we factorise  $f(x) = x^2 - n$ . At this stage we throw away the x which do not completely factor in our factor base.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Prolegomeno

The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination • Show Class467-08T1.pdf.

Prolegomenor

The Quadratic

Sieve

- Show Class467-08T1.pdf.
- In the table above, in the column below each prime I have included the exponent of the prime which occurs in the factorisation and the residual factor after that prime has been factored out.

Prolegomenor

The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination • I have included one such value, x = 82, below, so that you can see what happens. If n is proving awkward to factorise, one might go back and check to see if there are primes outside the factor base which occur in multiple places and then add them to the factor base. For example, f(92) and f(94) would completely factorise if we included the prime 31 in the factor base.

X	82	92	94
f(x)	-2763	-1023	-651
-1	2763,1	2763,0	651,1
2	2763,0	1023,1	651,0
3	307,2	341,1	217,1
7	307,0	341,0	31,1
11	307,0	31,0	31,0
13	307,0	31,0	31,0
17	307,0	31,0	31,0
19	307,0	31,0	31,0
29	307,0	31,0	31,0

Robert C. Vaughan

The Quadratic

Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination • Let  $\mathbf{v}(x)$  denote the vector of exponents in the factorization of f(x), so that

$$\mathbf{v}(85) = \langle 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1 \rangle,$$
  

$$\mathbf{v}(89) = \langle 1, 1, 3, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1 \rangle,$$
  

$$\mathbf{v}(98) = \langle 0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0 \rangle,$$

Prolegomenon

#### The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination • Let  $\mathbf{v}(x)$  denote the vector of exponents in the factorization of f(x), so that

$$\mathbf{v}(85) = \langle 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \\ \mathbf{v}(89) = \langle 1, 1, 3, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \\ \mathbf{v}(98) = \langle 0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0 \rangle,$$

• Then  $\mathbf{v}(85) + \mathbf{v}(89) + \mathbf{v}(98) = \langle 2, 2, 6, 0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 2 \rangle$  and the entries in this are all even.

Prolegomenon

## The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination • Let  $\mathbf{v}(x)$  denote the vector of exponents in the factorization of f(x), so that

- Then  $\mathbf{v}(85) + \mathbf{v}(89) + \mathbf{v}(98) = \langle 2, 2, 6, 0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 2 \rangle$  and the entries in this are all even.
- Thus, modulo 9487,

$$85^{2} \times 89^{2} \times 98^{2} \equiv (85^{2} - n)(89^{2} - n)(98^{2} - n)$$
$$741370^{2} \equiv (-1 \times 2 \times 3^{3} \times 13 \times 29)^{2} = 20358^{2}.$$

Prolegomenor

# The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination • Let  $\mathbf{v}(x)$  denote the vector of exponents in the factorization of f(x), so that

$$\mathbf{v}(85) = \langle 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, 
\mathbf{v}(89) = \langle 1, 1, 3, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, 
\mathbf{v}(98) = \langle 0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0 \rangle,$$

- Then  $\mathbf{v}(85) + \mathbf{v}(89) + \mathbf{v}(98) = \langle 2, 2, 6, 0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 2 \rangle$  and the entries in this are all even.
- Thus, modulo 9487,

$$85^{2} \times 89^{2} \times 98^{2} \equiv (85^{2} - n)(89^{2} - n)(98^{2} - n)$$
$$741370^{2} \equiv (-1 \times 2 \times 3^{3} \times 13 \times 29)^{2} = 20358^{2}.$$

Unfortunately

$$(741370 + 20358, 9487) = 1,$$
  
 $(741370 - 20358, 9487) = 9487.$ 

Prolegomenor

## The Quadratic

Note on Gaussian Elimination • We also have

$$\mathbf{v}(81) + \mathbf{v}(95) + \mathbf{v}(100) = \langle 2, 2, 4, 2, 2, 0, 0, 2, 0 \rangle,$$

Prolegomenoi

## The Quadratic

Note on Gaussian Elimination We also have

$$\mathbf{v}(81) + \mathbf{v}(95) + \mathbf{v}(100) = \langle 2, 2, 4, 2, 2, 0, 0, 2, 0 \rangle,$$

so that

$$81^2 \times 95^2 \times 100^2 \equiv (-1 \times 2 \times 3^2 \times 7 \times 11 \times 19)^2 \ (\text{mod } 9487).$$

