Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 3 Congruences and Residue

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General

General polynomial congruence

Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 3 Congruences and Residue Classes

Robert C. Vaughan

September 8, 2023

Classes

Robert C. Vaughan

Definition 1

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and define the residue class \overline{r} modulo m by

$$\overline{r} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : m | (x - r)\}.$$

By the division algorithm every integer is in one

$$\overline{0},\overline{1},\ldots,\overline{m-1}.$$

This is often called a *complete* system of residues modulo m.

Residue Classes

Linear congruence:

Classes

Robert C. Vaughan

• We next topic was first developed by Gauss.

Definition 1

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and define the residue class \overline{r} modulo m by

$$\overline{r} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : m | (x - r)\}.$$

By the division algorithm every integer is in one

$$\overline{0},\overline{1},\ldots,\overline{m-1}.$$

This is often called a *complete* system of residues modulo m.

 The remarkable thing is that we can perform arithmetic on the residue classes just as if they were numbers.

Residue Classes

Linear

Congruences

Classes

Robert C Vaughan

We next topic was first developed by Gauss.

Definition 1

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and define the residue class \overline{r} modulo m by

$$\overline{r} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : m | (x - r)\}.$$

By the division algorithm every integer is in one

$$\overline{0},\overline{1},\ldots,\overline{m-1}.$$

This is often called a *complete* system of residues modulo *m*.

- The remarkable thing is that we can perform arithmetic on the residue classes just as if they were numbers.
- The residue class $\overline{0}$ behaves like the number 0,

Residue

• We next topic was first developed by Gauss.

Definition 1

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and define the residue class \overline{r} modulo m by

$$\overline{r} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : m | (x - r)\}.$$

By the division algorithm every integer is in one

$$\overline{0},\overline{1},\ldots,\overline{m-1}.$$

This is often called a *complete* system of residues modulo *m*.

- The remarkable thing is that we can perform arithmetic on the residue classes just as if they were numbers.
- The residue class $\overline{0}$ behaves like the number 0,
- because $\overline{0}$ is the set of multiples of m and adding any one of them to an element of \overline{r} does not change the remainder.

Robert C. Vaughan

Classes Linear

Ceneral

polynomial congruences

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General polynomia

ullet Thus for any r

$$\overline{0} + \overline{r} = \overline{r} = \overline{r} + \overline{0}.$$

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General polynomial congruence

• Thus for any *r*

$$\overline{0} + \overline{r} = \overline{r} = \overline{r} + \overline{0}.$$

• Suppose that we are given any two residue classes \overline{r} and \overline{s} modulo m. Let t be the remainder of r+s on division by m. Then the elements of \overline{r} and \overline{s} are of the form r+mx and s+mx and we know that r+s=t+mz for some z.

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General polynomial congruence

• Thus for any r

$$\overline{0} + \overline{r} = \overline{r} = \overline{r} + \overline{0}.$$

- Suppose that we are given any two residue classes \overline{r} and \overline{s} modulo m. Let t be the remainder of r+s on division by m. Then the elements of \overline{r} and \overline{s} are of the form r+mx and s+mx and we know that r+s=t+mz for some z.
- Thus r + mx + s + my = t + m(z + x + y) is in \overline{t} , and it is readily seen that the converse is true.

Residue Classes

congruence

General polynomial congruence

• Thus for any r

$$\overline{0} + \overline{r} = \overline{r} = \overline{r} + \overline{0}.$$

- Suppose that we are given any two residue classes \overline{r} and \overline{s} modulo m. Let t be the remainder of r+s on division by m. Then the elements of \overline{r} and \overline{s} are of the form r+mx and s+mx and we know that r+s=t+mz for some z.
- Thus r + mx + s + my = t + m(z + x + y) is in \overline{t} , and it is readily seen that the converse is true.
- Thus it makes sense to write $\overline{r} + \overline{s} = \overline{t}$, and then we have $\overline{r} + \overline{s} = \overline{s} + \overline{r}$.

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General polynomial congruence

• Thus for any r

$$\overline{0} + \overline{r} = \overline{r} = \overline{r} + \overline{0}.$$

- Suppose that we are given any two residue classes \overline{r} and \overline{s} modulo m. Let t be the remainder of r+s on division by m. Then the elements of \overline{r} and \overline{s} are of the form r+mx and s+mx and we know that r+s=t+mz for some z.
- Thus r + mx + s + my = t + m(z + x + y) is in \overline{t} , and it is readily seen that the converse is true.
- Thus it makes sense to write $\overline{r} + \overline{s} = \overline{t}$, and then we have $\overline{r} + \overline{s} = \overline{s} + \overline{r}$.
- One can also check that

$$\overline{r} + \overline{-r} = \overline{0}.$$

Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 3 Congruences and Residue

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General polynomia • In connection with this Gauss introduced a notation.

Definition 2

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If two integers x and y satisfy m|x-y, then we write

$$x \equiv y \pmod{m}$$

and we say that x is congruent to y modulo m.

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General polynomial congruence • In connection with this Gauss introduced a notation.

Definition 2

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If two integers x and y satisfy m|x-y, then we write

$$x \equiv y \pmod{m}$$

and we say that x is congruent to y modulo m.

• Here are some of the properties of congruences.

$$x \equiv x \pmod{m}$$
,

$$x \equiv y \pmod{m}$$
 iff $y \equiv x \pmod{m}$,

$$x \equiv y \pmod{m}, y \equiv z \pmod{m}$$
 implies $x \equiv z \pmod{m}$.

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General

General polynomial congruence

In connection with this Gauss introduced a notation.

Definition 2

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If two integers x and y satisfy m|x-y, then we write

$$x \equiv y \pmod{m}$$

and we say that x is congruent to y modulo m.

• Here are some of the properties of congruences.

$$x \equiv x \pmod{m}$$
,

$$x \equiv y \pmod{m}$$
 iff $y \equiv x \pmod{m}$,

$$x \equiv y \pmod{m}, y \equiv z \pmod{m}$$
 implies $x \equiv z \pmod{m}$.

These say that the relationship

is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.

Residue Classes

In connection with this Gauss introduced a notation.

Definition 2

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If two integers x and y satisfy m|x-y, then we write

$$x \equiv y \pmod{m}$$

and we say that x is congruent to y modulo m.

Here are some of the properties of congruences.

$$x \equiv x \pmod{m}$$
,

$$x \equiv y \pmod{m}$$
 iff $y \equiv x \pmod{m}$,

$$x \equiv y \pmod{m}, y \equiv z \pmod{m}$$
 implies $x \equiv z \pmod{m}$.

- These say that the relationship

 is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.
- I leave their proofs as an exercise.

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General polynomial congruence

• In connection with this Gauss introduced a notation.

Definition 2

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If two integers x and y satisfy m|x-y, then we write

$$x \equiv y \pmod{m}$$

and we say that x is congruent to y modulo m.

• Here are some of the properties of congruences.

$$x \equiv x \pmod{m}$$
,

$$x \equiv y \pmod{m}$$
 iff $y \equiv x \pmod{m}$,

$$x \equiv y \pmod{m}, y \equiv z \pmod{m}$$
 implies $x \equiv z \pmod{m}$.

- These say that the relationship \equiv is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.
- I leave their proofs as an exercise.
- It follows that congruences modulo *m* partition the integers into equivalence classes.

Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 3 Congruences and Residue Classes

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General polynomial congruence

One can also check the following

Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 3 Congruences and Residue Classes

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

- One can also check the following
- If $x \equiv y \pmod{m}$ and $z \equiv t \pmod{m}$, then $x + z \equiv y + t \pmod{m}$ and $xz \equiv yt \pmod{m}$.

