> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

Introduction to Number Theory Chapter 3 Congruences and Residue Classes

Robert C. Vaughan

January 21, 2025

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト ニヨー

Sac

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

• The next topic was first developed by Gauss.

Definition 1

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and define the residue class \overline{r} modulo m by

$$\overline{r} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : m | (x - r)\}.$$

Residue Classes

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

By the division algorithm every integer is in one

$$\overline{0}, \overline{1}, \ldots, \overline{m-1}.$$

This is often called a *complete* system of residues modulo *m*.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

• The next topic was first developed by Gauss.

Definition 1

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and define the residue class \overline{r} modulo m by

$$\overline{r} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : m | (x - r)\}.$$

Residue Classes

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

By the division algorithm every integer is in one

$$\overline{0}, \overline{1}, \ldots, \overline{m-1}.$$

This is often called a *complete* system of residues modulo *m*.

• The remarkable thing is that we can perform arithmetic on the residue classes just as if they were numbers.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

• The next topic was first developed by Gauss.

Definition 1

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and define the residue class \overline{r} modulo m by

$$\overline{r} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : m | (x - r)\}.$$

By the division algorithm every integer is in one

$$\overline{0}, \overline{1}, \ldots, \overline{m-1}.$$

This is often called a *complete* system of residues modulo *m*.

- The remarkable thing is that we can perform arithmetic on the residue classes just as if they were numbers.
- The residue class $\overline{0}$ behaves like the number 0,

Residue Classes

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

• The next topic was first developed by Gauss.

Definition 1

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and define the residue class \overline{r} modulo m by

$$\overline{r} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : m | (x - r)\}.$$

Residue Classes

By the division algorithm every integer is in one

$$\overline{0}, \overline{1}, \ldots, \overline{m-1}.$$

This is often called a *complete* system of residues modulo *m*.

- The remarkable thing is that we can perform arithmetic on the residue classes just as if they were numbers.
- The residue class 0 behaves like the number 0,
- because 0 is the set of multiples of m and adding any one of them to an element of r does not change the remainder.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

• Thus for any *r*

$$\overline{0} + \overline{r} = \overline{r} = \overline{r} + \overline{0}.$$

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • Thus for any r

$$\overline{0} + \overline{r} = \overline{r} = \overline{r} + \overline{0}.$$

Suppose that we are given any two residue classes r and s modulo m. Let t be the remainder of r + s on division by m. Then the elements of r and s are of the form r + mx and s + my and we know that r + s = t + mz for some z.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • Thus for any r

$$\overline{0} + \overline{r} = \overline{r} = \overline{r} + \overline{0}.$$

- Suppose that we are given any two residue classes r and s modulo m. Let t be the remainder of r + s on division by m. Then the elements of r and s are of the form r + mx and s + my and we know that r + s = t + mz for some z.
- Thus r + mx + s + my = t + m(z + x + y) is in \overline{t} , and it is readily seen that the converse is true.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • Thus for any r

$$\overline{0} + \overline{r} = \overline{r} = \overline{r} + \overline{0}.$$

- Suppose that we are given any two residue classes r and s modulo m. Let t be the remainder of r + s on division by m. Then the elements of r and s are of the form r + mx and s + my and we know that r + s = t + mz for some z.
- Thus r + mx + s + my = t + m(z + x + y) is in \overline{t} , and it is readily seen that the converse is true.
- Thus it makes sense to write $\overline{r} + \overline{s} = \overline{t}$, and then we have $\overline{r} + \overline{s} = \overline{s} + \overline{r}$.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • Thus for any r

$$\overline{0} + \overline{r} = \overline{r} = \overline{r} + \overline{0}.$$

- Suppose that we are given any two residue classes r and s modulo m. Let t be the remainder of r + s on division by m. Then the elements of r and s are of the form r + mx and s + my and we know that r + s = t + mz for some z.
- Thus r + mx + s + my = t + m(z + x + y) is in \overline{t} , and it is readily seen that the converse is true.
- Thus it makes sense to write $\overline{r} + \overline{s} = \overline{t}$, and then we have $\overline{r} + \overline{s} = \overline{s} + \overline{r}$.
- One can also check that

$$\overline{r} + \overline{-r} = \overline{0}.$$

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • In connection with this Gauss introduced a notation.