## The Quadratic

Note on Gaussian Elimination We also have

$$\mathbf{v}(81) + \mathbf{v}(95) + \mathbf{v}(100) = \langle 2, 2, 4, 2, 2, 0, 0, 2, 0 \rangle,$$

so that

$$81^2 \times 95^2 \times 100^2 \equiv (-1 \times 2 \times 3^2 \times 7 \times 11 \times 19)^2 \text{ (mod 9487)}.$$

This gives

$$769500^2 \equiv 26334^2 \pmod{9487}$$

Prolegomenor

## The Quadratic

Note on Gaussian Elimination • We also have

$$\mathbf{v}(81) + \mathbf{v}(95) + \mathbf{v}(100) = \langle 2, 2, 4, 2, 2, 0, 0, 2, 0 \rangle,$$

so that

$$81^2 \times 95^2 \times 100^2 \equiv (-1 \times 2 \times 3^2 \times 7 \times 11 \times 19)^2 \text{ (mod 9487)}.$$

This gives

$$769500^2 \equiv 26334^2 \pmod{9487}$$

and

$$(769500 + 26334, 9487) = 179,$$
  
 $(769500 - 26334, 9487) = 53.$ 

> Robert C. Vaughan

Prolegomenoi

#### The Quadratic

Note on Gaussian Elimination

Sieve

• There is a lot to take away from this.

Robert C. Vaughan

Prolegomenor

The Quadratic Sieve

- There is a lot to take away from this.
- 1. We need to use the theory of quadratic residues, via the Legendre symbol and quadratic reciprocity to see which primes to include in the factor base.

Prolegomenon

The Quadratic

- There is a lot to take away from this.
- 1. We need to use the theory of quadratic residues, via the Legendre symbol and quadratic reciprocity to see which primes to include in the factor base.
- 2. We then need to sieve out the x, i.e remove those x for which f(x) does not completely factor in the factor base, and then to store the vector of exponents for each x which survives.

Prolegomenon
The Quadratic

Note on Gaussian

Sieve

- There is a lot to take away from this.
- 1. We need to use the theory of quadratic residues, via the Legendre symbol and quadratic reciprocity to see which primes to include in the factor base.
- 2. We then need to sieve out the x, i.e remove those x for which f(x) does not completely factor in the factor base, and then to store the vector of exponents for each x which survives.
- This can take a lot of memory.

Prolegomenon
The Quadratic

Note on Gaussian

Sieve

- There is a lot to take away from this.
- 1. We need to use the theory of quadratic residues, via the Legendre symbol and quadratic reciprocity to see which primes to include in the factor base.
- 2. We then need to sieve out the x, i.e remove those x for which f(x) does not completely factor in the factor base, and then to store the vector of exponents for each x which survives.
- This can take a lot of memory.
- 3. Whilst not apparent in the simple example above, we will need to work hard to find linear combinations of the vectors of exponents in which all the entries are even.

Prolegomenon
The Quadratic

Note on Gaussian

Sieve

- There is a lot to take away from this.
- 1. We need to use the theory of quadratic residues, via the Legendre symbol and quadratic reciprocity to see which primes to include in the factor base.
- 2. We then need to sieve out the x, i.e remove those x for which f(x) does not completely factor in the factor base, and then to store the vector of exponents for each x which survives.
- This can take a lot of memory.
- 3. Whilst not apparent in the simple example above, we will need to work hard to find linear combinations of the vectors of exponents in which all the entries are even.
- This will involve some form of Gaussian elimination. The complexity is somewhat reduced by the fact that we only need to do this modulo 2, but it will still also require quite a lot of memory.

Robert C. Vaughan

Prolegomenor

The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination  $\bullet$  Going back to the table. Show Class467-08T1.pdf.

Prolegomenor

The Quadratic Sieve

- Going back to the table. Show Class467-08T1.pdf.
- We can extract the exponents of each prime thus

Prolegomenor

The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination

- Going back to the table. Show Class467-08T1.pdf.
- We can extract the exponents of each prime thus

• Then we wish to find solutions to  $\mathcal{M}e\equiv 0\pmod 2$  other than 0.