Residue Classes

congruence

- One can also check the following
- If $x \equiv y \pmod{m}$ and $z \equiv t \pmod{m}$, then $x + z \equiv y + t \pmod{m}$ and $xz \equiv yt \pmod{m}$.
- If $x \equiv y \pmod{m}$, then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x^n \equiv y^n \pmod{m}$ (use induction on n).

Residue Classes

congruence

- One can also check the following
- If $x \equiv y \pmod{m}$ and $z \equiv t \pmod{m}$, then $x + z \equiv y + t \pmod{m}$ and $xz \equiv yt \pmod{m}$.
- If $x \equiv y \pmod{m}$, then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x^n \equiv y^n \pmod{m}$ (use induction on n).
- If f is a polynomial with integer coefficients, and $x \equiv y \pmod{m}$, then $f(x) \equiv f(y) \pmod{m}$.

Residue Classes

congruence

- One can also check the following
- If $x \equiv y \pmod{m}$ and $z \equiv t \pmod{m}$, then $x + z \equiv y + t \pmod{m}$ and $xz \equiv yt \pmod{m}$.
- If $x \equiv y \pmod{m}$, then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x^n \equiv y^n \pmod{m}$ (use induction on n).
- If f is a polynomial with integer coefficients, and $x \equiv y \pmod{m}$, then $f(x) \equiv f(y) \pmod{m}$.
- Wait a minute, this means that one can use congruences just like doing arithmetic on the integers!

Residue Classes

The following tells us something about this structure.

Theorem 3

Suppose that
$$m \in \mathbb{N}$$
, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(k, m) = 1$ and

$$\overline{a}_1, \overline{a}_2, \dots, \overline{a}_m$$

$$\overline{ka_1}, \overline{ka_2}, \ldots, \overline{ka_m}.$$

General polynomia congruence

• The following tells us something about this structure.

Theorem 3

Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, (k, m) = 1 and

$$\overline{a}_1, \overline{a}_2, \dots, \overline{a}_m$$

forms a complete set of residues modulo m. Then so does

$$\overline{ka_1}, \overline{ka_2}, \ldots, \overline{ka_m}.$$

• **Proof.** Since we have *m* residue classes, we need only check that they are disjoint.

• The following tells us something about this structure.

Theorem 3

Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, (k, m) = 1 and

$$\overline{a}_1, \overline{a}_2, \dots, \overline{a}_m$$

$$\overline{ka_1}, \overline{ka_2}, \ldots, \overline{ka_m}.$$

- **Proof.** Since we have *m* residue classes, we need only check that they are disjoint.
- Consider any two of them, $\overline{ka_i}$ and $\overline{ka_i}$.

General polynomia congruenc • The following tells us something about this structure.

Theorem 3

Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, (k, m) = 1 and

$$\overline{a}_1, \overline{a}_2, \dots, \overline{a}_m$$

$$\overline{ka_1}, \overline{ka_2}, \ldots, \overline{ka_m}.$$

- **Proof.** Since we have *m* residue classes, we need only check that they are disjoint.
- Consider any two of them, $\overline{ka_i}$ and $\overline{ka_i}$.
- Let $ka_i + mx$ and $ka_j + my$ be typical members of each.

• The following tells us something about this structure.

Theorem 3

Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, (k, m) = 1 and

$$\overline{a}_1, \overline{a}_2, \dots, \overline{a}_m$$

$$\overline{ka_1}, \overline{ka_2}, \ldots, \overline{ka_m}.$$

- **Proof.** Since we have *m* residue classes, we need only check that they are disjoint.
- Consider any two of them, $\overline{ka_i}$ and $\overline{ka_i}$.
- Let $ka_i + mx$ and $ka_j + my$ be typical members of each.
- If they were the same integer, than $ka_i + mx = ka_j + my$, so that $k(a_i a_i) = m(y x)$.

• The following tells us something about this structure.

Theorem 3

Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, (k, m) = 1 and

$$\overline{a}_1, \overline{a}_2, \dots, \overline{a}_m$$

$$\overline{ka_1}, \overline{ka_2}, \ldots, \overline{ka_m}.$$

- **Proof.** Since we have *m* residue classes, we need only check that they are disjoint.
- Consider any two of them, $\overline{ka_i}$ and $\overline{ka_i}$.
- Let $ka_i + mx$ and $ka_i + my$ be typical members of each.
- If they were the same integer, than $ka_i + mx = ka_j + my$, so that $k(a_i a_i) = m(y x)$.
- But then $m|k(a_i-a_j)$ and since (k,m)=1 we would have $m|a_i-a_j$ so \overline{a}_i and \overline{a}_j would be identical residue classes, so i=j.

Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 3 Congruences and Residue Classes

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear

General polynomia • An important rôle is played by the residue classes r modulo m with (r, m) = 1.

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General

General polynomial congruence

- An important rôle is played by the residue classes r modulo m with (r, m) = 1.
- In connection with this we introduce Euler's function.

Definition 4

A function defined on \mathbb{N} is called an arithmetical function.

Definition 5

Euler's function $\phi(n)$ is the number of $x \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \le x \le n$ and (x, n) = 1.

Definition 6

A set of $\phi(m)$ distinct residue classes \overline{r} modulo m with (r, m) = 1 is called a set of *reduced* residues modulo m.

General polynomial congruence

- An important rôle is played by the residue classes r modulo m with (r, m) = 1.
- In connection with this we introduce Euler's function.

Definition 4

A function defined on \mathbb{N} is called an arithmetical function.

Definition 5

Euler's function $\phi(n)$ is the number of $x \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \le x \le n$ and (x, n) = 1.

Definition 6

A set of $\phi(m)$ distinct residue classes \overline{r} modulo m with (r, m) = 1 is called a set of *reduced* residues modulo m.

• Since (1,1) = 1 we have $\phi(1) = 1$.

General polynomial congruence

• An important rôle is played by the residue classes r modulo m with (r, m) = 1.

• In connection with this we introduce Euler's function.

Definition 4

A function defined on \mathbb{N} is called an arithmetical function.

Definition 5

Euler's function $\phi(n)$ is the number of $x \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \le x \le n$ and (x, n) = 1.

Definition 6

A set of $\phi(m)$ distinct residue classes \overline{r} modulo m with (r, m) = 1 is called a set of *reduced* residues modulo m.

- Since (1,1) = 1 we have $\phi(1) = 1$.
- If p is prime, then the x with $1 \le x \le p-1$ satisfy (x,p)=1, but $(p,p)=p \ne 1$. Hence $\phi(p)=p-1$.

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General polynomial congruence

- An important rôle is played by the residue classes r modulo m with (r, m) = 1.
 - In connection with this we introduce Euler's function.

Definition 4

A function defined on \mathbb{N} is called an arithmetical function.

Definition 5

Euler's function $\phi(n)$ is the number of $x \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \le x \le n$ and (x, n) = 1.

Definition 6

A set of $\phi(m)$ distinct residue classes \overline{r} modulo m with (r, m) = 1 is called a set of *reduced* residues modulo m.

- Since (1,1) = 1 we have $\phi(1) = 1$.
- If p is prime, then the x with $1 \le x \le p-1$ satisfy (x,p)=1, but $(p,p)=p \ne 1$. Hence $\phi(p)=p-1$.
- The numbers x with $1 \le x \le 30$ and (x,30) = 1 are 1,7,11,13,17,19,23,29, so $\phi(30) = 8$.