Definition 2

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If two integers x and y satisfy m|x - y, then we write

$$x \equiv y \pmod{m}$$

▲ロト ▲周ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨー のくで

and we say that x is congruent to y modulo m.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • In connection with this Gauss introduced a notation.

Definition 2

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If two integers x and y satisfy m|x - y, then we write

$$x \equiv y \pmod{m}$$

and we say that x is congruent to y modulo m.

• Here are some of the properties of congruences.

$$x \equiv x \pmod{m}$$
,

 $x \equiv y \pmod{m}$ iff $y \equiv x \pmod{m}$,

 $x \equiv y \pmod{m}, y \equiv z \pmod{m}$ implies $x \equiv z \pmod{m}$.

Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • In connection with this Gauss introduced a notation.

Definition 2

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If two integers x and y satisfy m|x - y, then we write

$$x \equiv y \pmod{m}$$

and we say that x is congruent to y modulo m.

• Here are some of the properties of congruences.

$$x \equiv x \pmod{m}$$
,

 $x \equiv y \pmod{m}$ iff $y \equiv x \pmod{m}$,

 $x \equiv y \pmod{m}, y \equiv z \pmod{m}$ implies $x \equiv z \pmod{m}$.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ◆ ●

• These say that the relationship \equiv is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.

Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • In connection with this Gauss introduced a notation.

Definition 2

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If two integers x and y satisfy m|x - y, then we write

$$x \equiv y \pmod{m}$$

and we say that x is congruent to y modulo m.

• Here are some of the properties of congruences.

$$x \equiv x \pmod{m}$$
,

 $x \equiv y \pmod{m}$ iff $y \equiv x \pmod{m}$,

 $x \equiv y \pmod{m}, y \equiv z \pmod{m}$ implies $x \equiv z \pmod{m}$.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ◆ ●

- These say that the relationship ≡ is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.
- I leave their proofs as an exercise.

Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • In connection with this Gauss introduced a notation.

Definition 2

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If two integers x and y satisfy m|x - y, then we write

$$x \equiv y \pmod{m}$$

and we say that x is congruent to y modulo m.

• Here are some of the properties of congruences.

$$x \equiv x \pmod{m}$$
,

 $x \equiv y \pmod{m}$ iff $y \equiv x \pmod{m}$,

 $x \equiv y \pmod{m}, y \equiv z \pmod{m}$ implies $x \equiv z \pmod{m}$.

- These say that the relationship \equiv is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.
- I leave their proofs as an exercise.
- It follows that congruences modulo *m* partition the integers into equivalence classes.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • One can also check the following

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

- One can also check the following
- If $x \equiv y \pmod{m}$ and $z \equiv t \pmod{m}$, then
 - $x + z \equiv y + t \pmod{m}$ and $xz \equiv yt \pmod{m}$.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

- One can also check the following
- If $x \equiv y \pmod{m}$ and $z \equiv t \pmod{m}$, then $x + z \equiv y + t \pmod{m}$ and $xz \equiv yt \pmod{m}$.
- If x ≡ y (mod m), then for any n ∈ N, xⁿ ≡ yⁿ (mod m) (use induction on n).

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

- One can also check the following
- If $x \equiv y \pmod{m}$ and $z \equiv t \pmod{m}$, then $x + z \equiv y + t \pmod{m}$ and $xz \equiv yt \pmod{m}$.
- If x ≡ y (mod m), then for any n ∈ N, xⁿ ≡ yⁿ (mod m) (use induction on n).

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

 If f is a polynomial with integer coefficients, and x ≡ y (mod m), then f(x) ≡ f(y) (mod m).