Robert C. Vaughan

Prolegomenon
The Quadratic

Sieve Note on Going back to the table. Show Class467-08T1.pdf.

• We can extract the exponents of each prime thus

- $\bullet$  Then we wish to find solutions to  $\mathcal{M}e\equiv 0\pmod 2$  other than 0 .
- In other words we want the exponents in the prime factorisation of

$$f(x_1)^{e_1} \dots f(x_K)^{e_K}$$

to be even in a non-trivial way.

Prolegomenor

The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination • The standard way of doing this is through Gaussian elimination, and it suffices to perform it modulo 2, although for the matrices which occur for large *n*, which are sparse there are faster methods. For the numbers used here Gauss' method will suffice.

Prolegomenor

The Quadratic Sieve

- The standard way of doing this is through Gaussian elimination, and it suffices to perform it modulo 2, although for the matrices which occur for large n, which are sparse there are faster methods. For the numbers used here Gauss' method will suffice.
- On Class467-08T2.pdf I have listed the successive row operations, beginning with using the first row to eliminate the first entries in the other rows, and then using successive rows to eliminate the entries in the column corresponding to their leading entry.

Prolegomenor

The Quadratic Sieve

- The standard way of doing this is through Gaussian elimination, and it suffices to perform it modulo 2, although for the matrices which occur for large n, which are sparse there are faster methods. For the numbers used here Gauss' method will suffice.
- On Class467-08T2.pdf I have listed the successive row operations, beginning with using the first row to eliminate the first entries in the other rows, and then using successive rows to eliminate the entries in the column corresponding to their leading entry.
- Here is the final form of the matrix, from which we can read off the equations for e

Prolegomeno

#### The Quadratic Sieve

$$e_1 + e_8 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \quad e_2 + e_{10} \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$
  $e_3 + e_7 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \quad e_4 + e_7 \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$   $e_5 + e_8 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \quad e_6 + e_{10} \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$   $e_9 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$ 

Robert C. Vaughan

Prolegomeno

The Quadratic

Sieve

$$\begin{array}{c} e_1+e_8\equiv 0\pmod 2, & e_2+e_{10}\equiv 0\pmod 2,\\ e_3+e_7\equiv 0\pmod 2, & e_4+e_7\equiv 0\pmod 2,\\ e_5+e_8\equiv 0\pmod 2, & e_6+e_{10}\equiv 0\pmod 2,\\ & e_9\equiv 0\pmod 2. \end{array}$$

Prolegomenor

#### The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination

$$\begin{split} e_1 + e_8 &\equiv 0 \pmod{2}, & e_2 + e_{10} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ e_3 + e_7 &\equiv 0 \pmod{2}, & e_4 + e_7 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ e_5 + e_8 &\equiv 0 \pmod{2}, & e_6 + e_{10} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ & e_9 &\equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \end{split}$$

 Thus taking e<sub>7</sub>, e<sub>8</sub> and e<sub>10</sub> as the independent variables we see that

$$(f(x_3)f(x_4)f(x_7))^{e_7} (f(x_1)f(x_5)f(x_8))^{e_8} \times (f(x_2)f(x_6)f(x_{10}))^{e_{10}}$$

is always a perfect square.

Prolegomenor

### The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination

$$\begin{split} e_1 + e_8 &\equiv 0 \pmod{2}, & e_2 + e_{10} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ e_3 + e_7 &\equiv 0 \pmod{2}, & e_4 + e_7 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ e_5 + e_8 &\equiv 0 \pmod{2}, & e_6 + e_{10} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ & e_9 &\equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \end{split}$$

 Thus taking e<sub>7</sub>, e<sub>8</sub> and e<sub>10</sub> as the independent variables we see that

$$(f(x_3)f(x_4)f(x_7))^{e_7} (f(x_1)f(x_5)f(x_8))^{e_8} \times (f(x_2)f(x_6)f(x_{10}))^{e_{10}}$$

is always a perfect square.

• The choices  $e_7 = 1$ ,  $e_8 = e_{10} = 0$  and  $e_8 = 1$ ,  $e_7 = e_{10} = 0$  correspond to the solutions used above.

