> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 1 Background

Robert C. Vaughan

August 28, 2023

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

э

Sac

> Robert C. Vaughan

- Introduction
- The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

Introduction to Factorization and Primality Testing

• This course is concerned with the various mathematical theorems which underpin the factorization of integers into primes and the testing of integers for primality.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト ニヨー

Sac

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

- The integers
- Divisibility Prime Numbers
- The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic
- Trial Division
- Differences of Squares
- The Floor Function

• This course is concerned with the various mathematical theorems which underpin the factorization of integers into primes and the testing of integers for primality.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

• A substantial portion of this course is theoretical and solutions to problems will require the writing of proofs.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

- The integers
- Divisibility Prime Numbers
- The fundamental theorem of arithmetic
- Trial Division
- Differences of Squares
- The Floor Function

- This course is concerned with the various mathematical theorems which underpin the factorization of integers into primes and the testing of integers for primality.
- A substantial portion of this course is theoretical and solutions to problems will require the writing of proofs.
- Some other parts of the course will require the writing of computer programs using multiprecision arithmetic.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

- The integers
- Divisibility Prime Numbers
- The fundamental theorem of arithmetic
- Trial Division
- Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- This course is concerned with the various mathematical theorems which underpin the factorization of integers into primes and the testing of integers for primality.
- A substantial portion of this course is theoretical and solutions to problems will require the writing of proofs.
- Some other parts of the course will require the writing of computer programs using multiprecision arithmetic.
- In view if the close connections with security protocols this is a rapidly moving area, and one is never quite sure of the current state-of-the-art since many security organizations do not publish their work.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • The text which is normally used for this course is Bressoud, Factorization and Primality Testing, Springer, ISBN-10: 0387970400, ISBN-13: 978-0387970400

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト ニヨー

Sac

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- The text which is normally used for this course is Bressoud, Factorization and Primality Testing, Springer, ISBN-10: 0387970400, ISBN-13: 978-0387970400
- This was written especially for this course when it was first put on in the lat 1980s.

▲ロト ▲周ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨー のくで

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- The text which is normally used for this course is Bressoud, Factorization and Primality Testing, Springer, ISBN-10: 0387970400, ISBN-13: 978-0387970400
- This was written especially for this course when it was first put on in the lat 1980s.
- But it has never been revised so has no account of later developments such as those based on the theory of elliptic curves or the number field sieve, topics which are normally only covered in graduate courses.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- The text which is normally used for this course is Bressoud, Factorization and Primality Testing, Springer, ISBN-10: 0387970400, ISBN-13: 978-0387970400
- This was written especially for this course when it was first put on in the lat 1980s.
- But it has never been revised so has no account of later developments such as those based on the theory of elliptic curves or the number field sieve, topics which are normally only covered in graduate courses.
- Another deficiency is that there is no proper discussion of relative runtimes. This would need some understanding of analytic number theory, a topic which is also usually only covered in graduate classes.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- The text which is normally used for this course is Bressoud, Factorization and Primality Testing, Springer, ISBN-10: 0387970400, ISBN-13: 978-0387970400
- This was written especially for this course when it was first put on in the lat 1980s.
- But it has never been revised so has no account of later developments such as those based on the theory of elliptic curves or the number field sieve, topics which are normally only covered in graduate courses.
- Another deficiency is that there is no proper discussion of relative runtimes. This would need some understanding of analytic number theory, a topic which is also usually only covered in graduate classes.
- A more advanced text which covers these topics is Crandall and Pomerance, Prime Numbers:A Computational Perspective, Springer, ISBN-10: 0387252827, ISBN-13: 978-0387252827

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • It is essential for the course that you have **some** familiarity with the concept of mathematical proof.

▲ロト ▲周ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨー のくで

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- It is essential for the course that you have **some** familiarity with the concept of mathematical proof.
- Factorization algorithms and primality tests give absolute proof for their assertions, and have to take account of all possibilities.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- It is essential for the course that you have **some** familiarity with the concept of mathematical proof.
- Factorization algorithms and primality tests give absolute proof for their assertions, and have to take account of all possibilities.
- However a proof can be very easy, e.g., the statement

105 = 3.5.7

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

is a one-line proof of the factorization of 105.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- It is essential for the course that you have **some** familiarity with the concept of mathematical proof.
- Factorization algorithms and primality tests give absolute proof for their assertions, and have to take account of all possibilities.
- However a proof can be very easy, e.g., the statement

105 = 3.5.7

is a one-line proof of the factorization of 105.

• And
$$101 = d.q + r$$
 with

$$d = 2, q = 50, r = 1$$

$$d = 3, q = 33, r = 2$$

$$d = 5, q = 20, r = 1$$

$$d = 7, q = 14, r = 3$$

gives a proof that 101 is prime.

▲ロト ▲御 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト ○ 臣 - の Q ()

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • How about a not very big number like

100006561?

イロト 人間ト イヨト イヨト

≡ 9 < ભ

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • How about a not very big number like

100006561?

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

= 900

• Is this prime, and if not what are its factors? Anybody care to try it by hand?

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function How about a not very big number like

100006561?

- Is this prime, and if not what are its factors? Anybody care to try it by hand?
- And how about somewhat bigger numbers

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

One of them is prime, the other composite.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function How about a not very big number like

100006561?

- Is this prime, and if not what are its factors? Anybody care to try it by hand?
- And how about somewhat bigger numbers

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

One of them is prime, the other composite.

 If you want to experiment I suggest using the package PARI which runs on most computer systems and is available at https://pari.math.u-bordeaux.fr/

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

• Here is an example where a bit of theory is useful.

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

= √Q (~

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- Here is an example where a bit of theory is useful.
- There is a theorem which says that if p is prime, then 2^{p-1} leaves the remainder 1 on division by p.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- Here is an example where a bit of theory is useful.
- There is a theorem which says that if p is prime, then 2^{p-1} leaves the remainder 1 on division by p.
- Now 2¹⁰⁰⁰ leaves the remainder 562 on division by 1001, so 1001 has to be composite.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- Here is an example where a bit of theory is useful.
- There is a theorem which says that if p is prime, then 2^{p-1} leaves the remainder 1 on division by p.
- Now 2¹⁰⁰⁰ leaves the remainder 562 on division by 1001, so 1001 has to be composite.
- Checking 2¹⁰⁰⁰ might seem difficult but it is actually very easy.

$$1000 = 2^3 + 2^5 + 2^6 + 2^7 + 2^8 + 2^9, 2^{1000} = 2^{2^3} 2^{2^5} 2^{2^6} 2^{2^7} 2^{2^8} 2^{2^9}$$

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function

- Here is an example where a bit of theory is useful.
- There is a theorem which says that if p is prime, then 2^{p-1} leaves the remainder 1 on division by p.
- Now 2¹⁰⁰⁰ leaves the remainder 562 on division by 1001, so 1001 has to be composite.
- Checking 2¹⁰⁰⁰ might seem difficult but it is actually very easy.

 $1000 = 2^3 + 2^5 + 2^6 + 2^7 + 2^8 + 2^9, 2^{1000} = 2^{2^3} 2^{2^5} 2^{2^6} 2^{2^7} 2^{2^8} 2^{2^9}$

• and the 2^{2^k} can be computed by successive squaring, so

$$2^{2^3} = 256, \, 2^{2^4} = 256^2 \equiv 471, \text{ and so on.}$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ◆ ●

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function

- Here is an example where a bit of theory is useful.
- There is a theorem which says that if p is prime, then 2^{p-1} leaves the remainder 1 on division by p.
- Now 2¹⁰⁰⁰ leaves the remainder 562 on division by 1001, so 1001 has to be composite.
- Checking 2¹⁰⁰⁰ might seem difficult but it is actually very easy.

$$1000 = 2^3 + 2^5 + 2^6 + 2^7 + 2^8 + 2^9, 2^{1000} = 2^{2^3} 2^{2^5} 2^{2^6} 2^{2^7} 2^{2^8} 2^{2^9}$$

• and the 2^{2^k} can be computed by successive squaring, so

$$2^{2^3}=256,\,2^{2^4}=256^2\equiv471,\,$$
 and so on.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ◆ ●

• So any program which can do double precision can compute 2^{p-1} modulo p in linear time.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • This is a *proofs* based course. The proofs will be mostly short and simple.

▲ロト ▲ 同 ト ▲ 国 ト → 国 - の Q ()

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • This is a *proofs* based course. The proofs will be mostly short and simple.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

= 900

• One is often asked why one needs formal proofs.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function

- This is a *proofs* based course. The proofs will be mostly short and simple.
- One is often asked why one needs formal proofs.
- They are necessary, and as a general principle understanding the proof usually reveals the underlying structure which is the reason why the theorem is true.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- This is a *proofs* based course. The proofs will be mostly short and simple.
- One is often asked why one needs formal proofs.
- They are necessary, and as a general principle understanding the proof usually reveals the underlying structure which is the reason why the theorem is true.
- There is an instructive example due to J. E. Littlewood in 1912.

Littlewood

Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 1 Background

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function Let π(x) denote the number of prime numbers not exceeding x. Gauss had suggested that

$$\int_0^x \frac{dt}{\log t}$$

should be a good approximation to $\pi(x)$

$$\pi(x) \sim \operatorname{li}(x).$$

For all values of x for which $\pi(x)$ has been calculated it has been found that

$$\pi(x) < \mathsf{li}(x).$$

◆□▶ ◆◎▶ ◆○▶ ◆○▶ ●

Sac

Littlewood

and Primality Testing Chapter 1 Background

Eactorization

Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function Let π(x) denote the number of prime numbers not exceeding x. Gauss had suggested that

$$\int_0^x \frac{dt}{\log t}$$

should be a good approximation to $\pi(x)$

$$\pi(x) \sim \operatorname{li}(x).$$

For all values of x for which $\pi(x)$ has been calculated it has been found that

$$\pi(x) < \mathsf{li}(x).$$

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Sac

• Here is a table of values which illustrates this for various values of x out to 10^{22} .

Factorization and Primality	x	$\pi(x)$	li(x)
Testing	104	1229	1245
Chapter 1 Background	10 ⁵	9592	9628
Robert C.	10 ⁶	78498	78626
Vaughan	107	664579	664917
Introduction	10 ⁸	5761455	5762208
The integers	10 ⁹	50847534	50849233
Divisibility Prime Numbers	10 ¹⁰	455052511	455055613
The	10^{11}	4118054813	4118066399
fundamental theorem of	10 ¹²	37607912018	37607950279
arithmetic	10 ¹³	346065536839	346065645809
Trial Division	1014	3204941750802	3204942065690
Differences of Squares	10 ¹⁵	29844570422669	29844571475286
The Floor	10^{16}	279238341033925	279238344248555
Function	10 ¹⁷	2623557157654233	2623557165610820
	10 ¹⁸	24739954287740860	24739954309690413
	10^{19}	234057667276344607	234057667376222382
	10 ²⁰	2220819602560918840	2220819602783663483
	10 ²¹	21127269486018731928	21127269486616126182
	10 ²²	201467286689315906290	201467286691248261498 ⁻⁰

Littlewood's theorem

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

= 900

Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 1 Background

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • In fact this table has been extended out to at least 10^{27} . So is

 $\pi(x) < \mathsf{li}(x)$

always true?

Littlewood's theorem

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 1 Background

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • In fact this table has been extended out to at least 10^{27} . So is

$$\pi(x) < \mathsf{li}(x)$$

always true?

• No! Littlewood in 1914 showed that there are infinitely many values of x for which

 $\pi(x) > \mathsf{li}(x)!$

Littlewood's theorem

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 1 Background

> Robert C. Vaughan

- Introduction
- The integers
- Divisibility Prime Numbers
- The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic
- Trial Division
- Differences of Squares
- The Floor Function

• In fact this table has been extended out to at least 10^{27} . So is

$$\pi(x) < \mathsf{li}(x)$$

always true?