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General polynomial

$$\frac{1}{m}, \frac{2}{m}, \ldots, \frac{m}{m}$$

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General polynomial congruence

 One way of thinking about reduced sets of residues is to start from a complete set of fractions with denominator m in the interval (0, 1]

$$\frac{1}{m}, \frac{2}{m}, \ldots, \frac{m}{m}.$$

 Now remove just the ones whose numerator has a common factor d > 1 with m. General polynomial congruence

$$\frac{1}{m}, \frac{2}{m}, \ldots, \frac{m}{m}.$$

- Now remove just the ones whose numerator has a common factor d > 1 with m.
- What is left are the $\phi(m)$ reduced fractions with denominator m.

General polynomial congruence

$$\frac{1}{m}, \frac{2}{m}, \ldots, \frac{m}{m}.$$

- Now remove just the ones whose numerator has a common factor d > 1 with m.
- What is left are the $\phi(m)$ reduced fractions with denominator m.
- Suppose instead of removing the non-reduced ones we just write them in their lowest form.

$$\frac{1}{m}, \frac{2}{m}, \ldots, \frac{m}{m}.$$

- Now remove just the ones whose numerator has a common factor d > 1 with m.
- What is left are the $\phi(m)$ reduced fractions with denominator m.
- Suppose instead of removing the non-reduced ones we just write them in their lowest form.
- Then for each divisor *k* of *m* we obtain all the reduced fractions with denominator *k*.

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

Congruence

General polynomial congruence

In fact we just proved the following.

Theorem 7

For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\sum_{k|m}\phi(k)=m.$$

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General polynomial congruence In fact we just proved the following.

Theorem 7

For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\sum_{k|m}\phi(k)=m.$$

• We just saw that $\phi(1) = 1$, $\phi(p) = p - 1$, $\phi(30) = 8$

Example 8

The divisors of 30 are 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 10, 15, 30 and

$$\phi(6) = 2, \ \phi(10) = 4, \phi(15) = 8$$

so

$$\sum_{k|30} \phi(k) = 1 + 1 + 2 + 4 + 2 + 4 + 8 + 8 = 30.$$

General polynomial congruence

 Now we can prove a companion theorem to Theorem 3 for reduced residue classes.

Theorem 9

Suppose that (k, m) = 1 and that

$$a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{\phi(m)}$$

forms a set of reduced residue classes modulo m. Then

$$ka_1, ka_2, \ldots, ka_{\phi(m)}$$

also forms a set of reduced residues modulo m.

General polynomial congruence

 Now we can prove a companion theorem to Theorem 3 for reduced residue classes.

Theorem 9

Suppose that (k, m) = 1 and that

$$a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{\phi(m)}$$

forms a set of reduced residue classes modulo m. Then

$$ka_1, ka_2, \ldots, ka_{\phi(m)}$$

also forms a set of reduced residues modulo m.

• **Proof.** In view of the earlier theorem the residue classes ka_j are distinct, and since $(a_j, m) = 1$ we have $(ka_j, m) = 1$ so they give $\phi(m)$ distinct reduced residue classes, so they are all of them in some order.

Residue Classes

We now examine the structure of residue systems.

Theorem 10

Suppose m, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and (m, n) = 1, and consider the xn + ymwith 1 < x < m and 1 < y < n. Then they form a complete set of residues modulo mn. If in addition x and y satisfy (x, m) = 1 and (y, n) = 1, then they form a reduced set.

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General polynomia congruence • We now examine the structure of residue systems.

Theorem 10

Suppose $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and (m, n) = 1, and consider the xn + ym with $1 \le x \le m$ and $1 \le y \le n$. Then they form a complete set of residues modulo mn. If in addition x and y satisfy (x, m) = 1 and (y, n) = 1, then they form a reduced set.

• **Proof.** If $xn + ym \equiv x'n + y'm \pmod{mn}$, then $xn \equiv x'n \pmod{m}$, so $x \equiv x' \pmod{m}$, x = x'. Likewise y = y'.

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General polynomial congruence

• We now examine the structure of residue systems.

Theorem 10

Suppose $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and (m, n) = 1, and consider the xn + ym with $1 \le x \le m$ and $1 \le y \le n$. Then they form a complete set of residues modulo mn. If in addition x and y satisfy (x, m) = 1 and (y, n) = 1, then they form a reduced set.

- **Proof.** If $xn + ym \equiv x'n + y'm \pmod{mn}$, then $xn \equiv x'n \pmod{m}$, so $x \equiv x' \pmod{m}$, x = x'. Likewise y = y'.
- Hence in either case the xn + ym are distinct modulo mn.

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General

General polynomial congruence

• We now examine the structure of residue systems.

Theorem 10

Suppose $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and (m, n) = 1, and consider the xn + ym with $1 \le x \le m$ and $1 \le y \le n$. Then they form a complete set of residues modulo mn. If in addition x and y satisfy (x, m) = 1 and (y, n) = 1, then they form a reduced set.

- **Proof.** If $xn + ym \equiv x'n + y'm \pmod{mn}$, then $xn \equiv x'n \pmod{m}$, so $x \equiv x' \pmod{m}$, x = x'. Likewise y = y'.
- Hence in either case the xn + ym are distinct modulo mn.
- In the unrestricted case we have *mn* objects, so they form a complete set.

Residue Classes

We now examine the structure of residue systems.

Theorem 10

Suppose m, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and (m, n) = 1, and consider the xn + ymwith 1 < x < m and 1 < y < n. Then they form a complete set of residues modulo mn. If in addition x and y satisfy (x, m) = 1 and (y, n) = 1, then they form a reduced set.

- **Proof.** If $xn + ym \equiv x'n + y'm \pmod{mn}$, then $xn \equiv x'n$ (mod m), so $x \equiv x' \pmod{m}$, x = x'. Likewise y = y'.
- Hence in either case the xn + ym are distinct modulo mn.
- In the unrestricted case we have mn objects, so they form a complete set.
- In the restricted case (xn + ym, m) = (xn, m) = (x, m) = 1and likewise (xn + ym, n) = 1, so (xn + ym, mn) = 1 and the xn + ym all belong to reduced residue classes.

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General polynomial congruence

• We now examine the structure of residue systems.

Theorem 10

Suppose $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and (m, n) = 1, and consider the xn + ym with $1 \le x \le m$ and $1 \le y \le n$. Then they form a complete set of residues modulo mn. If in addition x and y satisfy (x, m) = 1 and (y, n) = 1, then they form a reduced set.

- **Proof.** If $xn + ym \equiv x'n + y'm \pmod{mn}$, then $xn \equiv x'n \pmod{m}$, so $x \equiv x' \pmod{m}$, x = x'. Likewise y = y'.
- Hence in either case the xn + ym are distinct modulo mn.
- In the unrestricted case we have *mn* objects, so they form a complete set.
- In the restricted case (xn + ym, m) = (xn, m) = (x, m) = 1 and likewise (xn + ym, n) = 1, so (xn + ym, mn) = 1 and the xn + ym all belong to reduced residue classes.
- Now let (z, mn) = 1. Choose x', y', x, y so that x'n + y'm = 1, $x \equiv x'z \pmod{m}$ and $y \equiv y'z \pmod{n}$.

Residue Classes

congruence

General polynomial congruence

• We now examine the structure of residue systems.

Theorem 10

Suppose $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and (m, n) = 1, and consider the xn + ym with $1 \le x \le m$ and $1 \le y \le n$. Then they form a complete set of residues modulo mn. If in addition x and y satisfy (x, m) = 1 and (y, n) = 1, then they form a reduced set.