Robert C. Vaughan

The Quadratic

Gaussian Elimination

Sieve

$$e_1 + e_8 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \quad e_2 + e_{10} \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$
  $e_3 + e_7 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \quad e_4 + e_7 \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$   $e_5 + e_8 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \quad e_6 + e_{10} \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$   $e_9 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$ 

• Thus taking  $e_7$ ,  $e_8$  and  $e_{10}$  as the independent variables we see that

$$(f(x_3)f(x_4)f(x_7))^{e_7} (f(x_1)f(x_5)f(x_8))^{e_8} \times (f(x_2)f(x_6)f(x_{10}))^{e_{10}}$$

is always a perfect square.

- The choices  $e_7 = 1$ ,  $e_8 = e_{10} = 0$  and  $e_8 = 1$ ,  $e_7 = e_{10} = 0$  correspond to the solutions used above.
- The solution  $e_{10}=1, e_7=e_8=0$  does not give a factorization.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Prolegomenor

The Quadratic

Note on Gaussian Elimination

Sieve

• Here is another example with a somewhat larger n.

> Robert C. Vaughan

r rolegomenoi

The Quadratic Sieve

- Here is another example with a somewhat larger n.
- Example 8.3. Let n = 5479879 and take the sieving limit B = 50.

Prolegomenon

#### The Quadratic

- Here is another example with a somewhat larger n.
- Example 8.3. Let n = 5479879 and take the sieving limit B = 50.
- We first need to check which primes  $p \le 50$  will occur in the method.

Prolegomenon

## The Quadratic

- Here is another example with a somewhat larger n.
- Example 8.3. Let n = 5479879 and take the sieving limit B = 50.
- We first need to check which primes  $p \le 50$  will occur in the method.
- Thus for each odd prime  $p \le 50$  we need to ascertain whether n is a QR or a QNR modulo p.

Prolegomenon

## The Quadratic

- Here is another example with a somewhat larger n.
- Example 8.3. Let n = 5479879 and take the sieving limit B = 50.
- We first need to check which primes  $p \le 50$  will occur in the method.
- Thus for each odd prime  $p \le 50$  we need to ascertain whether n is a QR or a QNR modulo p.
- By (LJ) we obtain a factor base

$$\mathcal{P} = \{-1, 2, 3, 5, 11, 31, 47\}.$$

Prolegomenon

#### The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination

- Here is another example with a somewhat larger n.
- Example 8.3. Let n = 5479879 and take the sieving limit B = 50.
- We first need to check which primes  $p \le 50$  will occur in the method.
- Thus for each odd prime  $p \le 50$  we need to ascertain whether n is a QR or a QNR modulo p.
- By (LJ) we obtain a factor base

$$\mathcal{P} = \{-1, 2, 3, 5, 11, 31, 47\}.$$

• We have  $\sqrt{n} \approx 2340$ . For larger numbers such as n it is harder to obtain complete factorisations of  $f(x) = x^2 - n$ .

Prolegomenon

#### The Quadratic Sieve

- Here is another example with a somewhat larger n.
- Example 8.3. Let n = 5479879 and take the sieving limit B = 50.
- We first need to check which primes  $p \le 50$  will occur in the method.
- Thus for each odd prime  $p \le 50$  we need to ascertain whether n is a QR or a QNR modulo p.
- By (LJ) we obtain a factor base

$$\mathcal{P} = \{-1, 2, 3, 5, 11, 31, 47\}.$$

- We have  $\sqrt{n} \approx 2340$ . For larger numbers such as n it is harder to obtain complete factorisations of  $f(x) = x^2 n$ .
- Either the range for x has to be increased, or alternatively extend the factor base  $\mathcal{P}$ .

Robert C. Vaughan

Prolegomenon

The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination • See Class467-08T3.pdf.

Prolegomenor

The Quadratic Sieve

- See Class467-08T3.pdf.
- Now we extract the parity of the exponents for each prime and form the matrix

Prolegomenor

#### The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination

- See Class467-08T3.pdf.
- Now we extract the parity of the exponents for each prime and form the matrix

• We now apply Gaussian elimination and obtain

> Robert C. Vaughan

Prolegomeno

#### The Quadratic Sieve

Prolegomeno

### The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination •

Thus we find that

$$e_1 + e_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$
  $e_2 + e_4 + e_5 \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$   $e_3 + e_5 \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$   $e_6 \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$ 

#### Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 8 The Quadratic Sieve

Robert C. Vaughan

Prolegomeno

The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination Thus we find that

$$e_1 + e_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$
  $e_2 + e_4 + e_5 \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$   $e_3 + e_5 \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$   $e_6 \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$ 

Prolegomenor

The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination Thus we find that

$$e_1 + e_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$
  $e_2 + e_4 + e_5 \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$   $e_3 + e_5 \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$   $e_6 \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$ 

• We can take  $e_4$ ,  $e_5$  and  $e_6$  as the independent variables.