• No! Littlewood in 1914 showed that there are infinitely many values of *x* for which

 $\pi(x) > \mathsf{li}(x)!$

• We now believe that the first sign change occurs when $x\approx 1.387162\times 10^{316} \eqno(1.1)$

well beyond what can be calculated directly.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

3

Sac

 For many years it was only known that the first sign change in π(x) – li(x) occurs for some x satisfying

 $x < 10^{10^{10^{964}}}$

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

3

Sac

• For many years it was only known that the first sign change in $\pi(x) - li(x)$ occurs for *some* x satisfying

$$x < 10^{10^{10^{964}}}$$

• The number on the right was computed by Skewes.

Introduction to Number Theory

◆□▶ ◆◎▶ ◆○▶ ◆○▶ ●

Sac

 For many years it was only known that the first sign change in π(x) – li(x) occurs for some x satisfying

Eactorization

and Primality Testing Chapter 1 Background Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

$$x < 10^{10^{10^{964}}}$$

- The number on the right was computed by Skewes.
- G. H. Hardy once wrote that this is probably the largest number which has ever had any *practical* (my emphasis) value! But still even now the only way of establishing this is by a proper mathematical proof.

Introduction to Number Theory

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

 For many years it was only known that the first sign change in π(x) – li(x) occurs for some x satisfying

$$x < 10^{10^{10^{964}}}$$

- The number on the right was computed by Skewes.
- G. H. Hardy once wrote that this is probably the largest number which has ever had any *practical* (my emphasis) value! But still even now the only way of establishing this is by a proper mathematical proof.
- Let me turn back to that table, as it illustrates something else very interesting.

Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

Factorization	x	$\pi(x)$	li(x)	
and Primality Testing	104	1229	1245	
Chapter 1 Background	10 ⁵	9592	9628	
Robert C.	10 ⁶	78498	78626	
Vaughan	107	664579	664917	
Introduction	10 ⁸	5761455	5762208	
The integers	10 ⁹	50847534	50849233	
Divisibility Prime Numbers	10 ¹⁰	455052511	455055613	
The	10^{11}	4118054813	4118066399	
fundamental theorem of	10 ¹²	37607912018	37607950279	
arithmetic	10 ¹³	346065536839	346065645809	
Trial Division	1014	3204941750802	3204942065690	
Differences of Squares	10 ¹⁵	29844570422669	29844571475286	
The Floor	10^{16}	279238341033925	279238344248555	
Function	10 ¹⁷	2623557157654233	2623557165610820	
	10 ¹⁸	24739954287740860	24739954309690413	
	10 ¹⁹	234057667276344607	234057667376222382	
	10 ²⁰	2220819602560918840	2220819602783663483	
	10 ²¹	21127269486018731928	21127269486616126182	
	10 ²²	201467286689315906290	201467286691248261498	S.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

э

Sac

Robert C. Vaughan

Eactorization

and Primality Testing Chapter 1 Background

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • So is it really true that for any $\theta > \frac{1}{2}$ and all large x we have

$$|\pi(x) - \mathsf{li}(x)| < x^{\theta}?$$

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

3

Sac

Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 1 Background

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • So is it really true that for any $\theta > \frac{1}{2}$ and all large x we have

$$|\pi(x) - \mathsf{li}(x)| < x^{\theta}?$$

• This is the famous Riemann Hypothesis, the most important unsolved problem in mathematics.

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 1 Background

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • So is it really true that for any $\theta > \frac{1}{2}$ and all large x we have

$$|\pi(x) - \mathsf{li}(x)| < x^{\theta}?$$

- This is the famous Riemann Hypothesis, the most important unsolved problem in mathematics.
- There is a million dollar prize for a proof, or a disproof. And probably an automatic professorship at the most prestigious universities for anyone who wins it.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

Factorization and Primality Testing Chapter 1 Background

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • So is it really true that for any $\theta > \frac{1}{2}$ and all large x we have

 $|\pi(x) - \mathsf{li}(x)| < x^{\theta}?$

- This is the famous Riemann Hypothesis, the most important unsolved problem in mathematics.
- There is a million dollar prize for a proof, or a disproof. And probably an automatic professorship at the most prestigious universities for anyone who wins it.
- By the way, one might wonder if there is something random in the distribution of the primes. This is how random phenomena are supposed to behave.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • Number theory in its most basic form is the study of the set of *integers*

$$\mathbb{Z}=\{0,\pm 1,\pm 2,\ldots\}$$

and its important subset

$$\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\},\$$

the set of positive integers, sometimes called the *natural numbers*.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

3

Sac

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • Number theory in its most basic form is the study of the set of *integers*

$$\mathbb{Z}=\{0,\pm 1,\pm 2,\ldots\}$$

and its important subset

$$\mathbb{N}=\{1,2,3,\ldots\},$$

the set of positive integers, sometimes called the *natural numbers*.

 The usual rules of arithmetic apply, and can be deduced from a set of axioms. If you multiply any two members of Z you get another one. Likewise for ℕ

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

Introduction to Number Theory

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

• If you subtract one member of $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Z}}$ from another, e.g.

173 - 192 = -19

you get a third.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

Introduction to Number Theory

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

3

Sac

• If you subtract one member of $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Z}}$ from another, e.g.

$$173 - 192 = -19$$

you get a third.

• But this last fails for \mathbb{N} .

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

3

Sac

 $\bullet\,$ If you subtract one member of $\mathbb Z$ from another, e.g.

$$173 - 192 = -19$$

you get a third.

- But this last fails for \mathbb{N} .
- You can do other standard things in $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Z}}$, such as

$$x(y+z) = xy + xz$$

and

$$xy = yx$$

is always true.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

• We start with some definitions.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆豆▶ ◆豆▶

Ξ 9 Q (P

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- We start with some definitions.
- We need some concept of divisibility and factorization.

▲ロト ▲周ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨー のくで

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

Prime Number

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- We start with some definitions.
- We need some concept of divisibility and factorization.
- Given two integers a and b we say that a divides b when there is a third integer c such that ac = b and we write a|b.

▲ロト ▲周ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨー のくで

Example 1

If a|b and b|c, then a|c.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

Prime Number

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- We start with some definitions.
- We need some concept of divisibility and factorization.
- Given two integers a and b we say that a divides b when there is a third integer c such that ac = b and we write a|b.

Example 1

If a|b and b|c, then a|c.

• The proof is easy.

Proof.

There are d and e so that b = ad and c = be. Hence a(de) = (ad)e = be = c and de is an integer.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • There are some facts which are useful.

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト ・ヨー

590

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • There are some facts which are useful.

イロト 人間ト イヨト イヨト

= 900

• For any *a* we have 0a = 0.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- There are some facts which are useful.
- For any *a* we have 0a = 0.
- If ab = 1, then $a = \pm 1$ and $b = \pm 1$ (with the same sign in each case).

▲ロト ▲周ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨー のくで

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- There are some facts which are useful.
- For any a we have 0a = 0.
- If ab = 1, then $a = \pm 1$ and $b = \pm 1$ (with the same sign in each case).

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

• Also if $a \neq 0$ and ac = ad, then c = d.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

• Prime Number.

Definition 2

A member of $\mathbb N$ greater than 1 which is only divisible by 1 and itself is called a prime number.

▲ロト ▲周ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨー のくで

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • Prime Number.

Definition 2

A member of $\mathbb N$ greater than 1 which is only divisible by 1 and itself is called a prime number.

▲ロト ▲周ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨー のくで

• We will use the letter *p* to denote a prime number.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • Prime Number.

Definition 2

A member of $\mathbb N$ greater than 1 which is only divisible by 1 and itself is called a prime number.

▲ロト ▲周ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨー のくで

- We will use the letter *p* to denote a prime number.
- An example

Example 3

101 is a prime number.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function • Prime Number.

Definition 2

A member of $\mathbb N$ greater than 1 which is only divisible by 1 and itself is called a prime number.

- We will use the letter *p* to denote a prime number.
- An example

Example 3

101 is a prime number.

• **Proof** One has to check for divisors d with 1 < d < 100.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Prime Numbers

Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function • Prime Number.

Definition 2

A member of $\mathbb N$ greater than 1 which is only divisible by 1 and itself is called a prime number.

- We will use the letter *p* to denote a prime number.
- An example

Example 3

101 is a prime number.

- **Proof** One has to check for divisors d with 1 < d < 100.
- Moreover if d is a divisor, then there is an e so that de = 101, and one of d, e is $\leq \sqrt{101}$ so we only need to check out to 10.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function • Prime Number.

Definition 2

A member of $\mathbb N$ greater than 1 which is only divisible by 1 and itself is called a prime number.

- We will use the letter *p* to denote a prime number.
- An example

Example 3

101 is a prime number.

- **Proof** One has to check for divisors d with 1 < d < 100.
- Moreover if d is a divisor, then there is an e so that de = 101, and one of d, e is $\leq \sqrt{101}$ so we only need to check out to 10.
- So we only need to check the primes 2, 3, 5, 7. Moreover 2 and 5 are not divisors and 3 is easily checked, so only 7 needs any work, and this leaves remainder 3, not 0.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • Since we are dealing with simple proofs for facts about ℕ there is one proof method which is very important.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト ニヨー

Sac

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- Since we are dealing with simple proofs for facts about ℕ there is one proof method which is very important.
- This is the principle of induction. It is actually embedded into the definition of N. That is, we have 1 ∈ N and it is the least member and given any n ∈ N the next member is n + 1. In this way one sees that N is *defined* inductively.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- Since we are dealing with simple proofs for facts about ℕ there is one proof method which is very important.
- This is the principle of induction. It is actually embedded into the definition of N. That is, we have 1 ∈ N and it is the least member and given any n ∈ N the next member is n + 1. In this way one sees that N is *defined* inductively.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

• A fundamental theorem.

Theorem 4

Every member of \mathbb{N} is a product of prime numbers.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function

- Since we are dealing with simple proofs for facts about ℕ there is one proof method which is very important.
- This is the principle of induction. It is actually embedded into the definition of N. That is, we have 1 ∈ N and it is the least member and given any n ∈ N the next member is n + 1. In this way one sees that N is *defined* inductively.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

• A fundamental theorem.

Theorem 4

Every member of \mathbb{N} is a product of prime numbers.

• **Proof.** This uses induction.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- Since we are dealing with simple proofs for facts about ℕ there is one proof method which is very important.
- This is the principle of induction. It is actually embedded into the definition of N. That is, we have 1 ∈ N and it is the least member and given any n ∈ N the next member is n + 1. In this way one sees that N is *defined* inductively.
- A fundamental theorem.

Theorem 4

Every member of $\mathbb N$ is a product of prime numbers.

- **Proof.** This uses induction.
- 1 is an "empty product" of primes, so case n = 1 holds.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- Since we are dealing with simple proofs for facts about ℕ there is one proof method which is very important.
- This is the principle of induction. It is actually embedded into the definition of N. That is, we have 1 ∈ N and it is the least member and given any n ∈ N the next member is n + 1. In this way one sees that N is *defined* inductively.
- A fundamental theorem.

Theorem 4

Every member of $\mathbb N$ is a product of prime numbers.

- **Proof.** This uses induction.
- 1 is an "empty product" of primes, so case n = 1 holds.
- Suppose that we have proved the result for all $m \le n$. If n+1 is prime we are done. Suppose n+1 is not prime. Then there is an a with a|n+1 and 1 < a < n+1. Then also $1 < \frac{n+1}{a} < n+1$. But then on the inductive hypothesis both a and $\frac{n+1}{a}$ are products of primes.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • We can use this to deduce

Theorem 5 (*Euclid*)

There are infinitely many primes.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ 日 ・ うへぐ

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • We can use this to deduce

Theorem 5 (*Euclid*)

There are infinitely many primes.