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

- One can also check the following
- If $x \equiv y \pmod{m}$ and $z \equiv t \pmod{m}$, then $x + z \equiv y + t \pmod{m}$ and $xz \equiv yt \pmod{m}$.
- If x ≡ y (mod m), then for any n ∈ N, xⁿ ≡ yⁿ (mod m) (use induction on n).
- If f is a polynomial with integer coefficients, and x ≡ y (mod m), then f(x) ≡ f(y) (mod m).
- Wait a minute, this means that one can use congruences just like doing arithmetic on the integers!

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • The following tells us something about this structure.

Theorem 3

Suppose that
$$m \in \mathbb{N}$$
, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(k,m) = 1$ and

$$\overline{a}_1, \overline{a}_2, \ldots, \overline{a}_m$$

forms a complete set of residues modulo m. Then so does

 $\overline{ka_1}, \overline{ka_2}, \ldots, \overline{ka_m}.$

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • The following tells us something about this structure.

Theorem 3

Suppose that
$$m \in \mathbb{N}$$
, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(k,m) = 1$ and

$$\overline{a}_1, \overline{a}_2, \ldots, \overline{a}_m$$

forms a complete set of residues modulo m. Then so does

 $\overline{ka_1}, \overline{ka_2}, \ldots, \overline{ka_m}.$

• **Proof.** Since we have *m* residue classes, we need only check that they are disjoint.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • The following tells us something about this structure.

Theorem 3

Suppose that
$$m \in \mathbb{N}$$
, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(k,m) = 1$ and

$$\overline{a}_1, \overline{a}_2, \ldots, \overline{a}_m$$

forms a complete set of residues modulo m. Then so does

 $\overline{ka_1}, \overline{ka_2}, \ldots, \overline{ka_m}.$

• **Proof.** Since we have *m* residue classes, we need only check that they are disjoint.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

• Consider any two of them, $\overline{ka_i}$ and $\overline{ka_i}$.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • The following tells us something about this structure.

Theorem 3

Suppose that
$$m \in \mathbb{N}$$
, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(k,m) = 1$ and

$$\overline{a}_1, \overline{a}_2, \ldots, \overline{a}_m$$

forms a complete set of residues modulo m. Then so does

$$\overline{ka_1}, \overline{ka_2}, \ldots, \overline{ka_m}.$$

- **Proof.** Since we have *m* residue classes, we need only check that they are disjoint.
- Consider any two of them, $\overline{ka_i}$ and $\overline{ka_i}$.
- Let $ka_i + mx$ and $ka_j + my$ be typical members of each.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • The following tells us something about this structure.

Theorem 3

Suppose that
$$m \in \mathbb{N}$$
, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(k,m) = 1$ and

$$\overline{a}_1, \overline{a}_2, \ldots, \overline{a}_m$$

forms a complete set of residues modulo m. Then so does

$$\overline{ka_1}, \overline{ka_2}, \ldots, \overline{ka_m}.$$

- **Proof.** Since we have *m* residue classes, we need only check that they are disjoint.
- Consider any two of them, $\overline{ka_i}$ and $\overline{ka_j}$.
- Let $ka_i + mx$ and $ka_j + my$ be typical members of each.
- If they were the same integer, than ka_i + mx = ka_j + my, so that k(a_i a_j) = m(y x).

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ◆ ●

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • The following tells us something about this structure.

Theorem 3

Suppose that
$$m \in \mathbb{N}$$
, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(k,m) = 1$ and

$$\overline{a}_1, \overline{a}_2, \ldots, \overline{a}_m$$

forms a complete set of residues modulo m. Then so does

$$\overline{ka_1}, \overline{ka_2}, \ldots, \overline{ka_m}.$$

- **Proof.** Since we have *m* residue classes, we need only check that they are disjoint.
- Consider any two of them, $\overline{ka_i}$ and $\overline{ka_j}$.
- Let $ka_i + mx$ and $ka_j + my$ be typical members of each.
- If they were the same integer, than ka_i + mx = ka_j + my, so that k(a_i a_j) = m(y x).
- But then m|k(a_i a_j) and since (k, m) = 1 we would have m|a_i a_j so ā_i and ā_j would be identical residue classes, so i = j.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • An important rôle is played by the residue classes r modulo m with (r, m) = 1.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

An important rôle is played by the residue classes r modulo m with (r, m) = 1.