Prolegomenon

## The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination Thus we find that

$$e_1 + e_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$
  $e_2 + e_4 + e_5 \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$   $e_3 + e_5 \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$   $e_6 \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$ 

- We can take  $e_4$ ,  $e_5$  and  $e_6$  as the independent variables.
- Taking  $e_4$  and  $e_5$  as the independent variables we see that

$$e_1 \equiv e_4 \pmod{2},$$
  
 $e_2 \equiv e_4 + e_5 \pmod{2},$   
 $e_3 \equiv e_5 \pmod{2},$   
 $e_6 \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$ 

### The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination • Taking  $e_4$  and  $e_5$  as the independent variables we see that

$$e_1 \equiv e_4 \pmod{2},$$
  
 $e_2 \equiv e_4 + e_5 \pmod{2},$   
 $e_3 \equiv e_5 \pmod{2},$   
 $e_6 \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$ 

### The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination • Taking  $e_4$  and  $e_5$  as the independent variables we see that

$$e_1 \equiv e_4 \pmod{2},$$
  
 $e_2 \equiv e_4 + e_5 \pmod{2},$   
 $e_3 \equiv e_5 \pmod{2},$   
 $e_6 \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$ 

• and so each of

$$f(x_1)f(x_2)f(x_4),$$
  
 $f(x_2)f(x_3)f(x_5),$ 

is a perfect square.

#### Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 8 The Quadratic Sieve

Robert C. Vaughan

Prolegomenon

# The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination • Each of the following are squares.

$$f(x_1)f(x_2)f(x_4),$$
  
 $f(x_2)f(x_3)f(x_5),$ 

Prolegomenor

The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination • Each of the following are squares.

$$f(x_1)f(x_2)f(x_4),$$
  
 $f(x_2)f(x_3)f(x_5),$ 

We have

$$x_1 \times x_2 \times x_4 = 2198 \times 2225 \times 2373 = 11605275150$$

$$f(x_1)f(x_2)f(x_4) = (-1)^2 \times 2^2 \times 3^{10} \times 5^6 \times 11^4 \times 31^2$$
$$= (2 \times 3^5 \times 5^3 \times 11^2 \times 31)^2 = 227873250^2$$

Prolegomenor

The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination • Each of the following are squares.

$$f(x_1)f(x_2)f(x_4),$$
  
 $f(x_2)f(x_3)f(x_5),$ 

We have

$$x_1 \times x_2 \times x_4 = 2198 \times 2225 \times 2373 = 11605275150$$

$$f(x_1)f(x_2)f(x_4) = (-1)^2 \times 2^2 \times 3^{10} \times 5^6 \times 11^4 \times 31^2$$
$$= (2 \times 3^5 \times 5^3 \times 11^2 \times 31)^2 = 227873250^2$$

Thus

$$(11605275150 - 227873250, n)$$

$$= (11377401900, 5479879) = 5431$$

and

$$(1105275150 + 227873250, 5479879) = 1009.$$

Prolegomenor

The Quadratic Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination • We can also check the second relationship.

$$x_2 \times x_3 \times x_5 = 2225 \times 2252 \times 2383 = 11940498100$$

$$f(x_2)f(x_3)f(x_5) = (-1)^2 \times 2^2 \times 3^{12} \times 5^4 \times 11^4 \times 47^2$$
$$= (2 \times 3^6 \times 5^2 \times 11^2 \times 47)^2 = 207291150^2$$

Then

$$11940498100 - 207291150 = 11733206950,$$
 
$$11940498100 + 207291150 = 12147789250,$$
 
$$(11733206950, 5479879) = 1009$$

and

$$(12147789250, 5479879) = 5431.$$

The Quadrat

Note on Gaussian Elimination

# Note on Gaussian Elimination

 As part of the quadratic sieve we need to solve systems of linear congruences of the kind

$$a_{11}e_1 + a_{12}e_2 + \dots + a_{1m}e_m \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$
  