• Hardy cites the proof as an example of beauty in mathematics.

◆□▶ ◆◎▶ ◆○▶ ◆○▶ ●

Sac

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • We can use this to deduce

Theorem 5 (Euclid)

There are infinitely many primes.

- Hardy cites the proof as an example of beauty in mathematics.
- **Proof.** We argue by contradiction. Suppose there are only a finite number of primes. Call them p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n and consider the number

$$m=p_1p_2\ldots p_n+1.$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • We can use this to deduce

Theorem 5 (Euclid)

There are infinitely many primes.

- Hardy cites the proof as an example of beauty in mathematics.
- **Proof.** We argue by contradiction. Suppose there are only a finite number of primes. Call them p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n and consider the number

$$m=p_1p_2\ldots p_n+1.$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

• Since we already know some primes it is clear that m > 1.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • We can use this to deduce

Theorem 5 (Euclid)

There are infinitely many primes.

- Hardy cites the proof as an example of beauty in mathematics.
- **Proof.** We argue by contradiction. Suppose there are only a finite number of primes. Call them p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n and consider the number

$$m=p_1p_2\ldots p_n+1.$$

- Since we already know some primes it is clear that m > 1.
- Hence *m* is a product of primes, and in particular there is a prime *p* which divides *m*.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • We can use this to deduce

Theorem 5 (Euclid)

There are infinitely many primes.

- Hardy cites the proof as an example of beauty in mathematics.
- **Proof.** We argue by contradiction. Suppose there are only a finite number of primes. Call them p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n and consider the number

$$m=p_1p_2\ldots p_n+1.$$

- Since we already know some primes it is clear that m > 1.
- Hence *m* is a product of primes, and in particular there is a prime *p* which divides *m*.
- But p is one of the primes p₁, p₂,..., p_n so p|m p₁p₂...p_n = 1. But 1 is not divisible by any prime. So our assumption must have been false.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • There is a proof of the infinitude of primes which is essentially due to Euler. It is analytic in nature and quite different from Euclid's.

<ロト < 団 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○</p>

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- There is a proof of the infinitude of primes which is essentially due to Euler. It is analytic in nature and quite different from Euclid's.
- It tells us more about the distribution of primes and is the beginning of the modern approach.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- There is a proof of the infinitude of primes which is essentially due to Euler. It is analytic in nature and quite different from Euclid's.
- It tells us more about the distribution of primes and is the beginning of the modern approach.

• Let

$$S(x) = \sum_{n \le x} \frac{1}{n}.$$

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- There is a proof of the infinitude of primes which is essentially due to Euler. It is analytic in nature and quite different from Euclid's.
- It tells us more about the distribution of primes and is the beginning of the modern approach.

• Let

$$S(x)=\sum_{n\leq x}\frac{1}{n}.$$

Then

$$S(x) \ge \sum_{n \le x} \int_n^{n+1} \frac{dt}{t} \ge \int_1^x \frac{dt}{t} = \log x.$$

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

Now consider

$$P(x) = \prod_{p \le x} (1 - 1/p)^{-1}$$

イロト 不同 トイヨト イロト

= 900

where the product is over the primes not exceeding x.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

• Now consider

$$P(x) = \prod_{p \le x} (1 - 1/p)^{-1}$$

where the product is over the primes not exceeding x.

• Then P(x) =

$$\prod_{p\leq x}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}+\cdots\right)\geq \sum_{n\leq x}\frac{1}{n}=S(x)\geq \log x.$$

- 日本 - 4 日本 - 4 日本 - 日本

590

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

Now consider

$$P(x) = \prod_{p \le x} (1 - 1/p)^{-1}$$

where the product is over the primes not exceeding x.

• Then P(x) =

$$\prod_{p\leq x}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}+\cdots\right)\geq \sum_{n\leq x}\frac{1}{n}=S(x)\geq \log x.$$

Note that when one multiplies out the left hand side every fraction ¹/_n with n ≤ x occurs.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト ニヨー

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

Now consider

$$P(x) = \prod_{p \le x} (1 - 1/p)^{-1}$$

where the product is over the primes not exceeding x.

• Then P(x) =

$$\prod_{p\leq x}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}+\cdots\right)\geq \sum_{n\leq x}\frac{1}{n}=S(x)\geq \log x.$$

Note that when one multiplies out the left hand side every fraction ¹/_n with n ≤ x occurs.

・ロット (雪) (キョット (日)) ヨー

Sac

 Since log x → ∞ as x → ∞, there have to be infinitely many primes.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • Actually one can get something a bit more precise.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

≡ 9 < ભ

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- Actually one can get something a bit more precise.
- Take logs on both sides of

$$P(x) \ge \log x.$$

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

= √Q (~

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • Actually one can get something a bit more precise.

• Take logs on both sides of

$$P(x) \ge \log x.$$

• Then

$$-\sum_{p\leq x}\log(1-1/p)\geq \log\log x.$$

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

= √Q (~

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- Actually one can get something a bit more precise.
- Take logs on both sides of

$$P(x) \ge \log x.$$

• Then

$$-\sum_{p\leq x}\log(1-1/p)\geq \log\log x.$$

• Moreover the expression on the left is

$$-\sum_{p\leq x}\log(1-1/p)=\sum_{p\leq x}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{kp^k}.$$

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

3

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function • Actually one can get something a bit more precise.

• Take logs on both sides of

$$P(x) \ge \log x.$$

• Then

$$-\sum_{p\leq x}\log(1-1/p)\geq \log\log x.$$

• Moreover the expression on the left is

$$-\sum_{p\leq x}\log(1-1/p)=\sum_{p\leq x}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{kp^k}.$$

• Here the terms with $k \ge 2$ contribute at most

$$\sum_{p \le x} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^k} \le \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n-1)} = \frac{1}{2}$$

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

3

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function • Actually one can get something a bit more precise.

• Take logs on both sides of

$$P(x) \ge \log x.$$

• Then

$$-\sum_{p\leq x}\log(1-1/p)\geq \log\log x.$$

• Moreover the expression on the left is

$$-\sum_{p\leq x}\log(1-1/p)=\sum_{p\leq x}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{kp^k}.$$

• Here the terms with $k \ge 2$ contribute at most

$$\sum_{p \le x} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^k} \le \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n-1)} = \frac{1}{2}$$

• Hence we have just proved that

$$\sum_{p \le x} \frac{1}{p} \ge \log \log x - \frac{1}{2}.$$

э

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Divisior

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • Euler's result on primes is often quoted as follows.

Theorem 6 (Euler)

 $\sum_p \frac{1}{p}$

・ロット (雪) (キョット (日)) ヨー

Sac

diverges.

The sum

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • We now come to something very important

Theorem 7 (The division algorithm)

Suppose that $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there are unique q, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that a = dq + r, $0 \le r \le d$.

▲ロト ▲周ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨー のくで

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • We now come to something very important

Theorem 7 (The division algorithm)

Suppose that $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there are unique q, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that a = dq + r, $0 \le r < d$.

▲ロト ▲周ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨー のくで

• We call q the quotient and r the remainder.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • We now come to something very important

Theorem 7 (The division algorithm)

Suppose that $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there are unique q, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that a = dq + r, $0 \le r < d$.

- We call q the quotient and r the remainder.
- **Proof.** Let $\mathcal{D} = \{a dx : x \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • We now come to something very important

Theorem 7 (The division algorithm)

Suppose that $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there are unique q, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that a = dq + r, $0 \le r < d$.

- We call q the quotient and r the remainder.
- **Proof.** Let $\mathcal{D} = \{a dx : x \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$
- If $a \ge 0$, then $a \in \mathcal{D}$, and if a < 0, then a d(a 1) > 0.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function • We now come to something very important

Theorem 7 (The division algorithm)

Suppose that $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there are unique q, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that a = dq + r, $0 \le r < d$.

- We call q the quotient and r the remainder.
- **Proof.** Let $\mathcal{D} = \{a dx : x \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$
- If $a \ge 0$, then $a \in \mathcal{D}$, and if a < 0, then a d(a 1) > 0.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ◆ ●

Hence D has non-negative elements, so has a least non-negative element r. Let q = x. Then a = dq + r, 0 ≤ r.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function • We now come to something very important

Theorem 7 (The division algorithm)

Suppose that $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there are unique q, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that a = dq + r, $0 \le r < d$.

- We call q the quotient and r the remainder.
- **Proof.** Let $\mathcal{D} = \{a dx : x \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$
- If $a \ge 0$, then $a \in \mathcal{D}$, and if a < 0, then a d(a 1) > 0.
- Hence D has non-negative elements, so has a least non-negative element r. Let q = x. Then a = da + r, 0 < r.
- Moreover if r ≥ d, then a = d(q + 1) + (r − d) gives another solution, but with 0 ≤ r − d < r contradicting the minimality of r.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ◆ ●

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function • We now come to something very important

Theorem 7 (The division algorithm)

Suppose that $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there are unique q, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that a = dq + r, $0 \le r < d$.

- We call q the quotient and r the remainder.
- **Proof.** Let $\mathcal{D} = \{a dx : x \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$
- If $a \ge 0$, then $a \in \mathcal{D}$, and if a < 0, then a d(a 1) > 0.
- Hence D has non-negative elements, so has a least non-negative element r. Let q = x. Then a = dq + r, 0 < r.
- Moreover if r ≥ d, then a = d(q + 1) + (r − d) gives another solution, but with 0 ≤ r − d < r contradicting the minimality of r.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ◆ ●

• Hence r < d as required.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function • We now come to something very important

Theorem 7 (The division algorithm)

Suppose that $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there are unique q, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that a = dq + r, $0 \le r < d$.

- We call q the quotient and r the remainder.
- **Proof.** Let $\mathcal{D} = \{a dx : x \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$
- If $a \ge 0$, then $a \in \mathcal{D}$, and if a < 0, then a d(a 1) > 0.
- Hence D has non-negative elements, so has a least non-negative element r. Let q = x. Then a = dq + r, 0 < r.
- Moreover if r ≥ d, then a = d(q + 1) + (r − d) gives another solution, but with 0 ≤ r − d < r contradicting the minimality of r.
- Hence r < d as required.
- For uniqueness note that a second solution a = dq' + r', $0 \le r' < d$ gives 0 = a - a = (dq' + r') - (dq + r) = d(q' - q) + (r' - r), and if $q' \ne q$, then $d \le d|q' - q| = |r' - r| < d$ which is impossible.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function • It is exactly this which one uses when one performs long division

Example 8

Try dividing 17 into 192837465 by the method you were taught at primary school.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

3

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function • We will make frequent use of the division algorithm, e.g.

Theorem 9

Given two integers a and b, not both 0, define

$$\mathcal{D}(a,b) = \{ax + by : x \in \mathbb{Z}, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{D}(a, b)$ has positive elements. Let (a, b) denote the least positive element. Then (a, b) has the properties (i) (a, b)|a, (ii) (a, b)|b, (iii) if the integer c satisfies c|a and c|b, then c|(a, b).

◆□▶ ◆◎▶ ◆○▶ ◆○▶ ●

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function • We will make frequent use of the division algorithm, e.g.

Theorem 9

Given two integers a and b, not both 0, define

$$\mathcal{D}(a,b) = \{ax + by : x \in \mathbb{Z}, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{D}(a, b)$ has positive elements. Let (a, b) denote the least positive element. Then (a, b) has the properties (i) (a, b)|a, (ii) (a, b)|b, (iii) if the integer c satisfies c|a and c|b, then c|(a, b).

• GCD

Definition 10

The number (a, b) is called the greatest common divisor of a and b. The symbol (a, b) has many uses in mathematics, so to be clear one sometimes writes GCD(a, b).

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function • We will make frequent use of the division algorithm, e.g.