- **Proof.** If $xn + ym \equiv x'n + y'm \pmod{mn}$, then $xn \equiv x'n \pmod{m}$, so $x \equiv x' \pmod{m}$, x = x'. Likewise y = y'.
- Hence in either case the xn + ym are distinct modulo mn.
- In the unrestricted case we have *mn* objects, so they form a complete set.
- In the restricted case (xn + ym, m) = (xn, m) = (x, m) = 1 and likewise (xn + ym, n) = 1, so (xn + ym, mn) = 1 and the xn + ym all belong to reduced residue classes.
- Now let (z, mn) = 1. Choose x', y', x, y so that x'n + y'm = 1, $x \equiv x'z \pmod{m}$ and $y \equiv y'z \pmod{n}$.
- Then $xn + ym \equiv x'zn + y'zm = z \pmod{mn}$ and hence every reduced residue is included.

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General polynomial

• Here is a table of $xn + ym \pmod{mn}$ when m = 5, n = 6.

Example 11

	Х	1	2	3	4	5
у						
1		11	17	23	29	5
2		16	22	28	4	10
3		21	27	3	9	15
4		26	2	8	14	20
5		1	7	13	19	25
6		6	12	18	24	30

The 30 numbers 1 through 30 appear exactly once each. The 8 reduced residue classes occur precisely in the intersection of rows 1 and 5 and columns 1 through 4.

Residue Classes

Linear

congruence

General polynomial congruence

Immediate from Theorem 10 we have

Corollary 12

If
$$(m, n) = 1$$
, then $\phi(mn) = \phi(m)\phi(n)$.

General polynomial congruence

Immediate from Theorem 10 we have

Corollary 12

If
$$(m, n) = 1$$
, then $\phi(mn) = \phi(m)\phi(n)$.

ullet Thus ϕ is an example of a multiplicative function.

Definition 13

If an arithmetical function f which is not identically 0 satisfies

$$f(mn) = f(m)f(n)$$

whenever (m, n) = 1 we say that f is multiplicative.

General polynomial congruence Immediate from Theorem 10 we have

Corollary 12

If
$$(m, n) = 1$$
, then $\phi(mn) = \phi(m)\phi(n)$.

ullet Thus ϕ is an example of a multiplicative function.

Definition 13

If an arithmetical function f which is not identically 0 satisfies

$$f(mn) = f(m)f(n)$$

whenever (m, n) = 1 we say that f is multiplicative.

Thus we have another

Corollary 14

Euler's function is multiplicative.

This enables a full evaluation of $\phi(n)$.

Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 3 Congruences and Residue Classes

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

• If $n = p^k$, then the number of reduced residue classes modulo p^k is the number of x with $1 \le x \le p^k$ and $p \nmid x$.

Residue Classes

Linear

General polynomia

- If $n = p^k$, then the number of reduced residue classes modulo p^k is the number of x with $1 \le x \le p^k$ and $p \nmid x$.
- This is $p^k N$ where N is the number of x with $1 \le x \le p^k$ and p|x, and $N = p^{k-1}$.

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General polynomial congruence

- If $n = p^k$, then the number of reduced residue classes modulo p^k is the number of x with $1 \le x \le p^k$ and $p \nmid x$.
- This is $p^k N$ where N is the number of x with $1 \le x \le p^k$ and p|x, and $N = p^{k-1}$.
- Thus $\phi(p^k) = p^k p^{k-1} = p^k(1 1/p)$.

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General polynomial congruence

- If $n = p^k$, then the number of reduced residue classes modulo p^k is the number of x with $1 \le x \le p^k$ and $p \nmid x$.
- This is $p^k N$ where N is the number of x with $1 \le x \le p^k$ and p|x, and $N = p^{k-1}$.
- Thus $\phi(p^k) = p^k p^{k-1} = p^k(1 1/p)$.
- Putting this all together gives

Theorem 15

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\phi(n) = n \prod_{p \mid n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$ where when n = 1 we interpret the product as an "empty" product 1.

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General polynomial congruence • If $n = p^k$, then the number of reduced residue classes modulo p^k is the number of x with $1 \le x \le p^k$ and $p \nmid x$.

- This is $p^k N$ where N is the number of x with $1 \le x \le p^k$ and p|x, and $N = p^{k-1}$.
- Thus $\phi(p^k) = p^k p^{k-1} = p^k(1 1/p)$.
- Putting this all together gives

Theorem 15

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\phi(n) = n \prod_{p \mid n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$ where when n = 1 we interpret the product as an "empty" product 1.

Some special cases.

Example 16

We have $\phi(9) = 6$, $\phi(5) = 4$, $\phi(45) = 24$. Note that $\phi(3) = 2$ and $\phi(9) \neq \phi(3)^2$.

Factorization

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear

General

General polynomial congruences

Here is a beautiful and useful theorem.

Theorem 17 (Euler)

Suppose that
$$m \in \mathbb{N}$$
 and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $(a, m) = 1$. Then $a^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$.

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General polynomia congruence

Here is a beautiful and useful theorem.

Theorem 17 (Euler)

Suppose that
$$m \in \mathbb{N}$$
 and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $(a, m) = 1$. Then $a^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$.

• **Proof.** Let $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{\phi(m)}$ be a reduced set modulo m.

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General polynomial congruence

Here is a beautiful and useful theorem.

Theorem 17 (Euler)

Suppose that
$$m\in\mathbb{N}$$
 and $a\in\mathbb{Z}$ with $(a,m)=1$. Then $a^{\phi(m)}\equiv 1\pmod m$.

- **Proof.** Let $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{\phi(m)}$ be a reduced set modulo m.
- Then $aa_1, aa_2, \ldots, aa_{\phi(m)}$ is another. Hence

$$a_1 a_2 \dots a_{\phi(m)} \equiv a a_1 a a_2 \dots a a_{\phi(m)} \pmod{m}$$

$$\equiv a_1 a_2 \dots a_{\phi(m)} a^{\phi(m)} \pmod{m}.$$

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General polynomial congruence

Here is a beautiful and useful theorem.

Theorem 17 (Euler)

Suppose that
$$m\in\mathbb{N}$$
 and $a\in\mathbb{Z}$ with $(a,m)=1$. Then $a^{\phi(m)}\equiv 1\pmod m$.

- **Proof.** Let $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{\phi(m)}$ be a reduced set modulo m.
- Then $aa_1, aa_2, \ldots, aa_{\phi(m)}$ is another. Hence

$$a_1 a_2 \dots a_{\phi(m)} \equiv a a_1 a a_2 \dots a a_{\phi(m)} \pmod{m}$$

$$\equiv a_1 a_2 \dots a_{\phi(m)} a^{\phi(m)} \pmod{m}.$$

• As $(a_1a_2...a_{\phi(m)}, m) = 1$ we may cancel $a_1a_2...a_{\phi(m)}$.

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General polynomial congruence

Here is a beautiful and useful theorem.

Theorem 17 (Euler)

Suppose that $m\in\mathbb{N}$ and $a\in\mathbb{Z}$ with (a,m)=1. Then $a^{\phi(m)}\equiv 1\pmod{m}.$

- **Proof.** Let $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{\phi(m)}$ be a reduced set modulo m.
- Then $aa_1, aa_2, \ldots, aa_{\phi(m)}$ is another. Hence

$$a_1 a_2 \dots a_{\phi(m)} \equiv a a_1 a a_2 \dots a_{\phi(m)} \pmod{m}$$

$$\equiv a_1 a_2 \dots a_{\phi(m)} a^{\phi(m)} \pmod{m}.$$

- As $(a_1 a_2 ... a_{\phi(m)}, m) = 1$ we may cancel $a_1 a_2 ... a_{\phi(m)}$.
- Thus

Corollary 18 (Fermat)

Let p be a prime and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$. If $p \nmid a$, then $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

4 □ ≯ 4 □ ₽ ↑ 4 □ ₽ ↑ 3 ♥) ♥ (•

Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 3 Congruences and Residue Classes

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear

congruence

General polynomial congruences

Could Fermat's theorem give a primality test?

Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 3 Congruences and Residue Classes

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear

General

- Could Fermat's theorem give a primality test?
- Unfortunately it is possible that $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ when n is not prime, although this is rare.

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General polynomia congruence

- Could Fermat's theorem give a primality test?
- Unfortunately it is possible that $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ when n is not prime, although this is rare.
- For example, when are n = 341, 561, 645

$$2^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General polynomia congruence

- Could Fermat's theorem give a primality test?
- Unfortunately it is possible that $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ when n is not prime, although this is rare.
- For example, when are n = 341, 561, 645

$$2^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

• Such *n* are called *pseudoprimes*.

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruence

- Could Fermat's theorem give a primality test?
- Unfortunately it is possible that $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ when n is not prime, although this is rare.
- For example, when are n = 341, 561, 645

$$2^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

- Such *n* are called *pseudoprimes*.
- \bullet There are 245 less than 10^6 , compared with 78498 primes.

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruence

- Could Fermat's theorem give a primality test?
- Unfortunately it is possible that $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ when n is not prime, although this is rare.
- For example, when are n = 341, 561, 645

$$2^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

- Such *n* are called *pseudoprimes*.
- There are 245 less than 10⁶, compared with 78498 primes.
- Moreover

$$3^{341-1} \equiv 56 \neq 1 \pmod{341}$$

suggests a possible primality test.

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruence

- Could Fermat's theorem give a primality test?
- Unfortunately it is possible that $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ when n is not prime, although this is rare.
- For example, when are n = 341, 561, 645

$$2^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

- Such *n* are called *pseudoprimes*.
- There are 245 less than 10⁶, compared with 78498 primes.
- Moreover

$$3^{341-1} \equiv 56 \neq 1 \text{ (mod 341)}$$

suggests a possible primality test.

• Given n try trial division a few times, say for d = 2, 3, 5, 7 and then check successively for a = 2, 3, 5, 7

$$a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$
.

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruence Could Fermat's theorem give a primality test?

• Unfortunately it is possible that $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ when n is not prime, although this is rare.

• For example, when are n = 341, 561, 645

$$2^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

• Such *n* are called *pseudoprimes*.

• There are 245 less than 10⁶, compared with 78498 primes.

Moreover

$$3^{341-1} \equiv 56 \neq 1 \text{ (mod 341)}$$

suggests a possible primality test.

• Given n try trial division a few times, say for d = 2, 3, 5, 7 and then check successively for a = 2, 3, 5, 7

$$a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$
.

• Unfortunately one can still have false positives.

Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 3 Congruences and Residue Classes

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

congruences

General polynomial congruences

- Could Fermat's theorem give a primality test?
- Unfortunately it is possible that $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ when n is not prime, although this is rare.
- For example, when are n = 341, 561, 645

$$2^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

- Such *n* are called *pseudoprimes*.
- \bullet There are 245 less than 10^6 , compared with 78498 primes.
- Moreover

$$3^{341-1} \equiv 56 \neq 1 \pmod{341}$$

suggests a possible primality test.

• Given n try trial division a few times, say for d = 2, 3, 5, 7 and then check successively for a = 2, 3, 5, 7

$$a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$
.

- Unfortunately one can still have false positives.
- Thus 561 = 3.11.17 satisfies

$$a^{560} \equiv 1 \pmod{561}$$

for all a with (a, 561) = 1.



Residue Classes

Such numbers are interesting

Definition 19

A composite *n* which satisfies $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ for all *a* with (a, n) = 1 is called a Carmichael number.

Residue Classes

Such numbers are interesting

Definition 19

A composite n which satisfies $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ for all a with (a, n) = 1 is called a Carmichael number.

• There are infinitely Carmichael number. The smallest is 561 and there are 2163 of them below 25×10^9 .

Residue Classes

Such numbers are interesting

Definition 19

A composite n which satisfies $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ for all a with (a, n) = 1 is called a Carmichael number.

- There are infinitely Carmichael number. The smallest is 561 and there are 2163 of them below 25×10^9 .
- Also of interest.

Definition 20

Define $M(n) = 2^n - 1$. If it is prime it is a Mersenne prime.

Residue Classes

Such numbers are interesting

Definition 19

A composite *n* which satisfies $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ for all *a* with (a, n) = 1 is called a Carmichael number.

- There are infinitely Carmichael number. The smallest is 561 and there are 2163 of them below 25×10^9 .
- Also of interest.

Definition 20

Define $M(n) = 2^n - 1$. If it is prime it is a Mersenne prime.

• If
$$n = ab$$
, then $M(ab) = (2^a - 1)(2^{a(b-1)} + \cdots + 2^a + 1)$.

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General

General polynomial congruence Such numbers are interesting

Definition 19

A composite n which satisfies $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ for all a with (a, n) = 1 is called a Carmichael number.

- There are infinitely Carmichael number. The smallest is 561 and there are 2163 of them below 25×10^9 .
- Also of interest.

Definition 20

Define $M(n) = 2^n - 1$. If it is prime it is a Mersenne prime.

- If n = ab, then $M(ab) = (2^a 1)(2^{a(b-1)} + \cdots + 2^a + 1)$.
- Thus for M(n) to be prime it is necessary that n be prime.

Example 21

We have $3 = 2^2 - 1$, $7 = 2^3 - 1$, $31 = 2^5 - 1127 = 2^7 - 1$. However that is not sufficient. $2^{11} - 1 = 2047 = 23 \times 89$. Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 3 Congruences and Residue Classes

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General

General polynomial congruences

• As with linear equations, linear congruences are easiest.

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomia congruence

- As with linear equations, linear congruences are easiest.
- We have already solved $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ in principle since it is equivalent to ax + my = b.

Theorem 22

The congruence $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ is soluble iff (a, m)|b, and the general solution is given by a residue class x_0 modulo m/(a, m). x_0 can be found by applying Euclid's algorithm.

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomia congruenc

- As with linear equations, linear congruences are easiest.
- We have already solved $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ in principle since it is equivalent to ax + my = b.

Theorem 22

The congruence $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ is soluble iff (a, m)|b, and the general solution is given by a residue class x_0 modulo m/(a, m). x_0 can be found by applying Euclid's algorithm.

• **Proof.** The congruence is equivalent to the equation ax + my = b and there can be no solution if $(a, m) \nmid b$.

- As with linear equations, linear congruences are easiest.
- We have already solved $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ in principle since it is equivalent to ax + my = b.

Theorem 22

The congruence $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ is soluble iff (a, m)|b, and the general solution is given by a residue class x_0 modulo m/(a, m). x_0 can be found by applying Euclid's algorithm.

- **Proof.** The congruence is equivalent to the equation ax + my = b and there can be no solution if $(a, m) \nmid b$.
- If (a, m)|b, then Euclid's algorithm solves

$$\frac{a}{(a,m)}x+\frac{m}{(a,m)}y=\frac{b}{(a,m)}.$$

General polynomia congruence

- As with linear equations, linear congruences are easiest.
- We have already solved $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ in principle since it is equivalent to ax + my = b.

Theorem 22

The congruence $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ is soluble iff (a, m)|b, and the general solution is given by a residue class x_0 modulo m/(a, m). x_0 can be found by applying Euclid's algorithm.

- **Proof.** The congruence is equivalent to the equation ax + my = b and there can be no solution if $(a, m) \nmid b$.
- If (a, m)|b, then Euclid's algorithm solves

$$\frac{a}{(a,m)}x + \frac{m}{(a,m)}y = \frac{b}{(a,m)}.$$

• Let x_0 , y_0 be such a solution and let x, y be any solution. Then $a/(a,m)(x-x_0)\equiv 0\pmod{m/(a,m)}$ and since (a/(a,m),m/(a,m))=1 it follows that x is in the residue class $x_0\pmod{m/(a,m)}$.