 $a_{21}e_1 + a_{22}e_2 + \dots + a_{2m}e_m \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$   
 $\vdots$   
 $a_{l1}e_1 + a_{l2}e_2 + \dots + a_{lm}e_m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$ 

Prolegomenoi

The Quadra

Note on Gaussian Elimination  As part of the quadratic sieve we need to solve systems of linear congruences of the kind

$$a_{11}e_1 + a_{12}e_2 + \dots + a_{1m}e_m \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$
  
 $a_{21}e_1 + a_{22}e_2 + \dots + a_{2m}e_m \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$   
 $\vdots$   
 $a_{I1}e_1 + a_{I2}e_2 + \dots + a_{Im}e_m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$ 

• In our situation the  $a_{jk}$  can be taken to be 1 or 0 which simplifies computation.

Prolegomenoi

The Quadra Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination  As part of the quadratic sieve we need to solve systems of linear congruences of the kind

$$a_{11}e_1 + a_{12}e_2 + \dots + a_{1m}e_m \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$
  
 $a_{21}e_1 + a_{22}e_2 + \dots + a_{2m}e_m \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$   
 $\vdots$   
 $a_{I1}e_1 + a_{I2}e_2 + \dots + a_{Im}e_m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$ 

- In our situation the  $a_{jk}$  can be taken to be 1 or 0 which simplifies computation.
- For the numbers we will deal with Gaussian elimination is adequate, and has the merit of being straightforward.

Prolegomeno

The Quadrat Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination

$$\begin{aligned} a_{11}e_1 + a_{12}e_2 + \cdots + a_{1m}e_m &\equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ a_{21}e_1 + a_{22}e_2 + \cdots + a_{2m}e_m &\equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ &\vdots &\vdots \\ a_{l1}e_1 + a_{l2}e_2 + \cdots + a_{lm}e_m &\equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \end{aligned}$$

We can write this more succinctly in matrix notation as

$$\mathcal{A}e = \mathbf{0}$$

where

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1m} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{I1} & a_{I2} & \cdots & a_{Im} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{e} = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \\ \vdots \\ e_m \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Prolegomenor

The Quadra Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1m} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{l1} & a_{l2} & \cdots & a_{lm} \end{pmatrix}$$

 The first observation that can be made is that it is immaterial as to the order in which we write the equations so at any state we can interchange them if it is convenient to do so. Thus we can suppose initially that a left-most non-zero entry is in the top row. This is sometimes called a pivot.

Prolegomenoi

The Quad

Note on Gaussian Elimination

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1m} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{l1} & a_{l2} & \cdots & a_{lm} \end{pmatrix}$$

- The first observation that can be made is that it is immaterial as to the order in which we write the equations so at any state we can interchange them if it is convenient to do so. Thus we can suppose initially that a left-most non-zero entry is in the top row. This is sometimes called a pivot.
- Our second observation is that in our original system of linear congruences we can take one equation and subtract it from another. This is equivalent to taking the corresponding row in the matrix and subtracting it from the second corresponding row.

Prolegomeno

The Quadrat Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1m} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{l1} & a_{l2} & \cdots & a_{lm} \end{pmatrix}$$

 When Gaussian elimination is applied generally in the real world one can even take real multiples of one row from another, but in this world we have the much simple environment of having only zeros and ones. Note that if subtraction gives -1 this is the same as 1.

Prolegomenor

The Quade Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1m} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{l1} & a_{l2} & \cdots & a_{lm} \end{pmatrix}$$

- When Gaussian elimination is applied generally in the real world one can even take real multiples of one row from another, but in this world we have the much simple environment of having only zeros and ones. Note that if subtraction gives -1 this is the same as 1.
- Denote the pivot in the top row by  $a_{j1}$ . We now take the first row and subtract it from every row with  $a_{jk}=1$ . Thus the new matrix will have  $a_{j1}=1$  and all the entries to the left and below it are 0.