Theorem 9

Given two integers a and b, not both 0, define

$$\mathcal{D}(a,b) = \{ax + by : x \in \mathbb{Z}, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{D}(a, b)$ has positive elements. Let (a, b) denote the least positive element. Then (a, b) has the properties (i) (a, b)|a, (ii) (a, b)|b, (iii) if the integer c satisfies c|a and c|b, then c|(a, b).

• GCD

Definition 10

The number (a, b) is called the greatest common divisor of a and b. The symbol (a, b) has many uses in mathematics, so to be clear one sometimes writes GCD(a, b).

• Note that GCD(a, b) divides every member of $\mathcal{D}(a, b)$.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • Proof of Theorem 9. If a > 0, then a.1 + b.0 = a > 0.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 ろへ⊙

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- **Proof of Theorem 9.** If a > 0, then a.1 + b.0 = a > 0.
- Likewise if b > 0.

・ロト 《理 》 《 思 》 《 思 》 《 国 》

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- **Proof of Theorem 9.** If a > 0, then a.1 + b.0 = a > 0.
- Likewise if b > 0.
- If a < 0, then $a(-1) + b \cdot 0 > 0$, and again likewise if b < 0.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

3

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- **Proof of Theorem 9.** If a > 0, then a.1 + b.0 = a > 0.
- Likewise if b > 0.
- If a < 0, then $a(-1) + b \cdot 0 > 0$, and again likewise if b < 0.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

• The remaining case a = b = 0 which is excluded. Thus D(a, b) does have positive elements and so (a, b) exists.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- **Proof of Theorem 9.** If *a* > 0, then *a*.1 + *b*.0 = *a* > 0.
- Likewise if b > 0.
- If a < 0, then $a(-1) + b \cdot 0 > 0$, and again likewise if b < 0.
- The remaining case a = b = 0 which is excluded. Thus $\mathcal{D}(a, b)$ does have positive elements and so (a, b) exists.
- Assume (i) false, $(a, b) \nmid a$. By the division algorithm a = (a, b)q + r with $0 \le r < (a, b)$, and $(a, b) \nmid a$ implies 0 < r.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- **Proof of Theorem 9.** If *a* > 0, then *a*.1 + *b*.0 = *a* > 0.
- Likewise if b > 0.
- If a < 0, then $a(-1) + b \cdot 0 > 0$, and again likewise if b < 0.
- The remaining case a = b = 0 which is excluded. Thus $\mathcal{D}(a, b)$ does have positive elements and so (a, b) exists.
- Assume (i) false, $(a, b) \nmid a$. By the division algorithm a = (a, b)q + r with $0 \le r < (a, b)$, and $(a, b) \nmid a$ implies 0 < r.
- Thus r = a (a, b)q = a (ax + by)q for some integers x and y. Hence r = a(1 - xq) + b(-yq).

> Robert C. Vaughan

- Introduction
- The integers
- Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- **Proof of Theorem 9.** If *a* > 0, then *a*.1 + *b*.0 = *a* > 0.
- Likewise if b > 0.
- If a < 0, then $a(-1) + b \cdot 0 > 0$, and again likewise if b < 0.
- The remaining case a = b = 0 which is excluded. Thus $\mathcal{D}(a, b)$ does have positive elements and so (a, b) exists.
- Assume (i) false, $(a, b) \nmid a$. By the division algorithm a = (a, b)q + r with $0 \le r < (a, b)$, and $(a, b) \nmid a$ implies 0 < r.
- Thus r = a (a, b)q = a (ax + by)q for some integers x and y. Hence r = a(1 - xq) + b(-yq).

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Since 0 < r < (a, b) this contradicts the minimality of (a, b).

> Robert C. Vaughan

- Introduction
- The integers
- Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- **Proof of Theorem 9.** If a > 0, then a.1 + b.0 = a > 0.
- Likewise if b > 0.
- If a < 0, then $a(-1) + b \cdot 0 > 0$, and again likewise if b < 0.
- The remaining case a = b = 0 which is excluded. Thus D(a, b) does have positive elements and so (a, b) exists.
- Assume (i) false, $(a, b) \nmid a$. By the division algorithm a = (a, b)q + r with $0 \le r < (a, b)$, and $(a, b) \nmid a$ implies 0 < r.
- Thus r = a (a, b)q = a (ax + by)q for some integers x and y. Hence r = a(1 - xq) + b(-yq).

- Since 0 < r < (a, b) this contradicts the minimality of (a, b).
- Likewise for (ii).

> Robert C. Vaughan

- Introduction
- The integers
- Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- **Proof of Theorem 9.** If *a* > 0, then *a*.1 + *b*.0 = *a* > 0.
- Likewise if b > 0.
- If a < 0, then $a(-1) + b \cdot 0 > 0$, and again likewise if b < 0.
- The remaining case a = b = 0 which is excluded. Thus D(a, b) does have positive elements and so (a, b) exists.
- Assume (i) false, $(a, b) \nmid a$. By the division algorithm a = (a, b)q + r with $0 \le r < (a, b)$, and $(a, b) \nmid a$ implies 0 < r.
- Thus r = a (a, b)q = a (ax + by)q for some integers x and y. Hence r = a(1 - xq) + b(-yq).
- Since 0 < r < (a, b) this contradicts the minimality of (a, b).
- Likewise for (ii).
- Now suppose c|a and c|b, so that a = cu and b = cv for some integers u and v. Then

$$(a,b) = ax + by = cux + cvy = c(ux + vy)$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ◆ ●

so (iii) holds.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • The GCD has some interesting properties.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- The GCD has some interesting properties.
- Here is one

Example 11

We have $\left(\frac{a}{(a,b)},\frac{b}{(a,b)}\right) = 1.$

To see this observe that if $d = \left(\frac{a}{(a,b)}, \frac{b}{(a,b)}\right)$, then $d|\frac{a}{(a,b)}$ and $d|\frac{b}{(a,b)}$, and hence d(a,b)|a and d(a,b)|b. But then d(a,b)|(a,b) and so d|1, whence d = 1.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- The GCD has some interesting properties.
- Here is one

Example 11

We have
$$\left(\frac{a}{(a,b)},\frac{b}{(a,b)}\right)=1$$

To see this observe that if $d = \left(\frac{a}{(a,b)}, \frac{b}{(a,b)}\right)$, then $d|\frac{a}{(a,b)}$ and $d|\frac{b}{(a,b)}$, and hence d(a,b)|a and d(a,b)|b. But then d(a,b)|(a,b) and so d|1, whence d = 1.

• Here is another

Example 12

Suppose that *a* and *b* are not both 0. Then for any integer *x* we have (a + bx, b) = (a, b). Here is a proof. First of all (a, b)|a and (a, b)|b, so (a, b)|a + bx. Hence (a, b)|(a + bx, b). On the other hand (a + bx, b)|a + bx and (a + bx, b)|b so that (a + bx)|a + bx - bx = a. Hence (a + bx, b)|(a, b)|(a + bx, b) and so (a, b) = (a + bx, b).

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function

• Here is yet another

Example 13

Suppose that (a, b) = 1 and ax = by. Then there is a z such that x = bz, y = az. It suffices to show that b|x, for then the conclusion follows on taking z = x/b. To see this observe that there are u and v so that au + bv = (a, b) = 1. Hence x = aux + bvx = byu + bvx = b(yu + vx) and so b|x.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • Following from the previous theorem we have a corollary.

Corollary 14

Suppose that a and b are integers not both 0. Then there are integers x and y such that

$$(a,b) = ax + by.$$

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

э

Sac

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function • Following from the previous theorem we have a corollary.

Corollary 14

Suppose that a and b are integers not both 0. Then there are integers x and y such that

$$(a,b)=ax+by.$$

• Later we will look at a way of finding suitable x and y in examples. As it stands the theorem gives no constructive way of finding them. It is a pure existence proof.

◆□▶ ◆◎▶ ◆○▶ ◆○▶ ●

Sar

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • Following from the previous theorem we have a corollary.

Corollary 14

Suppose that a and b are integers not both 0. Then there are integers x and y such that

$$(a,b) = ax + by.$$

- Later we will look at a way of finding suitable x and y in examples. As it stands the theorem gives no constructive way of finding them. It is a pure existence proof.
- As a first application we establish

Theorem 15 (Euclid)

Suppose that p is a prime number, and a and b are integers such that p|ab. Then either p|a or p|b.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • You might think this is obvious, but look at the following

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

= √Q (~

Example 16

Consider the set A of integers of the form 4k + 1.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • You might think this is obvious, but look at the following

Example 16

Consider the set A of integers of the form 4k + 1.

• If you multiply two elements, e.g. $(4k_1 + 1)(4k_2 + 1) = 16k_1k_2 + 4k_2 + 4k_1 + 1 = 4(4k_1k_2 + k_1 + k_2) + 1$ you get another of the same kind.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト ニヨー

Sac

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • You might think this is obvious, but look at the following

Example 16

Consider the set A of integers of the form 4k + 1.

- If you multiply two elements, e.g. $(4k_1 + 1)(4k_2 + 1) = 16k_1k_2 + 4k_2 + 4k_1 + 1 = 4(4k_1k_2 + k_1 + k_2) + 1$ you get another of the same kind.
- We define a "prime" *p* in this system if it is only divisible by 1 and itself in the system.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • You might think this is obvious, but look at the following

Example 16

Consider the set A of integers of the form 4k + 1.

- If you multiply two elements, e.g. $(4k_1 + 1)(4k_2 + 1) = 16k_1k_2 + 4k_2 + 4k_1 + 1 = 4(4k_1k_2 + k_1 + k_2) + 1$ you get another of the same kind.
- We define a "prime" *p* in this system if it is only divisible by 1 and itself in the system.
- Here is a list of "primes" in \mathcal{A} .

 $5,9,13,17,21,29,33,37,41,49\ldots$

> Robert C. Vaughan

- Introduction
- The integers
- Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • You might think this is obvious, but look at the following

Example 16

Consider the set A of integers of the form 4k + 1.

- If you multiply two elements, e.g. $(4k_1 + 1)(4k_2 + 1) = 16k_1k_2 + 4k_2 + 4k_1 + 1 = 4(4k_1k_2 + k_1 + k_2) + 1$ you get another of the same kind.
- We define a "prime" *p* in this system if it is only divisible by 1 and itself in the system.
- Here is a list of "primes" in \mathcal{A} .

 $5,9,13,17,21,29,33,37,41,49\ldots$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

• 9 is one because 3 is not in the system. Likewise 21 and 49 because 3 and 7 are not in the system.

> Robert C. Vaughan

- Introduction
- The integers
- Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • You might think this is obvious, but look at the following

Example 16

Consider the set A of integers of the form 4k + 1.

- If you multiply two elements, e.g. $(4k_1 + 1)(4k_2 + 1) = 16k_1k_2 + 4k_2 + 4k_1 + 1 = 4(4k_1k_2 + k_1 + k_2) + 1$ you get another of the same kind.
- We define a "prime" *p* in this system if it is only divisible by 1 and itself in the system.
- Here is a list of "primes" in \mathcal{A} .

 $5,9,13,17,21,29,33,37,41,49\ldots$

- 9 is one because 3 is not in the system. Likewise 21 and 49 because 3 and 7 are not in the system.
- Also the "prime" factorisation of 45 is 5×9 .

> Robert C. Vaughan

- Introduction
- The integers
- Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • You might think this is obvious, but look at the following

Example 16

Consider the set A of integers of the form 4k + 1.

- If you multiply two elements, e.g. $(4k_1 + 1)(4k_2 + 1) = 16k_1k_2 + 4k_2 + 4k_1 + 1 = 4(4k_1k_2 + k_1 + k_2) + 1$ you get another of the same kind.
- We define a "prime" *p* in this system if it is only divisible by 1 and itself in the system.
- Here is a list of "primes" in \mathcal{A} .