Linear congruences

General

General polynomial congruence

• A curious result which uses somewhat similar ideas.

Theorem 23 (Wilson)

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruence

• A curious result which uses somewhat similar ideas.

Theorem 23 (Wilson)

Let p be a prime number, then $(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.

• **Proof.** The cases p=2 and p=3 are $(2-1)!=1\equiv -1\pmod 2$ and $(3-1)!=2\equiv -1\pmod 3$.

Linear congruences

General polynomia congruence

• A curious result which uses somewhat similar ideas.

Theorem 23 (Wilson)

- **Proof.** The cases p=2 and p=3 are $(2-1)!=1\equiv -1\pmod 2$ and $(3-1)!=2\equiv -1\pmod 3$.
- Thus we may suppose $p \ge 5$. Observe now that $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ implies $x \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$

Theorem 23 (Wilson)

- **Proof.** The cases p=2 and p=3 are $(2-1)!=1\equiv -1\pmod 2$ and $(3-1)!=2\equiv -1\pmod 3$.
- Thus we may suppose $p \ge 5$. Observe now that $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ implies $x \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$
- Thus the numbers $2, 3, \ldots, p-2$ can be paired off into $\frac{p-3}{2}$ mutually exclusive pairs a, b such that $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

Theorem 23 (Wilson)

- **Proof.** The cases p=2 and p=3 are $(2-1)!=1\equiv -1\pmod 2$ and $(3-1)!=2\equiv -1\pmod 3$.
- Thus we may suppose $p \ge 5$. Observe now that $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ implies $x \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$
- Thus the numbers $2, 3, \ldots, p-2$ can be paired off into $\frac{p-3}{2}$ mutually exclusive pairs a, b such that $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.
- Thus $(p-1)! \equiv p-1 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.

Theorem 23 (Wilson)

- **Proof.** The cases p=2 and p=3 are $(2-1)!=1\equiv -1\pmod 2$ and $(3-1)!=2\equiv -1\pmod 3$.
- Thus we may suppose $p \ge 5$. Observe now that $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ implies $x \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$
- Thus the numbers $2, 3, \ldots, p-2$ can be paired off into $\frac{p-3}{2}$ mutually exclusive pairs a, b such that $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.
- Thus $(p-1)! \equiv p-1 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.
- This theorem actually gives a necessary and sufficient condition for p to be a prime, since if p were to be composite, then we would have ((p-1)!, p) > 1.

Theorem 23 (Wilson)

- **Proof.** The cases p=2 and p=3 are $(2-1)!=1\equiv -1\pmod 2$ and $(3-1)!=2\equiv -1\pmod 3$.
- Thus we may suppose $p \ge 5$. Observe now that $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ implies $x \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$
- Thus the numbers $2, 3, \ldots, p-2$ can be paired off into $\frac{p-3}{2}$ mutually exclusive pairs a, b such that $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.
- Thus $(p-1)! \equiv p-1 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.
- This theorem actually gives a necessary and sufficient condition for p to be a prime, since if p were to be composite, then we would have ((p-1)!, p) > 1.
- However this is useless since (p-1)! grows very rapidly.

Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 3 Congruences and Residue Classes

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomia

• What about simultaneous linear congruences?

$$\begin{cases} a_1 x \equiv b_1 \pmod{q_1}, \\ \dots & \dots \\ a_r x \equiv b_r \pmod{q_r}. \end{cases}$$
 (2.1)

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomia

What about simultaneous linear congruences?

$$\begin{cases} a_1 x \equiv b_1 \pmod{q_1}, \\ \dots & \dots \\ a_r x \equiv b_r \pmod{q_r}. \end{cases}$$
 (2.1)

• There can only be a solution when each individual equation is soluble, so we require $(a_j, q_j)|b_j$ for every j.

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomia congruence

• What about simultaneous linear congruences?

$$\begin{cases} a_1 x \equiv b_1 \pmod{q_1}, \\ \dots & \dots \\ a_r x \equiv b_r \pmod{q_r}. \end{cases}$$
 (2.1)

- There can only be a solution when each individual equation is soluble, so we require $(a_j, q_j)|b_j$ for every j.
- Then we know that each individual equation is soluble by some residue class modulo $q_j/(a_j,q_j)$. Thus for some values of c_j and m_j this reduces to

$$\begin{cases} x & \equiv c_1 \pmod{m_1}, \\ \dots & \dots \\ x & \equiv c_r \pmod{m_r} \end{cases}$$
 (2.2)

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomia congruence

• What about simultaneous linear congruences?

$$\begin{cases} a_1 x \equiv b_1 \pmod{q_1}, \\ \dots & \dots \\ a_r x \equiv b_r \pmod{q_r}. \end{cases}$$
 (2.1)

- There can only be a solution when each individual equation is soluble, so we require $(a_j, q_j)|b_j$ for every j.
- Then we know that each individual equation is soluble by some residue class modulo $q_j/(a_j,q_j)$. Thus for some values of c_j and m_j this reduces to

$$\begin{cases} x & \equiv c_1 \pmod{m_1}, \\ \dots & \dots \\ x & \equiv c_r \pmod{m_r} \end{cases}$$
 (2.2)

• Suppose for some i and $j \neq i$ we have $(m_i, m_j) = d > 1$.

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruence

What about simultaneous linear congruences?

$$\begin{cases} a_1 x \equiv b_1 \pmod{q_1}, \\ \dots & \dots \\ a_r x \equiv b_r \pmod{q_r}. \end{cases}$$
 (2.1)

- There can only be a solution when each individual equation is soluble, so we require $(a_j, q_j)|b_j$ for every j.
- Then we know that each individual equation is soluble by some residue class modulo $q_j/(a_j,q_j)$. Thus for some values of c_j and m_j this reduces to

$$\begin{cases} x & \equiv c_1 \pmod{m_1}, \\ \dots & \dots \\ x & \equiv c_r \pmod{m_r} \end{cases}$$
 (2.2)

- Suppose for some i and $j \neq i$ we have $(m_i, m_j) = d > 1$.
- Then x has to satisfy $c_i \equiv x \equiv c_i \pmod{d}$.

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruence

What about simultaneous linear congruences?

$$\begin{cases} a_1 x \equiv b_1 \pmod{q_1}, \\ \dots & \dots \\ a_r x \equiv b_r \pmod{q_r}. \end{cases}$$
 (2.1)

- There can only be a solution when each individual equation is soluble, so we require $(a_j, q_j)|b_j$ for every j.
- Then we know that each individual equation is soluble by some residue class modulo $q_j/(a_j,q_j)$. Thus for some values of c_j and m_j this reduces to

$$\begin{cases} x & \equiv c_1 \pmod{m_1}, \\ \dots & \dots \\ x & \equiv c_r \pmod{m_r} \end{cases}$$
 (2.2)

- Suppose for some i and $j \neq i$ we have $(m_i, m_j) = d > 1$.
- Then x has to satisfy $c_i \equiv x \equiv c_i \pmod{d}$.
- This imposes conditions on c_j which can get complicated.

Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 3 Congruences and Residue Classes

Vaughan

Robert C.

Linear congruences

What about simultaneous linear congruences?

values of c_i and m_i this reduces to

 $\begin{cases} a_1x & \equiv b_1 \pmod{q_1}, \\ \dots & \dots \\ a_rx & \equiv b_r \pmod{q_r}. \end{cases}$

There can only be a solution when each individual

equation is soluble, so we require $(a_i, q_i)|b_i$ for every j.