• In connection with this we introduce Euler's function.

Definition 4

A function defined on $\ensuremath{\mathbb{N}}$ is called an arithmetical function.

Definition 5

Euler's function $\phi(n)$ is the number of $x \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \le x \le n$ and (x, n) = 1.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ◆ ●

Definition 6

A set of $\phi(m)$ distinct residue classes \overline{r} modulo m with (r, m) = 1 is called a set of *reduced* residues modulo m.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences An important rôle is played by the residue classes r modulo m with (r, m) = 1.

• In connection with this we introduce Euler's function.

Definition 4

A function defined on $\ensuremath{\mathbb{N}}$ is called an arithmetical function.

Definition 5

Euler's function $\phi(n)$ is the number of $x \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \le x \le n$ and (x, n) = 1.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ◆ ●

Definition 6

A set of $\phi(m)$ distinct residue classes \overline{r} modulo m with (r, m) = 1 is called a set of *reduced* residues modulo m.

• Since (1,1) = 1 we have $\phi(1) = 1$.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences An important rôle is played by the residue classes r modulo m with (r, m) = 1.

• In connection with this we introduce Euler's function.

Definition 4

A function defined on $\ensuremath{\mathbb{N}}$ is called an arithmetical function.

Definition 5

Euler's function $\phi(n)$ is the number of $x \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \le x \le n$ and (x, n) = 1.

Definition 6

A set of $\phi(m)$ distinct residue classes \overline{r} modulo m with (r, m) = 1 is called a set of *reduced* residues modulo m.

- Since (1,1) = 1 we have $\phi(1) = 1$.
- If p is prime, then the x with $1 \le x \le p-1$ satisfy (x, p) = 1, but $(p, p) = p \ne 1$. Hence $\phi(p) = p-1$.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ◆ ●

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

An important rôle is played by the residue classes r modulo m with (r, m) = 1.

• In connection with this we introduce Euler's function.

Definition 4

A function defined on $\ensuremath{\mathbb{N}}$ is called an arithmetical function.

Definition 5

Euler's function $\phi(n)$ is the number of $x \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \le x \le n$ and (x, n) = 1.

Definition 6

A set of $\phi(m)$ distinct residue classes \overline{r} modulo m with (r, m) = 1 is called a set of *reduced* residues modulo m.

- Since (1,1) = 1 we have $\phi(1) = 1$.
- If p is prime, then the x with $1 \le x \le p-1$ satisfy (x,p) = 1, but $(p,p) = p \ne 1$. Hence $\phi(p) = p-1$.
- The numbers x with $1 \le x \le 30$ and (x, 30) = 1 are 1, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, so $\phi(30) = 8$.

Sar

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • One way of thinking about reduced sets of residues is to start from a complete set of fractions with denominator *m* in the interval (0, 1]

$$\frac{1}{m}, \frac{2}{m}, \ldots, \frac{m}{m}.$$

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • One way of thinking about reduced sets of residues is to start from a complete set of fractions with denominator *m* in the interval (0, 1]

$$\frac{1}{m}, \frac{2}{m}, \ldots, \frac{m}{m}.$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

• Now remove just the ones whose numerator has a common factor d > 1 with m.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • One way of thinking about reduced sets of residues is to start from a complete set of fractions with denominator *m* in the interval (0, 1]

$$\frac{1}{m}, \frac{2}{m}, \ldots, \frac{m}{m}.$$

- Now remove just the ones whose numerator has a common factor *d* > 1 with *m*.
- What is left are the φ(m) reduced fractions with denominator m.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • One way of thinking about reduced sets of residues is to start from a complete set of fractions with denominator *m* in the interval (0, 1]