 $5,9,13,17,21,29,33,37,41,49\ldots$

- 9 is one because 3 is not in the system. Likewise 21 and 49 because 3 and 7 are not in the system.
- Also the "prime" factorisation of 45 is 5×9 .
- Now look at 441 = 9 × 49 = 21².

> Robert C. Vaughan

- Introduction
- The integers
- Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • You might think this is obvious, but look at the following

Example 16

Consider the set A of integers of the form 4k + 1.

- If you multiply two elements, e.g. $(4k_1 + 1)(4k_2 + 1) = 16k_1k_2 + 4k_2 + 4k_1 + 1 = 4(4k_1k_2 + k_1 + k_2) + 1$ you get another of the same kind.
- We define a "prime" *p* in this system if it is only divisible by 1 and itself in the system.
- Here is a list of "primes" in \mathcal{A} .

 $5,9,13,17,21,29,33,37,41,49\ldots$

- 9 is one because 3 is not in the system. Likewise 21 and 49 because 3 and 7 are not in the system.
- Also the "prime" factorisation of 45 is 5×9 .
- Now look at $441 = 9 \times 49 = 21^2$.
- Wait a minute, here factorisation is not unique!

> Robert C. Vaughan

- Introduction
- The integers
- Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • You might think this is obvious, but look at the following

Example 16

Consider the set A of integers of the form 4k + 1.

- If you multiply two elements, e.g. $(4k_1 + 1)(4k_2 + 1) = 16k_1k_2 + 4k_2 + 4k_1 + 1 = 4(4k_1k_2 + k_1 + k_2) + 1$ you get another of the same kind.
- We define a "prime" *p* in this system if it is only divisible by 1 and itself in the system.
- Here is a list of "primes" in \mathcal{A} .

 $5,9,13,17,21,29,33,37,41,49\ldots$

- 9 is one because 3 is not in the system. Likewise 21 and 49 because 3 and 7 are not in the system.
- Also the "prime" factorisation of 45 is 5×9 .
- Now look at $441 = 9 \times 49 = 21^2$.
- Wait a minute, here factorisation is not unique!
- The theorem is false in A because 21|9 × 49 but 21 does not divide 9 or 49!

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • What is the difference between $\mathbb Z$ and $\mathcal A?$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- What is the difference between $\mathbb Z$ and $\mathcal A?$
- Well ${\mathbb Z}$ has an additive structure and ${\mathcal A}$ does not.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト ニヨー

590

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- What is the difference between $\mathbb Z$ and $\mathcal A?$
- \bullet Well ${\mathbb Z}$ has an additive structure and ${\mathcal A}$ does not.
- Add two members of $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Z}}$ and you get another one.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト ニヨー

Sac

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- What is the difference between $\mathbb Z$ and $\mathcal A?$
- Well ${\mathbb Z}$ has an additive structure and ${\mathcal A}$ does not.
- Add two members of $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Z}}$ and you get another one.
- Add two members of A and you get a number which leaves the remainder 2 on division by 4, so is not in A.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト ニヨー

Sac

> Robert C. Vaughan

- Introductior
- The integers
- Divisibility Prime Numbers
- The fundamental theorem of arithmetic
- Trial Division
- Differences of Squares
- The Floor Function

- What is the difference between $\mathbb Z$ and $\mathcal A?$
- \bullet Well ${\mathbb Z}$ has an additive structure and ${\mathcal A}$ does not.
- Add two members of $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Z}}$ and you get another one.
- Add two members of A and you get a number which leaves the remainder 2 on division by 4, so is not in A.
- Amazingly we have to use the additive structure to get something fundamental about the multiplicative structure.

> Robert C. Vaughan

- Introduction
- The integers
- Divisibility Prime Numbers
- The fundamental theorem of arithmetic
- Trial Division
- Differences o Squares
- The Floor Function

- What is the difference between $\mathbb Z$ and $\mathcal A?$
- Well $\mathbb Z$ has an additive structure and $\mathcal A$ does not.
- Add two members of $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Z}}$ and you get another one.
- Add two members of A and you get a number which leaves the remainder 2 on division by 4, so is not in A.
- Amazingly we have to use the additive structure to get something fundamental about the multiplicative structure.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

• This is of huge significance and underpins some of the most fundamental questions in mathematics.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

• Getting back to

Theorem 15 (Euclid). Suppose that p is a prime number, and a and b are integers such that p|ab. Then either p|a or p|b.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

3

Sac

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function Getting back to

Theorem 15 (Euclid). Suppose that p is a prime number, and a and b are integers such that p|ab. Then either p|a or p|b.

Proof of Euclid's theorem. If a or b are 0, then clearly p|a or p|b.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function Getting back to

Theorem 15 (Euclid). Suppose that p is a prime number, and a and b are integers such that p|ab. Then either p|a or p|b.

• **Proof of Euclid's theorem.** If *a* or *b* are 0, then clearly p|a or p|b.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

• Thus we may assume $ab \neq 0$.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function Getting back to

Theorem 15 (Euclid). Suppose that p is a prime number, and a and b are integers such that p|ab. Then either p|a or p|b.

- **Proof of Euclid's theorem.** If *a* or *b* are 0, then clearly p|a or p|b.
- Thus we may assume $ab \neq 0$.
- Suppose that p ∤ a. We know from the previous theorem that there are x and y so that (a, p) = ax + py and that (a, p)|p and (a, p)|a.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function • Getting back to

Theorem 15 (Euclid). Suppose that p is a prime number, and a and b are integers such that p|ab. Then either p|a or p|b.

- **Proof of Euclid's theorem.** If *a* or *b* are 0, then clearly p|a or p|b.
- Thus we may assume $ab \neq 0$.
- Suppose that p ∤ a. We know from the previous theorem that there are x and y so that (a, p) = ax + py and that (a, p)|p and (a, p)|a.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

• Since p is prime we must have (a, p) = 1 or p.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function • Getting back to

Theorem 15 (Euclid). Suppose that p is a prime number, and a and b are integers such that p|ab. Then either p|a or p|b.

- **Proof of Euclid's theorem.** If *a* or *b* are 0, then clearly p|a or p|b.
- Thus we may assume $ab \neq 0$.
- Suppose that p ∤ a. We know from the previous theorem that there are x and y so that (a, p) = ax + py and that (a, p)|p and (a, p)|a.

- Since p is prime we must have (a, p) = 1 or p.
- But we are supposing that p ∤ a so (a, p) ≠ p, i.e. (a, p) = 1. Hence 1 = ax + py for some x and y.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function • Getting back to

Theorem 15 (Euclid). Suppose that p is a prime number, and a and b are integers such that p|ab. Then either p|a or p|b.

- **Proof of Euclid's theorem.** If *a* or *b* are 0, then clearly p|a or p|b.
- Thus we may assume $ab \neq 0$.
- Suppose that p ∤ a. We know from the previous theorem that there are x and y so that (a, p) = ax + py and that (a, p)|p and (a, p)|a.
- Since p is prime we must have (a, p) = 1 or p.
- But we are supposing that p ∤ a so (a, p) ≠ p, i.e.
 (a, p) = 1. Hence 1 = ax + py for some x and y.
- But then b = abx + pby and since p|ab we have p|b as required.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • We can use Euclid's theorem to establish the following

Theorem 17

Suppose that p, p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r are prime numbers and

 $p|p_1p_2\ldots p_r.$

▲ロト ▲周ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨー のくで

Then $p = p_j$ for some j.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • We can use Euclid's theorem to establish the following

Theorem 17

Suppose that p, p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r are prime numbers and

 $p|p_1p_2\ldots p_r.$

▲ロト ▲周ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨー のくで

Then $p = p_j$ for some j.

• We can prove this by induction on r.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • We can use Euclid's theorem to establish the following

Theorem 17

Suppose that p, p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r are prime numbers and

 $p|p_1p_2\ldots p_r.$

Then $p = p_j$ for some j.

- We can prove this by induction on r.
- **Proof.** The case *r* = 1 is immediate from the definition of prime.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function • We can use Euclid's theorem to establish the following

Theorem 17

Suppose that p, p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r are prime numbers and

 $p|p_1p_2\ldots p_r.$

Then $p = p_j$ for some j.

- We can prove this by induction on r.
- **Proof.** The case *r* = 1 is immediate from the definition of prime.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Suppose we have established the *r*-th case and that we have p|p₁p₂...p_{r+1}.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function • We can use Euclid's theorem to establish the following

Theorem 17

Suppose that p, p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r are prime numbers and

 $p|p_1p_2\ldots p_r.$

Then $p = p_j$ for some j.

- We can prove this by induction on r.
- **Proof.** The case *r* = 1 is immediate from the definition of prime.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ◆ ●

- Suppose we have established the *r*-th case and that we have p|p₁p₂...p_{r+1}.
- Then by the previous theorem we have $p|p_{r+1}$ or $p|p_1p_2 \dots p_r$.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function • We can use Euclid's theorem to establish the following

Theorem 17

Suppose that p, p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r are prime numbers and

 $p|p_1p_2\ldots p_r$.

Then $p = p_j$ for some j.

- We can prove this by induction on r.
- **Proof.** The case *r* = 1 is immediate from the definition of prime.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ◆ ●

- Suppose we have established the *r*-th case and that we have p|p₁p₂...p_{r+1}.
- Then by the previous theorem we have $p|p_{r+1}$ or $p|p_1p_2 \dots p_r$.
- If $p|p_{r+1}$, then we must have $p = p_{r+1}$.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function • We can use Euclid's theorem to establish the following

Theorem 17

Suppose that p, p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r are prime numbers and

 $p|p_1p_2\ldots p_r.$

Then $p = p_j$ for some j.

- We can prove this by induction on r.
- **Proof.** The case *r* = 1 is immediate from the definition of prime.
- Suppose we have established the *r*-th case and that we have p|p₁p₂...p_{r+1}.
- Then by the previous theorem we have $p|p_{r+1}$ or $p|p_1p_2 \dots p_r$.
- If $p|p_{r+1}$, then we must have $p = p_{r+1}$.
- If $p|p_1p_2...p_r$, then by the inductive hypothesis we must have $p = p_j$ for some j with $1 \le j \le r$.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • We can now establish the main result of this section.

Theorem 18 (The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic)

Factorization into primes is unique apart from the order of the factors. More precisely if a is a non-zero integer and $a \neq \pm 1$, then

$$\mathsf{a}=(\pm 1)\mathsf{p}_1\mathsf{p}_2\dots\mathsf{p}_r$$

for some $r \ge 1$ and prime numbers p_1, \ldots, p_r , and r and the choice of sign is unique and the primes p_j are unique apart from their ordering.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function • We can now establish the main result of this section.

Theorem 18 (The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic)

Factorization into primes is unique apart from the order of the factors. More precisely if a is a non-zero integer and $a \neq \pm 1$, then

$$\mathsf{a}=(\pm 1)\mathsf{p}_1\mathsf{p}_2\dots\mathsf{p}_r$$

for some $r \ge 1$ and prime numbers p_1, \ldots, p_r , and r and the choice of sign is unique and the primes p_j are unique apart from their ordering.

Note that we can even write

$$a=(\pm 1)p_1p_2\dots p_r$$

when $a = \pm 1$ by interpreting the product over primes as an empty product in that case.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function Proof of Theorem 17. Clearly we may suppose that a > 0 and hence a ≥ 2.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ 日 ・ うへぐ

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function Proof of Theorem 17. Clearly we may suppose that a > 0 and hence a ≥ 2.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ 日 ・ うへぐ

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- Proof of Theorem 17. Clearly we may suppose that a > 0 and hence a ≥ 2.
- Theorem 4 tells us that *a* will be a product of *r* primes, say $a = p_1 p_2 \dots p_r$ with $r \ge 1$. It remains to prove uniqueness.