Prolegomenoi

The Quad Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1m} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{l1} & a_{l2} & \cdots & a_{lm} \end{pmatrix}$$

- When Gaussian elimination is applied generally in the real world one can even take real multiples of one row from another, but in this world we have the much simple environment of having only zeros and ones. Note that if subtraction gives -1 this is the same as 1.
- Denote the pivot in the top row by  $a_{j1}$ . We now take the first row and subtract it from every row with  $a_{jk}=1$ . Thus the new matrix will have  $a_{j1}=1$  and all the entries to the left and below it are 0.
- We now repeat this process with the submatrix formed from the rows j + 1 through m.

Prolegomenor

The Quadrati

Note on Gaussian Elimination  We continue in this way until we have reduced the matrix to echelon form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & \cdots & a_{1m} \\ 0 & 1 & a_{23} & a_{24} & \cdots & a_{2m} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & a_{3m} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \vdots \\ & \vdots & & & \vdots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Prolegomenor

The Quadrat

Note on Gaussian Elimination  We continue in this way until we have reduced the matrix to echelon form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & \cdots & a_{1m} \\ 0 & 1 & a_{23} & a_{24} & \cdots & a_{2m} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & a_{3m} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \vdots \\ & \vdots & & & \vdots \end{pmatrix}.$$

 Note that the matrix might well have zeros on the diagonal from some point on. If so some of the rows at the bottom of the matrix are likely to consist of all zeros.

Prolegomenor

The Quadra

Note on Gaussian Elimination  We continue in this way until we have reduced the matrix to echelon form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & \cdots & a_{1m} \\ 0 & 1 & a_{23} & a_{24} & \cdots & a_{2m} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & a_{3m} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \vdots \\ & \vdots & & & \vdots \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Note that the matrix might well have zeros on the diagonal from some point on. If so some of the rows at the bottom of the matrix are likely to consist of all zeros.
- The first 1 in a row is called a pivot.

Prolegomeno

The Quadrati Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & \cdots & a_{1m} \\ 0 & 1 & a_{23} & a_{24} & \cdots & a_{2m} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & a_{3m} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \vdots \\ & \vdots & & & \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

 Starting from the bottom of the matrix we now use these pivots to remove any non-zero entry above the pivot.

\_\_ \_ \_

Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination

```
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & \cdots & a_{1m} \\ 0 & 1 & a_{23} & a_{24} & \cdots & a_{2m} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & a_{3m} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \vdots \\ & \vdots & & & \vdots \end{pmatrix}.
```

- Starting from the bottom of the matrix we now use these pivots to remove any non-zero entry above the pivot.
- Thus the last matrix would take on the shape

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & a_{13} & 0 & \cdots & a_{1m} \\ 0 & 1 & a_{23} & 0 & \cdots & a_{2m} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & a_{3m} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \vdots \\ & \vdots & & \vdots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Prolegomeno

The Quadrati Sieve

Note on Gaussian Elimination

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & \cdots & a_{1m} \\ 0 & 1 & a_{23} & a_{24} & \cdots & a_{2m} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & a_{3m} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \vdots \\ & \vdots & & & \vdots \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Starting from the bottom of the matrix we now use these pivots to remove any non-zero entry above the pivot.
- Thus the last matrix would take on the shape

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & a_{13} & 0 & \cdots & a_{1m} \\ 0 & 1 & a_{23} & 0 & \cdots & a_{2m} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & a_{3m} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \vdots \\ & \vdots & & \vdots \end{pmatrix}.$$

This is called reduced echelon form.

Prolegomeno

The Quadrat

Note on Gaussian Elimination

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & a_{13} & 0 & \cdots & a_{1m} \\ 0 & 1 & a_{23} & 0 & \cdots & a_{2m} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & a_{3m} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \vdots \\ & \vdots & & \vdots \end{pmatrix}.$$

 The variables corresponding to pivots are the dependent variables and the other variables are the independent ones.
 The values for the dependent variables are then easily read off in terms of the independent ones.

Prolegomenor

The Quadrat

Note on Gaussian Elimination Thus in Example 8.1 the reduced echelon form is

 $e_1$ ,  $e_2$ ,  $e_3$ ,  $e_4$ ,  $e_5$ ,  $e_6$  and  $e_9$  are dependent variables and the  $e_7$ ,  $e_8$  and  $e_{10}$  can be chosen at random.