$$\frac{1}{m}, \frac{2}{m}, \ldots, \frac{m}{m}.$$

- Now remove just the ones whose numerator has a common factor d > 1 with m.
- What is left are the $\phi(m)$ reduced fractions with denominator m.
- Suppose instead of removing the non-reduced ones we just write them in their lowest form.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • One way of thinking about reduced sets of residues is to start from a complete set of fractions with denominator *m* in the interval (0, 1]

$$\frac{1}{m}, \frac{2}{m}, \ldots, \frac{m}{m}.$$

- Now remove just the ones whose numerator has a common factor d > 1 with m.
- What is left are the $\phi(m)$ reduced fractions with denominator m.
- Suppose instead of removing the non-reduced ones we just write them in their lowest form.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ◆ ●

• Then for each divisor k of m we obtain all the reduced fractions with denominator k.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • In fact we just proved the following.

Theorem 7

For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\sum_{k|m}\phi(k)=m.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • In fact we just proved the following.

Theorem 7

For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\sum_{k|m}\phi(k)=m.$$

• We just saw that $\phi(1)=1, \ \phi(p)=p-1, \ \phi(30)=8$

Example 8

The divisors of 30 are 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 10, 15, 30 and

$$\phi(6) = 2, \ \phi(10) = 4, \phi(15) = 8$$

SO

$$\sum_{k|30} \phi(k) = 1 + 1 + 2 + 4 + 2 + 4 + 8 + 8 = 30.$$

◆ロト ◆部ト ◆注ト ◆注ト

э

Sac

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • Now we can prove a companion theorem to Theorem 3 for reduced residue classes.

Theorem 9

Suppose that (k, m) = 1 and that

 $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{\phi(m)}$

forms a set of reduced residue classes modulo m. Then

 $ka_1, ka_2, \ldots, ka_{\phi(m)}$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

also forms a set of reduced residues modulo m.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • Now we can prove a companion theorem to Theorem 3 for reduced residue classes.

Theorem 9

Suppose that (k, m) = 1 and that

 $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{\phi(m)}$

forms a set of reduced residue classes modulo m. Then

 $ka_1, ka_2, \ldots, ka_{\phi(m)}$

also forms a set of reduced residues modulo m.

• **Proof.** In view of the earlier theorem the residue classes ka_j are distinct, and since $(a_j, m) = 1$ we have $(ka_j, m) = 1$ so they give $\phi(m)$ distinct reduced residue classes, so they are all of them in some order.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • We now examine the structure of residue systems.

Theorem 10

Suppose $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and (m, n) = 1, and consider the xn + ym with $1 \le x \le m$ and $1 \le y \le n$. Then they form a complete set of residues modulo mn. If in addition x and y satisfy (x, m) = 1 and (y, n) = 1, then they form a reduced set.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • We now examine the structure of residue systems.

Theorem 10

Suppose $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and (m, n) = 1, and consider the xn + ym with $1 \le x \le m$ and $1 \le y \le n$. Then they form a complete set of residues modulo mn. If in addition x and y satisfy (x, m) = 1 and (y, n) = 1, then they form a reduced set.

• **Proof.** If $xn + ym \equiv x'n + y'm \pmod{mn}$, then $xn \equiv x'n \pmod{m}$, so $x \equiv x' \pmod{m}$, x = x'. Likewise y = y'.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • We now examine the structure of residue systems.

Theorem 10

Suppose $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and (m, n) = 1, and consider the xn + ym with $1 \le x \le m$ and $1 \le y \le n$. Then they form a complete set of residues modulo mn. If in addition x and y satisfy (x, m) = 1 and (y, n) = 1, then they form a reduced set.

- **Proof.** If $xn + ym \equiv x'n + y'm \pmod{mn}$, then $xn \equiv x'n \pmod{m}$, so $x \equiv x' \pmod{m}$, x = x'. Likewise y = y'.
- Hence in either case the xn + ym are distinct modulo mn.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • We now examine the structure of residue systems.

Theorem 10

Suppose $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and (m, n) = 1, and consider the xn + ymwith $1 \le x \le m$ and $1 \le y \le n$. Then they form a complete set of residues modulo mn. If in addition x and y satisfy (x, m) = 1 and (y, n) = 1, then they form a reduced set.