▲ロト ▲周ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨー のくで

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- Proof of Theorem 17. Clearly we may suppose that a > 0 and hence a ≥ 2.
- Theorem 4 tells us that a will be a product of r primes, say $a = p_1 p_2 \dots p_r$ with $r \ge 1$. It remains to prove uniqueness.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

• We prove that by induction on *r*.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- Proof of Theorem 17. Clearly we may suppose that a > 0 and hence a ≥ 2.
- Theorem 4 tells us that a will be a product of r primes, say $a = p_1 p_2 \dots p_r$ with $r \ge 1$. It remains to prove uniqueness.

- We prove that by induction on *r*.
- Suppose r = 1 and it is another product of primes $a = p'_1 \dots p'_s$ where $s \ge 1$.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- Proof of Theorem 17. Clearly we may suppose that a > 0 and hence a ≥ 2.
- Theorem 4 tells us that a will be a product of r primes, say $a = p_1 p_2 \dots p_r$ with $r \ge 1$. It remains to prove uniqueness.
- We prove that by induction on r.
- Suppose r = 1 and it is another product of primes $a = p'_1 \dots p'_s$ where $s \ge 1$.
- Then $p_1'|p_1$ and so $p_1' = p_1$ and $p_2' \dots p_s' = 1$, whence s = 1 also.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function

- Proof of Theorem 17. Clearly we may suppose that a > 0 and hence a ≥ 2.
- Theorem 4 tells us that a will be a product of r primes, say $a = p_1 p_2 \dots p_r$ with $r \ge 1$. It remains to prove uniqueness.
- We prove that by induction on r.
- Suppose r = 1 and it is another product of primes $a = p'_1 \dots p'_s$ where $s \ge 1$.
- Then $p_1'|p_1$ and so $p_1' = p_1$ and $p_2' \dots p_s' = 1$, whence s = 1 also.
- Now suppose that r ≥ 1 and we have established uniqueness for all products of r primes, and we have a product of r + 1 primes, and

$$a=p_1p_2\ldots p_{r+1}=p_1'\ldots p_s'.$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ◆ ●

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function

- Proof of Theorem 17. Clearly we may suppose that a > 0 and hence a ≥ 2.
- Theorem 4 tells us that *a* will be a product of *r* primes, say $a = p_1 p_2 \dots p_r$ with $r \ge 1$. It remains to prove uniqueness.
- We prove that by induction on *r*.
- Suppose r = 1 and it is another product of primes $a = p'_1 \dots p'_s$ where $s \ge 1$.
- Then $p_1'|p_1$ and so $p_1' = p_1$ and $p_2' \dots p_s' = 1$, whence s = 1 also.
- Now suppose that r ≥ 1 and we have established uniqueness for all products of r primes, and we have a product of r + 1 primes, and

$$a=p_1p_2\ldots p_{r+1}=p_1'\ldots p_s'.$$

• Then we see from the previous theorem that $p'_1 = p_j$ for some j and then

$$p_2'\ldots p_s'=p_1p_2\ldots p_{r+1}/p_j$$

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • There are various other properties of GCDs which can now be described.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

= √Q (~

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- There are various other properties of GCDs which can now be described.
- Suppose *a* and *b* are positive integers. Then by the previous theorem we can write

$$a=p_1^{r_1}\dots p_k^{r_k},\quad b=p_1^{s_1}\dots p_k^{s_k}$$

where the $p_1, \ldots p_k$ are the different primes in the factorization of *a* and *b* and we allow the possibility that the exponents r_i and s_i may be zero.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- There are various other properties of GCDs which can now be described.
- Suppose *a* and *b* are positive integers. Then by the previous theorem we can write

$$a = p_1^{r_1} \dots p_k^{r_k}, \quad b = p_1^{s_1} \dots p_k^{s_k}$$

where the $p_1, \ldots p_k$ are the different primes in the factorization of *a* and *b* and we allow the possibility that the exponents r_i and s_i may be zero.

• For example if $p_1 = 2$, $p_2 = 3$, $p_3 = 5$, then

 $20 = p_1^2 p_2^0 p_3^1, \, 75 = p_1^0 p_2^1 p_3^2, \, (20, 75) = 5 = p_1^0 p_2^0, p_3^1.$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ◆ ●

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function

- There are various other properties of GCDs which can now be described.
- Suppose *a* and *b* are positive integers. Then by the previous theorem we can write

$$a = p_1^{r_1} \dots p_k^{r_k}, \quad b = p_1^{s_1} \dots p_k^{s_k}$$

where the $p_1, \ldots p_k$ are the different primes in the factorization of *a* and *b* and we allow the possibility that the exponents r_i and s_i may be zero.

• For example if $p_1 = 2$, $p_2 = 3$, $p_3 = 5$, then

 $20 = p_1^2 p_2^0 p_3^1, \, 75 = p_1^0 p_2^1 p_3^2, \, (20, 75) = 5 = p_1^0 p_2^0, p_3^1.$

• Then it can be checked easily that

$$(a,b)=p_1^{\min(r_1,s_1)}\dots p_k^{\min(r_k,s_k)}.$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ◆ ●

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • We can now introduce the idea of least common multiple

Definition 19

We can also introduce here the least common multiple LCM

$$[a,b] = \frac{ab}{(a,b)}$$

and this could also be defined by

$$[a,b] = p_1^{\max(r_1,s_1)} \dots p_k^{\max(r_k,s_k)}$$

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

= 900

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • We can now introduce the idea of least common multiple

Definition 19

We can also introduce here the least common multiple LCM

$$[a,b] = \frac{ab}{(a,b)}$$

and this could also be defined by

$$[a,b] = p_1^{\max(r_1,s_1)} \dots p_k^{\max(r_k,s_k)}$$

• The *LCM*[*a*, *b*] has the property that it is the smallest positive integer *c* so that *a*|*c* and *b*|*c*.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • At this point it is useful to remind ourselves of some further terminology

Definition 20

A composite number is a number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with n > 1 which is not prime. In particular a composite number n can be written

 $n = m_1 m_2$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

with $1 < m_1 < n$, and so $1 < m_2 < n$ also.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • As I hope was clear from the example 101 the simplest way to try to factorize a number *n* is by trial division.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

3

Sac

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • As I hope was clear from the example 101 the simplest way to try to factorize a number *n* is by trial division.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト ニヨー

Sar

• If *n* has a proper factor m_1 , so that $n = m_1m_2$ with $1 < m_1 < n$, whence $1 < m_2 < n$ also, then we can suppose that $m_1 \le m_2$.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- As I hope was clear from the example 101 the simplest way to try to factorize a number *n* is by trial division.
- If *n* has a proper factor m_1 , so that $n = m_1m_2$ with $1 < m_1 < n$, whence $1 < m_2 < n$ also, then we can suppose that $m_1 \le m_2$.
- Thus $m_1^2 \leq m_1 m_2 = n$ and

 $m_1 < \sqrt{n}$.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function

- As I hope was clear from the example 101 the simplest way to try to factorize a number *n* is by trial division.
- If *n* has a proper factor m_1 , so that $n = m_1m_2$ with $1 < m_1 < n$, whence $1 < m_2 < n$ also, then we can suppose that $m_1 \le m_2$.
- Thus $m_1^2 \leq m_1 m_2 = n$ and

$$m_1 \leq \sqrt{n}.$$

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト ニヨー

Sar

• Hence we can try each $m_1 \leq \sqrt{n}$ in turn. If we find no such factor, then we can deduce that n is prime.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- As I hope was clear from the example 101 the simplest way to try to factorize a number *n* is by trial division.
- If *n* has a proper factor m_1 , so that $n = m_1m_2$ with $1 < m_1 < n$, whence $1 < m_2 < n$ also, then we can suppose that $m_1 \le m_2$.
- Thus $m_1^2 \leq m_1 m_2 = n$ and

$$m_1 \leq \sqrt{n}.$$

- Hence we can try each $m_1 \leq \sqrt{n}$ in turn. If we find no such factor, then we can deduce that n is prime.
- Since the smallest proper divisor of *n* has to be the smallest prime factor of *n* we need only check the primes *p* with

$$2 \le p \le \sqrt{n}$$
.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- As I hope was clear from the example 101 the simplest way to try to factorize a number *n* is by trial division.
- If *n* has a proper factor m_1 , so that $n = m_1m_2$ with $1 < m_1 < n$, whence $1 < m_2 < n$ also, then we can suppose that $m_1 \le m_2$.
- Thus $m_1^2 \leq m_1 m_2 = n$ and

$$m_1 \leq \sqrt{n}.$$

- Hence we can try each $m_1 \leq \sqrt{n}$ in turn. If we find no such factor, then we can deduce that n is prime.
- Since the smallest proper divisor of *n* has to be the smallest prime factor of *n* we need only check the primes *p* with

$$2 \le p \le \sqrt{n}.$$

• Even so, for large *n* this is hugely expensive in time.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • The number $\pi(x)$ of primes $p \leq x$ is approximately

$$\pi(x) \sim \int_2^x \frac{d\alpha}{\log \alpha} \sim \frac{x}{\log x}$$

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

3

Sac

where log denotes the natural logarithm.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • The number $\pi(x)$ of primes $p \le x$ is approximately

$$\pi(x) \sim \int_2^x \frac{d\alpha}{\log \alpha} \sim \frac{x}{\log x}$$

where log denotes the natural logarithm.

• Thus if *n* is about *k* bits in size and turns out to be prime or the product of two primes of about the same size, then the number of operations will be

$$\approx \frac{2^{k/2}}{\frac{k}{2}\log 2}.$$

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト ニヨー

Sac

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • The number $\pi(x)$ of primes $p \le x$ is approximately

$$\pi(x) \sim \int_2^x \frac{d\alpha}{\log \alpha} \sim \frac{x}{\log x}$$

where log denotes the natural logarithm.

• Thus if *n* is about *k* bits in size and turns out to be prime or the product of two primes of about the same size, then the number of operations will be

$$\approx \frac{2^{k/2}}{\frac{k}{2}\log 2}.$$

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

-

Sar

• Still exponential in the bit size.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • The number $\pi(x)$ of primes $p \leq x$ is approximately

$$\pi(x) \sim \int_2^x \frac{d\alpha}{\log \alpha} \sim \frac{x}{\log x}$$

where log denotes the natural logarithm.

• Thus if *n* is about *k* bits in size and turns out to be prime or the product of two primes of about the same size, then the number of operations will be

$$\approx \frac{2^{k/2}}{\frac{k}{2}\log 2}.$$

- Still exponential in the bit size.
- Trial division is feasible for *n* out to about 40 bits on a modern PC. Much beyond that it becomes hopeless.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function One area where trial division, or sophisticated variants thereof, are useful is in the production of tables of primes, or counts of primes such as the value of π(x).

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- One area where trial division, or sophisticated variants thereof, are useful is in the production of tables of primes, or counts of primes such as the value of π(x).
- This is how the table I showed you earlier with gives values of π(x) for x ≤ 10²⁷ was constructed.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- One area where trial division, or sophisticated variants thereof, are useful is in the production of tables of primes, or counts of primes such as the value of π(x).
- This is how the table I showed you earlier with gives values of π(x) for x ≤ 10²⁷ was constructed.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

• The simplest form of this is the 'Sieve of Eratosthenes'.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function

• Construct a $\lfloor \sqrt{N} \rfloor \times \lfloor \sqrt{N} \rfloor$ array. Here N = 100.

10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59		_		_	_	-					
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59	0	1	0	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59	10	11	10	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59	20	21	20	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29
50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59	30	31	30	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39
	40	41	40	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49
60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69	50	51	50	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59
	60	61	60	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69
70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79	70	71	70	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79
80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89	80	81	80	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89
90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99	90	91	90	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99

Forget about 0 and 1, and then for each successive element remaining remove the proper multiples.

Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function

• Thus for 2 we remove 4, 6, 8, ..., 98.

Х	Х	2	3	Х	5	Х	7	Х	9
Х	11	Х	13	Х	15	Х	17	Х	19
Х	21	Х	23	Х	25	Х	27	Х	29
X	31	Х	33	Х	35	Х	37	Х	39
X	41	Х	43	Х	45	Х	47	Х	49
Х	51	Х	53	Х	55	Х	57	Х	59
X	61	Х	63	Х	65	Х	67	Х	69
X	71	Х	73	Х	75	Х	77	Х	79
Х	81	Х	83	Х	85	Х	87	Х	89
Х	91	Х	93	Х	95	Х	97	Х	99

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • Then for the next remaining element 3 remove 6, 9, ..., 99.

Х	Х	2	3	Х	5	Х	7	Х	Х
X	11	Х	13	Х	Х	Х	17	Х	19
X	Х	Х	23	Х	25	Х	Х	Х	29
X	31	Х	Х	Х	35	Х	37	Х	Х
X	41	Х	43	Х	Х	Х	47	Х	49
Х	Х	Х	53	Х	55	Х	Х	Х	59
X	61	Х	Х	Х	65	Х	67	Х	Х
X	71	Х	73	Х	Х	Х	77	Х	79
Х	Х	Х	83	Х	85	Х	Х	Х	89
Х	91	Х	Х	Х	95	Х	97	Х	Х

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences or Squares

The Floor Function • Likewise for 5 and 7.

X	Х	2	3	Х	5	Х	7	Х	Х
Х	11	Х	13	Х	Х	Х	17	Х	19
X	Х	Х	23	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	29
X	31	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	37	Х	Х
X	41	Х	43	Х	Х	Х	47	Х	Х
Х	Х	Х	53	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	59
X	61	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	67	Х	Х
X	71	Х	73	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	79
X	Х	Х	83	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	89
Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	97	Х	Х

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆豆▶ ◆豆▶

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function • Likewise for 5 and 7.

Х	Х	2	3	Х	5	Х	7	Х	Х
X	11	Х	13	Х	Х	Х	17	Х	19
X	Х	Х	23	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	29
X	31	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	37	Х	Х
X	41	Х	43	Х	Х	Х	47	Х	Х
X	Х	Х	53	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	59
X	61	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	67	Х	Х
X	71	Х	73	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	79
X	Х	Х	83	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	89
Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	97	Х	Х

• After that the next remaining element is 11 and for that and its successors all the proper multiples have already been removed.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

= √Q (~

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function • Likewise for 5 and 7.

Х	Х	2	3	Х	5	Х	7	Х	Х
Х	11	Х	13	Х	Х	Х	17	Х	19
X	Х	Х	23	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	29
X	31	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	37	Х	Х
X	41	Х	43	Х	Х	Х	47	Х	Х
Х	Х	Х	53	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	59
X	61	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	67	Х	X
Χ	71	Х	73	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	79
Х	Х	Х	83	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	89
Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	97	Х	Х

• After that the next remaining element is 11 and for that and its successors all the proper multiples have already been removed.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト ニヨー

Sac

• Thus we now have a table of all the primes $p \leq 100$.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function • Likewise for 5 and 7.

Х	Х	2	3	Х	5	Х	7	Х	Х
Х	11	Х	13	Х	Х	Х	17	Х	19
X	Х	Х	23	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	29
X	31	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	37	Х	Х
X	41	Х	43	Х	Х	Х	47	Х	Х
Х	Х	Х	53	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	59
X	61	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	67	Х	X
Χ	71	Х	73	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	79
Х	Х	Х	83	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	89
Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	97	Х	Х

- After that the next remaining element is 11 and for that and its successors all the proper multiples have already been removed.
- Thus we now have a table of all the primes $p \leq 100$.
- This is relatively efficient.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function • Likewise for 5 and 7.

Х	Х	2	3	Х	5	Х	7	Х	Х
Х	11	Х	13	Х	Х	Х	17	Х	19
Х	Х	Х	23	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	29
X	31	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	37	Х	Х
X	41	Х	43	Х	Х	Х	47	Х	Х
X	Х	Х	53	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	59
X	61	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	67	Х	Х
X	71	Х	73	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	79
X	Х	Х	83	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	89
Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	97	Х	Х

- After that the next remaining element is 11 and for that and its successors all the proper multiples have already been removed.
- Thus we now have a table of all the primes $p \leq 100$.
- This is relatively efficient.
- By counting the entries that remain one finds that $\pi(100) = 25.$

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • The sieve of Eratosthenes produces approximately

 $\frac{n}{\log n}$

numbers in about

$$\sum_{p \le \sqrt{n}} \frac{n}{p} \sim n \log \log n$$

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

= 900

operations.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function • The sieve of Eratosthenes produces approximately

 $\frac{n}{\log n}$

numbers in about

$$\sum_{p \le \sqrt{n}} \frac{n}{p} \sim n \log \log n$$

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

3

Sac

operations.

• Another big constraint is storage.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • Here is an idea that goes back to Fermat.

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲温ト ▲温ト

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- Here is an idea that goes back to Fermat.
- Given *n* suppose we can find *x* and *y* so that

$$n = x^2 - y^2, \quad 0 \le y < x.$$

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

≡ 9 < ભ

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- Here is an idea that goes back to Fermat.
- Given *n* suppose we can find *x* and *y* so that

$$n = x^2 - y^2, \quad 0 \le y < x.$$

• Since the polynomial on the right factorises as

$$(x-y)(x+y)$$

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Sac

3

maybe we have a way of factoring n.

> Robert C. Vaughan

1

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- Here is an idea that goes back to Fermat.
- Given *n* suppose we can find *x* and *y* so that

$$n = x^2 - y^2, \quad 0 \le y < x.$$

$$(x-y)(x+y)$$

maybe we have a way of factoring n.

• We are only likely to try this if *n* is odd, say

$$n = 2k + 1$$

and then we might run in to

$$n = 2k + 1 = (k + 1)^2 - k^2 = 1.(2k + 1)$$

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

э

Sac

which does not help much.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- Here is an idea that goes back to Fermat.
- Given *n* suppose we can find *x* and *y* so that

$$n = x^2 - y^2, \quad 0 \le y < x.$$

$$(x-y)(x+y)$$

maybe we have a way of factoring n.

• We are only likely to try this if *n* is odd, say

$$n = 2k + 1$$

and then we might run in to

$$n = 2k + 1 = (k + 1)^2 - k^2 = 1.(2k + 1)$$

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト ニヨー

Sac

which does not help much.

 Of course if n is prime, then perforce x - y = 1 and x + y = 2k + 1 so this would be the only solution.

> Robert C. Vaughan

1

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- Here is an idea that goes back to Fermat.
- Given *n* suppose we can find *x* and *y* so that

$$n = x^2 - y^2, \quad 0 \le y < x.$$

$$(x-y)(x+y)$$

maybe we have a way of factoring n.

• We are only likely to try this if *n* is odd, say

$$n = 2k + 1$$

and then we might run in to

$$n = 2k + 1 = (k + 1)^2 - k^2 = 1.(2k + 1)$$

which does not help much.

- Of course if n is prime, then perforce x y = 1 and x + y = 2k + 1 so this would be the only solution.
- But if we could find a solution with x y > 1, then that would show that n is composite and would give a factorization.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • If $n = m_1 m_2$ with n odd and $m_1 \le m_2$, then m_1 and m_2 are both odd and there is a solution with

$$x-y=m_1, x+y=m_2, x=\frac{m_2+m_1}{2}, y=\frac{m_2-m_1}{2}.$$

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

3

Sac

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • If $n = m_1 m_2$ with n odd and $m_1 \le m_2$, then m_1 and m_2 are both odd and there is a solution with

$$x - y = m_1, x + y = m_2, x = \frac{m_2 + m_1}{2}, y = \frac{m_2 - m_1}{2}$$

• A simple example

Example 21

$$91 = 100 - 9 = 10^2 - 3^2,$$

 $x = 10, y = 3, m_1 = x - y = 7, m_2 = x + y = 13.$

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

3

Sac

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function If n = m₁m₂ with n odd and m₁ ≤ m₂, then m₁ and m₂ are both odd and there is a solution with

$$x - y = m_1, x + y = m_2, x = \frac{m_2 + m_1}{2}, y = \frac{m_2 - m_1}{2}$$

• A simple example

Example 21

$$91 = 100 - 9 = 10^2 - 3^2$$
,
x = 10, y = 3, m₁ = x - y = 7, m₂ = x + y = 13.

• Another

Example 22

 $1001 = 2025 - 1024 = 45^2 - 32^2$ x = 45, y = 32, m₁ = x - y = 13, m₂ = x + y = 77.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function This method has the obvious downside that x² = n + y² so already one is searching among x which are greater than √n and one could end up searching among that many possibilities.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ◆ ●

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- This method has the obvious downside that x² = n + y² so already one is searching among x which are greater than √n and one could end up searching among that many possibilities.
- The chances of solving this easily for large *n* are quite small.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ◆ ●

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- This method has the obvious downside that x² = n + y² so already one is searching among x which are greater than √n and one could end up searching among that many possibilities.
- The chances of solving this easily for large *n* are quite small.
- Nevertheless we will see that this is a very fruitful idea.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- This method has the obvious downside that x² = n + y² so already one is searching among x which are greater than √n and one could end up searching among that many possibilities.
- The chances of solving this easily for large *n* are quite small.
- Nevertheless we will see that this is a very fruitful idea.
- For example suppose instead of $n = x^2 y^2$ we could solve

$$x^2 - y^2 = kn$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

for a relatively small value of k.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- This method has the obvious downside that x² = n + y² so already one is searching among x which are greater than √n and one could end up searching among that many possibilities.
- The chances of solving this easily for large *n* are quite small.
- Nevertheless we will see that this is a very fruitful idea.
- For example suppose instead of $n = x^2 y^2$ we could solve

$$x^2 - y^2 = kn$$

for a relatively small value of k.

 It is not very likely that x - y or x + y are factors of n, but if we could compute

$$g = GCD(x+y,n)$$

then we might find that g differs from 1 or n and so gives a factorization.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- This method has the obvious downside that x² = n + y² so already one is searching among x which are greater than √n and one could end up searching among that many possibilities.
- The chances of solving this easily for large *n* are quite small.
- Nevertheless we will see that this is a very fruitful idea.
- For example suppose instead of $n = x^2 y^2$ we could solve

$$x^2 - y^2 = kn$$

for a relatively small value of k.

 It is not very likely that x - y or x + y are factors of n, but if we could compute

$$g = GCD(x+y, n)$$

then we might find that g differs from 1 or n and so gives a factorization.

 Moreover there is a very fast way of computing greatest common divisors.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

• To illustrate this consider

Example 23

Let n = 10001. Then

 $8n = 80008 = 80089 - 81 = 283^2 - 9^2 = 274 \times 292.$

Now

$$GCD(292, 10001) = 73, 10001 = 73 \times 137$$

▲ロト ▲周ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨー のくで

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

• To illustrate this consider

Example 23

Let n = 10001. Then

 $8n = 80008 = 80089 - 81 = 283^2 - 9^2 = 274 \times 292.$

Now

$$GCD(292, 10001) = 73, 10001 = 73 \times 137$$

• We will come back to this, but as a first step we want to explore the computation of greatest common divisors.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

• To illustrate this consider

Example 23

Let n = 10001. Then

 $8n = 80008 = 80089 - 81 = 283^2 - 9^2 = 274 \times 292.$

Now

$$GCD(292, 10001) = 73, 10001 = 73 \times 137$$

- We will come back to this, but as a first step we want to explore the computation of greatest common divisors.
 - We also want to find fast ways of solving equations like

$$kn = x^2 - y^2$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

in the variables k, s, y.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • There is a function which we will use from time to time. This is the floor function.