• Then we know that each individual equation is soluble by

some residue class modulo $q_i/(a_i,q_i)$. Thus for some

(2.1)

 $\begin{cases} x & \equiv c_1 \pmod{m_1}, \\ \dots & \dots \\ x & \equiv c_r \pmod{m_r} \end{cases}$

• Suppose for some i and $j \neq i$ we have $(m_i, m_i) = d > 1$.

• Then x has to satisfy $c_i \equiv x \equiv c_i \pmod{d}$.

This imposes conditions on c_i which can get complicated.

• Thus it is convenient to assume $(m_i, m_j) = 1$ when $i \neq j$.

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

Congruence

General polynomia congruenc • The following is known as the Chinese Remainder Theorem

Theorem 24

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomia congruence • The following is known as the Chinese Remainder Theorem

Theorem 24

Suppose that $(m_i, m_j) = 1$ for every $i \neq j$. Then the system (2.2) has as its complete solution precisely the members of a unique residue class modulo $m_1 m_2 \dots m_r$.

• **Proof.** We first show that there is a solution.

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomia congruence • The following is known as the Chinese Remainder Theorem

Theorem 24

- **Proof.** We first show that there is a solution.
- Let $M=m_1m_2\dots m_r$ and $M_j=M/m_j$, so that $(M_j,m_j)=1$.

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomia congruenc • The following is known as the Chinese Remainder Theorem

Theorem 24

- **Proof.** We first show that there is a solution.
- Let $M = m_1 m_2 \dots m_r$ and $M_j = M/m_j$, so that $(M_j, m_j) = 1$.
- We know that there is an N_j so that $M_j N_j \equiv c_j \pmod{m_j}$ (solve $yM_j \equiv c_j \pmod{m_j}$ in y).

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomia congruence • The following is known as the Chinese Remainder Theorem

Theorem 24

- **Proof.** We first show that there is a solution.
- Let $M = m_1 m_2 \dots m_r$ and $M_j = M/m_j$, so that $(M_j, m_j) = 1$.
- We know that there is an N_j so that $M_j N_j \equiv c_j \pmod{m_j}$ (solve $yM_j \equiv c_j \pmod{m_j}$ in y).
- Let x be any member of the residue class

$$N_1M_1+\cdots+N_rM_r\pmod{M}$$
.

Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 3 Congruences and Residue Classes

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruence

• The following is known as the Chinese Remainder Theorem

Theorem 24

Suppose that $(m_i, m_j) = 1$ for every $i \neq j$. Then the system (2.2) has as its complete solution precisely the members of a unique residue class modulo $m_1 m_2 \dots m_r$.

- **Proof.** We first show that there is a solution.
- Let $M = m_1 m_2 \dots m_r$ and $M_j = M/m_j$, so that $(M_i, m_i) = 1$.
- We know that there is an N_j so that $M_j N_j \equiv c_j \pmod{m_j}$ (solve $yM_j \equiv c_j \pmod{m_j}$ in y).
- Let x be any member of the residue class

$$N_1M_1+\cdots+N_rM_r\pmod{M}$$
.

• Then for every j, since $m_i | M_i$ when $i \neq j$ we have

$$x \equiv N_j M_j \pmod{m_j}$$
$$\equiv c_i \pmod{m_i}$$

so the residue class $x \pmod{M}$ gives a solution.

Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 3 Congruences and Residue Classes

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

```
\begin{cases} x & \equiv c_1 \pmod{m_1}, \\ \dots & \dots \\ x & \equiv c_r \pmod{m_r} \end{cases}
```

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomia congruenc $\begin{cases} x & \equiv c_1 \pmod{m_1}, \\ \dots & \dots \\ x & \equiv c_r \pmod{m_r} \end{cases}$

 Now we have to show that the solution modulo M is unique.

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruence •

$$\begin{cases} x & \equiv c_1 \pmod{m_1}, \\ \dots & \dots \\ x & \equiv c_r \pmod{m_r} \end{cases}$$

- Now we have to show that the solution modulo M is unique.
- Suppose *y* is also a solution of the system.

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruence $\begin{cases} x & \equiv c_1 \pmod{m_1}, \\ \dots & \dots \\ x & \equiv c_r \pmod{m_r} \end{cases}$

- Now we have to show that the solution modulo M is unique.
- Suppose *y* is also a solution of the system.
- Then for every j we have

$$y \equiv c_j \pmod{m_j}$$
$$\equiv x \pmod{m_j}$$

and so $m_j|y-x$.

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruence

 $\begin{cases} x & \equiv c_1 \pmod{m_1}, \\ \dots & \dots \\ x & \equiv c_r \pmod{m_r} \end{cases}$

- Now we have to show that the solution modulo M is unique.
- Suppose *y* is also a solution of the system.
- Then for every j we have

$$y \equiv c_j \pmod{m_j}$$

 $\equiv x \pmod{m_j}$

and so $m_j|y-x$.

• Since the m_j are pairwise co-prime we have M|y-x, so y is in the residue class x modulo M.

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomia Consider

Example 25

$$x \equiv 3 \pmod{4},$$

 $x \equiv 5 \pmod{21},$
 $x \equiv 7 \pmod{25}.$

Linear congruences

Consider

Example 25

$$x \equiv 3 \pmod{4},$$

 $x \equiv 5 \pmod{21},$
 $x \equiv 7 \pmod{25}.$

• $m_1 = 4$, $m_2 = 21$, $m_3 = 25$, M = 2100, $M_1 = 525$. $M_2 = 100$, $M_3 = 84$. Thus first we have to solve

$$525N_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4},$$

 $100N_2 \equiv 5 \pmod{21},$
 $84N_3 \equiv 7 \pmod{25}.$

Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 3 Congruences and Residue Classes

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residu

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

$$525N_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4},$$

 $100N_2 \equiv 5 \pmod{21},$
 $84N_3 \equiv 7 \pmod{25}.$

Residu Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomia congruence

525*N*₁

$$525N_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4},$$

 $100N_2 \equiv 5 \pmod{21},$
 $84N_3 \equiv 7 \pmod{25}.$

Reducing the constants gives

$$N_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4},$$

 $(-5)N_2 \equiv 5 \pmod{21},$
 $9N_3 \equiv 7 \pmod{25}.$

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruence

 $525N_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4},$ $100N_2 \equiv 5 \pmod{21},$ $84N_3 \equiv 7 \pmod{25}.$

Reducing the constants gives

$$N_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4},$$

 $(-5)N_2 \equiv 5 \pmod{21},$
 $9N_3 \equiv 7 \pmod{25}.$

• Thus we can take $N_1 = 3$, $N_2 = 20$, $7 \equiv -18 \pmod{25}$ so $N_3 \equiv -2 \equiv 23 \pmod{25}$. Then the complete solution is

$$x \equiv N_1 M_1 + N_2 M_2 + N_3 M_3$$

= $3 \times 525 + 20 \times 100 + 23 \times 84$
= 5507
 $\equiv 1307 \pmod{2100}$.

Residue

Linear

General polynomial congruences

 The solution of a general polynomial congruence can be quite tricky, even for a polynomial with a single variable

$$f(x) := a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_j x^j + \dots + a_J x^J \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$$
 (3.3)

where the a_j are integers.

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General polynomial congruences

 The solution of a general polynomial congruence can be quite tricky, even for a polynomial with a single variable

$$f(x) := a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_j x^j + \dots + a_J x^J \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$$
 (3.3)

where the a_j are integers.

• The largest k such that $a_k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ is the degree of f modulo m.

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General polynomial congruences

 The solution of a general polynomial congruence can be quite tricky, even for a polynomial with a single variable

$$f(x) := a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_j x^j + \dots + a_J x^J \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$$
 (3.3)

where the a_j are integers.