- **Proof.** If $xn + ym \equiv x'n + y'm \pmod{mn}$, then $xn \equiv x'n \pmod{m}$, so $x \equiv x' \pmod{m}$, x = x'. Likewise y = y'.
- Hence in either case the xn + ym are distinct modulo mn.
- In the unrestricted case we have *mn* objects, so they form a complete set.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • We now examine the structure of residue systems.

Theorem 10

Suppose $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and (m, n) = 1, and consider the xn + ym with $1 \le x \le m$ and $1 \le y \le n$. Then they form a complete set of residues modulo mn. If in addition x and y satisfy (x, m) = 1 and (y, n) = 1, then they form a reduced set.

- **Proof.** If $xn + ym \equiv x'n + y'm \pmod{mn}$, then $xn \equiv x'n \pmod{m}$, so $x \equiv x' \pmod{m}$, x = x'. Likewise y = y'.
- Hence in either case the xn + ym are distinct modulo mn.
- In the unrestricted case we have *mn* objects, so they form a complete set.
- In the restricted case (xn + ym, m) = (xn, m) = (x, m) = 1 and likewise (xn + ym, n) = 1, so (xn + ym, mn) = 1 and the xn + ym all belong to reduced residue classes.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • We now examine the structure of residue systems.

Theorem 10

Suppose $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and (m, n) = 1, and consider the xn + ym with $1 \le x \le m$ and $1 \le y \le n$. Then they form a complete set of residues modulo mn. If in addition x and y satisfy (x, m) = 1 and (y, n) = 1, then they form a reduced set.

- **Proof.** If $xn + ym \equiv x'n + y'm \pmod{mn}$, then $xn \equiv x'n \pmod{m}$, so $x \equiv x' \pmod{m}$, x = x'. Likewise y = y'.
- Hence in either case the xn + ym are distinct modulo mn.
- In the unrestricted case we have *mn* objects, so they form a complete set.
- In the restricted case (xn + ym, m) = (xn, m) = (x, m) = 1 and likewise (xn + ym, n) = 1, so (xn + ym, mn) = 1 and the xn + ym all belong to reduced residue classes.
- Now let (z, mn) = 1. Choose x', y', x, y so that x'n + y'm = 1, $x \equiv x'z \pmod{m}$ and $y \equiv y'z \pmod{n}$.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • We now examine the structure of residue systems.

Theorem 10

Suppose m, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and (m, n) = 1, and consider the xn + ym with $1 \le x \le m$ and $1 \le y \le n$. Then they form a complete set of residues modulo mn. If in addition x and y satisfy (x, m) = 1 and (y, n) = 1, then they form a reduced set.

- **Proof.** If $xn + ym \equiv x'n + y'm \pmod{mn}$, then $xn \equiv x'n \pmod{m}$, so $x \equiv x' \pmod{m}$, x = x'. Likewise y = y'.
- Hence in either case the xn + ym are distinct modulo mn.
- In the unrestricted case we have *mn* objects, so they form a complete set.
- In the restricted case (xn + ym, m) = (xn, m) = (x, m) = 1 and likewise (xn + ym, n) = 1, so (xn + ym, mn) = 1 and the xn + ym all belong to reduced residue classes.
- Now let (z, mn) = 1. Choose x', y', x, y so that x'n + y'm = 1, $x \equiv x'z \pmod{m}$ and $y \equiv y'z \pmod{n}$.
- Then $xn + ym \equiv x'zn + y'zm = z \pmod{mn}$ and hence every reduced residue is included.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

• Here is a table of $xn + ym \pmod{mn}$ when m = 5, n = 6.