▲ロト ▲ 同 ト ▲ 国 ト → 国 - の Q ()

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function

- There is a function which we will use from time to time. This is the floor function.
- It is defined for all real numbers.

Definition 24

For real numbers α we define the **floor function** $\lfloor \alpha \rfloor$ to be the largest integer not exceeding α . Occasionally it is also useful to define the **ceiling function** $\lceil x \rceil$ as the smallest integer u such that $x \leq u$. The difference $x - \lfloor x \rfloor$ is often called **the fractional part** of x and is sometimes denoted by $\{x\}$.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Divisior

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function

- There is a function which we will use from time to time. This is the floor function.
- It is defined for all real numbers.

Definition 24

For real numbers α we define the **floor function** $\lfloor \alpha \rfloor$ to be the largest integer not exceeding α . Occasionally it is also useful to define the **ceiling function** $\lceil x \rceil$ as the smallest integer u such that $x \leq u$. The difference $x - \lfloor x \rfloor$ is often called **the fractional part** of x and is sometimes denoted by $\{x\}$.

• By the way of illustration.

Example 25

 $\lfloor \pi \rfloor = 3$, $\lceil \pi \rceil = 4$, $\lfloor \sqrt{2} \rfloor = 1$, $\lfloor -\sqrt{2} \rfloor = -2$, $\lceil -\sqrt{2} \rceil = -1$.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ◆ ●

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • The floor function has some useful properties.

Theorem 26 (Properties of the floor function)

(i) For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $0 \le x - \lfloor x \rfloor < 1$. (ii) For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $\lfloor x + k \rfloor = \lfloor x \rfloor + k$. (iii) For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\lfloor x/n \rfloor = \lfloor \lfloor x \rfloor/n \rfloor$. (iv) For any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $|x| + |y| \le |x + y| \le |x| + |y| + 1$.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • The floor function has some useful properties.

Theorem 26 (Properties of the floor function)

(i) For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $0 \le x - \lfloor x \rfloor < 1$. (ii) For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $\lfloor x + k \rfloor = \lfloor x \rfloor + k$. (iii) For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\lfloor x/n \rfloor = \lfloor \lfloor x \rfloor/n \rfloor$. (iv) For any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor y \rfloor \le \lfloor x + y \rfloor \le \lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor y \rfloor + 1$.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

• **Proof.** (i) We argue by contradiction.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences or Squares

The Floor Function • The floor function has some useful properties.

Theorem 26 (Properties of the floor function)

(i) For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $0 \le x - \lfloor x \rfloor < 1$. (ii) For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $\lfloor x + k \rfloor = \lfloor x \rfloor + k$. (iii) For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\lfloor x/n \rfloor = \lfloor \lfloor x \rfloor/n \rfloor$. (iv) For any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor y \rfloor \le \lfloor x + y \rfloor \le \lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor y \rfloor + 1$.

- **Proof.** (i) We argue by contradiction.
- If $x \lfloor x \rfloor < 0$, then $x < \lfloor x \rfloor$ contradicting the definition.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function • The floor function has some useful properties.

Theorem 26 (Properties of the floor function)

(i) For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $0 \le x - \lfloor x \rfloor < 1$. (ii) For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $\lfloor x + k \rfloor = \lfloor x \rfloor + k$. (iii) For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\lfloor x/n \rfloor = \lfloor \lfloor x \rfloor/n \rfloor$. (iv) For any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor y \rfloor \le \lfloor x + y \rfloor \le \lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor y \rfloor + 1$.

- **Proof.** (i) We argue by contradiction.
- If $x \lfloor x \rfloor < 0$, then $x < \lfloor x \rfloor$ contradicting the definition.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

• If $1 \le x - \lfloor x \rfloor$, then $1 + \lfloor x \rfloor \le x$ contradicting defn.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Divisior

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function • The floor function has some useful properties.

Theorem 26 (Properties of the floor function)

(i) For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $0 \le x - \lfloor x \rfloor < 1$. (ii) For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $\lfloor x + k \rfloor = \lfloor x \rfloor + k$. (iii) For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\lfloor x/n \rfloor = \lfloor \lfloor x \rfloor/n \rfloor$. (iv) For any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor y \rfloor \le \lfloor x + y \rfloor \le \lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor y \rfloor + 1$.

- **Proof.** (i) We argue by contradiction.
- If $x \lfloor x \rfloor < 0$, then $x < \lfloor x \rfloor$ contradicting the definition.

- If $1 \le x \lfloor x \rfloor$, then $1 + \lfloor x \rfloor \le x$ contradicting defn.
- This also shows that $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is unique.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Divisior

Differences c Squares

The Floor Function • The floor function has some useful properties.

Theorem 26 (Properties of the floor function)

(i) For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $0 \le x - \lfloor x \rfloor < 1$. (ii) For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $\lfloor x + k \rfloor = \lfloor x \rfloor + k$. (iii) For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\lfloor x/n \rfloor = \lfloor \lfloor x \rfloor/n \rfloor$. (iv) For any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor y \rfloor \le \lfloor x + y \rfloor \le \lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor y \rfloor + 1$.

- **Proof.** (i) We argue by contradiction.
- If $x \lfloor x \rfloor < 0$, then $x < \lfloor x \rfloor$ contradicting the definition.
- If $1 \le x \lfloor x \rfloor$, then $1 + \lfloor x \rfloor \le x$ contradicting defn.
- This also shows that $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is unique.
- (ii) One way to see this is to observe that by (i) we have $x = \lfloor x \rfloor + \theta$ for some θ with $0 \le \theta < 1$.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ◆ ●

> Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function • The floor function has some useful properties.

Theorem 26 (Properties of the floor function)

(i) For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $0 \le x - \lfloor x \rfloor < 1$. (ii) For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $\lfloor x + k \rfloor = \lfloor x \rfloor + k$. (iii) For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\lfloor x/n \rfloor = \lfloor \lfloor x \rfloor/n \rfloor$. (iv) For any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor y \rfloor \le \lfloor x + y \rfloor \le \lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor y \rfloor + 1$.

- **Proof.** (i) We argue by contradiction.
- If $x \lfloor x \rfloor < 0$, then $x < \lfloor x \rfloor$ contradicting the definition.
- If $1 \le x \lfloor x \rfloor$, then $1 + \lfloor x \rfloor \le x$ contradicting defn.
- This also shows that $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is unique.
- (ii) One way to see this is to observe that by (i) we have $x = \lfloor x \rfloor + \theta$ for some θ with $0 \le \theta < 1$.
- Then $x + k \lfloor x \rfloor k = \theta$ and since there is only one integer I with $0 \le x + k l < 1$, and this I is $\lfloor x + k \rfloor$ we must have $\lfloor x + k \rfloor = \lfloor x \rfloor + k$.

Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function Theorem 26. (iii) For any x ∈ ℝ and any n ∈ ℕ we have [x/n] = [[x]/n]. (iv) For any x, y ∈ ℝ, [x] + [y] ≤ [x + y] ≤ [x] + [y] + 1.

Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences of Squares

The Floor Function Theorem 26. (iii) For any x ∈ ℝ and any n ∈ N we have [x/n] = [[x]/n]. (iv) For any x, y ∈ ℝ,

- $\lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor y \rfloor \le \lfloor x + y \rfloor \le \lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor y \rfloor + 1.$
- **Proof continued.** (iii) We know by (i) that $\theta = x/n \lfloor x/n \rfloor$ satisfies $0 \le \theta < 1$.

Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function

- Theorem 26. (iii) For any x ∈ ℝ and any n ∈ ℕ we have [x/n] = [[x]/n]. (iv) For any x, y ∈ ℝ,
 - $\lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor y \rfloor \le \lfloor x + y \rfloor \le \lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor y \rfloor + 1.$
- **Proof continued.** (iii) We know by (i) that $\theta = x/n \lfloor x/n \rfloor$ satisfies $0 \le \theta < 1$.
- Now $x = n\lfloor x/n \rfloor + n\theta$ and so by (ii) $\lfloor x \rfloor = n\lfloor x/n \rfloor + \lfloor n\theta \rfloor$.

Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function

- Theorem 26. (iii) For any x ∈ ℝ and any n ∈ N we have [x/n] = [[x]/n]. (iv) For any x, y ∈ ℝ,
 - $\lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor y \rfloor \le \lfloor x + y \rfloor \le \lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor y \rfloor + 1.$
- **Proof continued.** (iii) We know by (i) that $\theta = x/n \lfloor x/n \rfloor$ satisfies $0 \le \theta < 1$.
- Now $x = n\lfloor x/n \rfloor + n\theta$ and so by (ii) $\lfloor x \rfloor = n\lfloor x/n \rfloor + \lfloor n\theta \rfloor$.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

• Hence $\lfloor x \rfloor/n = \lfloor x/n \rfloor + \lfloor n\theta \rfloor/n$ and so $\lfloor x/n \rfloor \le \lfloor x \rfloor/n < \lfloor x/n \rfloor + 1$ and so $\lfloor x/n \rfloor = \lfloor \lfloor x \rfloor/n \rfloor$.

Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamenta theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function

- Theorem 26. (iii) For any x ∈ ℝ and any n ∈ N we have [x/n] = [[x]/n]. (iv) For any x, y ∈ ℝ,
 - $\lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor y \rfloor \le \lfloor x + y \rfloor \le \lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor y \rfloor + 1.$
- **Proof continued.** (iii) We know by (i) that $\theta = x/n \lfloor x/n \rfloor$ satisfies $0 \le \theta < 1$.
- Now $x = n\lfloor x/n \rfloor + n\theta$ and so by (ii) $\lfloor x \rfloor = n\lfloor x/n \rfloor + \lfloor n\theta \rfloor$.
- Hence $\lfloor x \rfloor/n = \lfloor x/n \rfloor + \lfloor n\theta \rfloor/n$ and so $\lfloor x/n \rfloor \le \lfloor x \rfloor/n < \lfloor x/n \rfloor + 1$ and so $\lfloor x/n \rfloor = \lfloor \lfloor x \rfloor/n \rfloor$.
- (iv) Put $x = \lfloor x \rfloor + \theta$ and $y = \lfloor y \rfloor + \phi$ where $0 \le \theta, \phi < 1$.

Robert C. Vaughan

Introduction

The integers

Divisibility Prime Numbers

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Trial Division

Differences o Squares

The Floor Function

- Theorem 26. (iii) For any x ∈ ℝ and any n ∈ N we have [x/n] = [[x]/n]. (iv) For any x, y ∈ ℝ,
 - $\lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor y \rfloor \le \lfloor x + y \rfloor \le \lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor y \rfloor + 1.$
- **Proof continued.** (iii) We know by (i) that $\theta = x/n \lfloor x/n \rfloor$ satisfies $0 \le \theta < 1$.
- Now $x = n\lfloor x/n \rfloor + n\theta$ and so by (ii) $\lfloor x \rfloor = n\lfloor x/n \rfloor + \lfloor n\theta \rfloor$.
- Hence $\lfloor x \rfloor/n = \lfloor x/n \rfloor + \lfloor n\theta \rfloor/n$ and so $\lfloor x/n \rfloor \le \lfloor x \rfloor/n < \lfloor x/n \rfloor + 1$ and so $\lfloor x/n \rfloor = \lfloor \lfloor x \rfloor/n \rfloor$.
- (iv) Put $x = \lfloor x \rfloor + \theta$ and $y = \lfloor y \rfloor + \phi$ where $0 \le \theta, \phi < 1$.
- Then $\lfloor x + y \rfloor = \lfloor \theta + \phi \rfloor + \lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor y \rfloor$ and $0 \le \theta + \phi < 2$.