- The largest k such that $a_k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ is the degree of f modulo m.
- If a_j

 0 (mod m) for every j, then the degree of f modulo m is not defined.

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General polynomial congruences

 The solution of a general polynomial congruence can be quite tricky, even for a polynomial with a single variable

$$f(x) := a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_j x^j + \dots + a_J x^J \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$$
 (3.3)

where the a_j are integers.

- The largest k such that $a_k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ is the degree of f modulo m.
- If a_j

 0 (mod m) for every j, then the degree of f modulo m is not defined.
- We have already seen that

$$x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$$

is solved by any odd x, so that it has four solutions modulo 8, $x \equiv 1, 3, 5, 7 \pmod{8}$.

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General polynomial congruences

 The solution of a general polynomial congruence can be quite tricky, even for a polynomial with a single variable

$$f(x) := a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_j x^j + \dots + a_J x^J \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$$
 (3.3)

where the a_j are integers.

- The largest k such that $a_k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ is the degree of f modulo m.
- If a_j

 0 (mod m) for every j, then the degree of f modulo m is not defined.
- We have already seen that

$$x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$$

is solved by any odd x, so that it has four solutions modulo 8, $x \equiv 1, 3, 5, 7 \pmod{8}$.

• That is, more than the degree 2. However, when the modulus is prime we have a more familiar conclusion.

Linear congruence

General polynomial congruences

• When we have a solution x to a polynomial congruence such as (3.3) we may sometimes refer to such values as a *root* of the polynomial modulo m.

Theorem 26 (Lagrange)

Suppose that p is prime, and $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_jx^j + \cdots$ is a polynomial with integer coefficients a_j and it has degree k modulo p. Then the number of incongruent solutions of

$$f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

is at most k.

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

• When we have a solution x to a polynomial congruence such as (3.3) we may sometimes refer to such values as a *root* of the polynomial modulo m.

Theorem 26 (Lagrange)

Suppose that p is prime, and $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_jx^j + \cdots$ is a polynomial with integer coefficients a_j and it has degree k modulo p. Then the number of incongruent solutions of

$$f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

is at most k.

• **Proof.** Degree 0 is obvious so we suppose $k \ge 1$.

Linear congruence

General polynomial congruences

• When we have a solution x to a polynomial congruence such as (3.3) we may sometimes refer to such values as a *root* of the polynomial modulo m.

Theorem 26 (Lagrange)

Suppose that p is prime, and $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_jx^j + \cdots$ is a polynomial with integer coefficients a_j and it has degree k modulo p. Then the number of incongruent solutions of

$$f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

is at most k.

- **Proof.** Degree 0 is obvious so we suppose $k \ge 1$.
- We use induction on the degree k.

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • When we have a solution x to a polynomial congruence such as (3.3) we may sometimes refer to such values as a *root* of the polynomial modulo m.

Theorem 26 (Lagrange)

Suppose that p is prime, and $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_jx^j + \cdots$ is a polynomial with integer coefficients a_j and it has degree k modulo p. Then the number of incongruent solutions of

$$f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

is at most k.

- **Proof.** Degree 0 is obvious so we suppose k > 1.
- We use induction on the degree *k*.
- If a polynomial f has degree 1 modulo p, so that $f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x$ with $p \nmid a_1$, then the congruence becomes $a_1 x \equiv -a_0 \pmod{p}$ and since $a_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ (because f has degree 1) we know that this is soluble by precisely the members of a unique residue class modulo p.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residu Classes

Linear

General polynomial congruences

• Now suppose that the conclusion holds for all polynomials of a given degree k and suppose that f has degree k+1.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue

Linear congruence

General polynomial congruences

- Now suppose that the conclusion holds for all polynomials of a given degree k and suppose that f has degree k + 1.
- If $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ has no solutions, then we are done.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General polynomial congruences

- Now suppose that the conclusion holds for all polynomials of a given degree k and suppose that f has degree k+1.
- If $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ has no solutions, then we are done.
- Hence we may assume at least one, say $x \equiv x_0 \pmod{p}$.

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General polynomial congruences

- Now suppose that the conclusion holds for all polynomials of a given degree k and suppose that f has degree k + 1.
- If $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ has no solutions, then we are done.
- Hence we may assume at least one, say $x \equiv x_0 \pmod{p}$.
- By the division algorithm for polynomials we have

$$f(x) = (x - x_0)q(x) + f(x_0)$$

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General polynomial congruences

- Now suppose that the conclusion holds for all polynomials of a given degree k and suppose that f has degree k + 1.
- If $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ has no solutions, then we are done.
- Hence we may assume at least one, say $x \equiv x_0 \pmod{p}$.
- By the division algorithm for polynomials we have

$$f(x) = (x - x_0)q(x) + f(x_0)$$

where q(x) is a polynomial of degree k.

Moreover the leading coefficient of q(x) is a_k ≠ 0 (mod p).

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General polynomial congruences

- Now suppose that the conclusion holds for all polynomials of a given degree k and suppose that f has degree k + 1.
- If $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ has no solutions, then we are done.
- Hence we may assume at least one, say $x \equiv x_0 \pmod{p}$.
- By the division algorithm for polynomials we have

$$f(x) = (x - x_0)q(x) + f(x_0)$$

- Moreover the leading coefficient of q(x) is a_k ≠ 0 (mod p).
- But $f(x_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, so that $f(x) \equiv (x x_0)q(x) \pmod{p}$.

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General polynomial congruences

- Now suppose that the conclusion holds for all polynomials of a given degree k and suppose that f has degree k + 1.
- If $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ has no solutions, then we are done.
- Hence we may assume at least one, say $x \equiv x_0 \pmod{p}$.
- By the division algorithm for polynomials we have

$$f(x) = (x - x_0)q(x) + f(x_0)$$

- Moreover the leading coefficient of q(x) is a_k ≠ 0 (mod p).
- But $f(x_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, so that $f(x) \equiv (x x_0)q(x) \pmod{p}$.
- If $f(x_1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, with $x_1 \not\equiv x_0 \pmod{p}$, then $p \nmid x_1 x_0$ so that $p \mid q(x_1)$.

Linear congruence

General polynomial congruences

- Now suppose that the conclusion holds for all polynomials of a given degree k and suppose that f has degree k + 1.
- If $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ has no solutions, then we are done.
- Hence we may assume at least one, say $x \equiv x_0 \pmod{p}$.
- By the division algorithm for polynomials we have

$$f(x) = (x - x_0)q(x) + f(x_0)$$

- Moreover the leading coefficient of q(x) is a_k ≠ 0 (mod p).
- But $f(x_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, so that $f(x) \equiv (x x_0)q(x) \pmod{p}$.
- If $f(x_1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, with $x_1 \not\equiv x_0 \pmod{p}$, then $p \nmid x_1 x_0$ so that $p \mid q(x_1)$.
- By the inductive hypothesis there are at most k possibilities for x_1 , so at most k+1 in all.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruence

General polynomial congruences

• Non-linear polynomials in one variable are complicated.

Residue Classes

Congruence congruence

General polynomial congruences

- Non-linear polynomials in one variable are complicated.
- The general modulus can be reduced to a prime power modulus, and that case can be reduced to the prime modulus. I will include the theory in the class text for those interested. In general the prime case leads to algebraic number theory.

Residue Classes

congruence

General polynomial congruences

- Non-linear polynomials in one variable are complicated.
- The general modulus can be reduced to a prime power modulus, and that case can be reduced to the prime modulus. I will include the theory in the class text for those interested. In general the prime case leads to algebraic number theory.
- The quadratic case we will need and will look at later.