Example 11

	х	1	2	3	4	5
∥у						
1		11	17	23	29	5
2		16	22	28	4	10
3		21	27	3	9	15
4		26	2	8	14	20
5		1	7	13	19	25
6		6	12	18	24	30

The 30 numbers 1 through 30 appear exactly once each. The 8 reduced residue classes occur precisely in the intersection of rows 1 and 5 and columns 1 through 4.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • Immediate from Theorem 10 we have

Corollary 12

If (m, n) = 1, then $\phi(mn) = \phi(m)\phi(n)$.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

• Immediate from Theorem 10 we have

Corollary 12

If
$$(m, n) = 1$$
, then $\phi(mn) = \phi(m)\phi(n)$.

• Thus ϕ is an example of a multiplicative function.

Definition 13

If an arithmetical function f which is not identically 0 satisfies

$$f(mn) = f(m)f(n)$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

whenever (m, n) = 1 we say that f is *multiplicative*.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • Immediate from Theorem 10 we have

Corollary 12

If
$$(m, n) = 1$$
, then $\phi(mn) = \phi(m)\phi(n)$.

• Thus ϕ is an example of a multiplicative function.

Definition 13

If an arithmetical function f which is not identically 0 satisfies

$$f(mn) = f(m)f(n)$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ◆ ●

whenever (m, n) = 1 we say that f is multiplicative.

• Thus we have another

Corollary 14

Euler's function is multiplicative.

This enables a full evaluation of $\phi(n)$.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences If n = p^k, then the number of reduced residue classes modulo p^k is the number of x with 1 ≤ x ≤ p^k and p ∤ x.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences If n = p^k, then the number of reduced residue classes modulo p^k is the number of x with 1 ≤ x ≤ p^k and p ∤ x.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ◆ ●

• This is $p^k - N$ where N is the number of x with $1 \le x \le p^k$ and p|x, and $N = p^{k-1}$.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences If n = p^k, then the number of reduced residue classes modulo p^k is the number of x with 1 ≤ x ≤ p^k and p ∤ x.

- This is $p^k N$ where N is the number of x with $1 \le x \le p^k$ and p|x, and $N = p^{k-1}$.
- Thus $\phi(p^k) = p^k p^{k-1} = p^k(1 1/p)$.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

- If n = p^k, then the number of reduced residue classes modulo p^k is the number of x with 1 ≤ x ≤ p^k and p ∤ x.
- This is $p^k N$ where N is the number of x with $1 \le x \le p^k$ and p|x, and $N = p^{k-1}$.
- Thus $\phi(p^k) = p^k p^{k-1} = p^k(1 1/p).$
- Putting this all together gives

Theorem 15

Let
$$n \in \mathbb{N}$$
. Then $\phi(n) = n \prod_{p \mid n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$ where when $n = 1$ we

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ◆ ●

interpret the product as an "empty" product 1.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

- If n = p^k, then the number of reduced residue classes modulo p^k is the number of x with 1 ≤ x ≤ p^k and p ∤ x.
- This is $p^k N$ where N is the number of x with $1 \le x \le p^k$ and p|x, and $N = p^{k-1}$.
- Thus $\phi(p^k) = p^k p^{k-1} = p^k(1 1/p).$
- Putting this all together gives

Theorem 15

Let
$$n \in \mathbb{N}$$
. Then $\phi(n) = n \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$ where when $n = 1$ we

interpret the product as an "empty" product 1.

• Some special cases.

Example 16

We have $\phi(9) = 6$, $\phi(5) = 4$, $\phi(45) = 24$. Note that $\phi(3) = 2$ and $\phi(9) \neq \phi(3)^2$.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • Here is a beautiful and useful theorem.

Theorem 17 (Euler)

Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with (a, m) = 1. Then

 $a^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}.$

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • Here is a beautiful and useful theorem.

Theorem 17 (Euler)

Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with (a, m) = 1. Then

$$a^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}.$$

• **Proof.** Let $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{\phi(m)}$ be a reduced set modulo m.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • Here is a beautiful and useful theorem.

Theorem 17 (Euler)

Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with (a, m) = 1. Then $a^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$.

Proof. Let a₁, a₂,..., a_{\(\phi(m)\)} be a reduced set modulo m.
Then aa₁, aa₂,..., aa_{\(\phi(m)\)} is another. Hence

$$a_1 a_2 \dots a_{\phi(m)} \equiv a a_1 a a_2 \dots a a_{\phi(m)} \pmod{m}$$

 $\equiv a_1 a_2 \dots a_{\phi(m)} a^{\phi(m)} \pmod{m}$

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • Here is a beautiful and useful theorem.

Theorem 17 (Euler)

Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with (a, m) = 1. Then $a^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}.$

Proof. Let a₁, a₂,..., a_{φ(m)} be a reduced set modulo m.
Then aa₁, aa₂,..., aa_{φ(m)} is another. Hence

$$a_1a_2\ldots a_{\phi(m)}\equiv aa_1aa_2\ldots aa_{\phi(m)}\pmod{m}$$

 $\equiv a_1a_2\ldots a_{\phi(m)}a^{\phi(m)}\pmod{m}.$

• As $(a_1a_2...a_{\phi(m)}, m) = 1$ we may cancel $a_1a_2...a_{\phi(m)}$.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • Here is a beautiful and useful theorem.

Theorem 17 (Euler)

Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with (a, m) = 1. Then $a^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}.$

Proof. Let a₁, a₂,..., a_{φ(m)} be a reduced set modulo m.
Then aa₁, aa₂,..., aa_{φ(m)} is another. Hence

$$a_1a_2\ldots a_{\phi(m)}\equiv aa_1aa_2\ldots aa_{\phi(m)}\pmod{m}\ \equiv a_1a_2\ldots a_{\phi(m)}a^{\phi(m)}\pmod{m}.$$

As (a₁a₂...a_{φ(m)}, m) = 1 we may cancel a₁a₂...a_{φ(m)}.
 Thus

Corollary 18 (Fermat)

Let p be a prime and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$. If $p \nmid a$, then $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • Could Fermat's theorem give a primality test?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ●

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

- Could Fermat's theorem give a primality test?
- Unfortunately it is possible that $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ when *n* is not prime, although this is rare.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

- Could Fermat's theorem give a primality test?
- Unfortunately it is possible that $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ when *n* is not prime, although this is rare.
- For example, when are n = 341, 561, 645

$$2^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

- Could Fermat's theorem give a primality test?
- Unfortunately it is possible that $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ when *n* is not prime, although this is rare.
- For example, when are n = 341, 561, 645

$$2^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ◆ ●

• Such *n* are called *pseudoprimes*.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

- Could Fermat's theorem give a primality test?
- Unfortunately it is possible that $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ when *n* is not prime, although this is rare.
- For example, when are n = 341, 561, 645

$$2^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

- Such *n* are called *pseudoprimes*.
- There are 245 less than 10⁶, compared with 78498 primes.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

- Could Fermat's theorem give a primality test?
- Unfortunately it is possible that $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ when *n* is not prime, although this is rare.
- For example, when are n = 341, 561, 645

$$2^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

- Such *n* are called *pseudoprimes*.
- There are 245 less than 10⁶, compared with 78498 primes.
- Moreover

$$3^{341-1} \equiv 56 \neq 1 \pmod{341}$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

suggests a possible primality test.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

- Could Fermat's theorem give a primality test?
- Unfortunately it is possible that $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ when *n* is not prime, although this is rare.
- For example, when are n = 341, 561, 645

$$2^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

- Such *n* are called *pseudoprimes*.
- There are 245 less than 10⁶, compared with 78498 primes.
- Moreover

$$3^{341-1} \equiv 56 \neq 1 \pmod{341}$$

suggests a possible primality test.

• Given *n* try trial division a few times, say for *d* = 2, 3, 5, 7 and then check successively for *a* = 2, 3, 5, 7

$$a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$
.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

- Could Fermat's theorem give a primality test?
- Unfortunately it is possible that $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ when *n* is not prime, although this is rare.
- For example, when are n = 341, 561, 645

$$2^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

- Such *n* are called *pseudoprimes*.
- There are 245 less than 10⁶, compared with 78498 primes.
- Moreover

$$3^{341-1} \equiv 56 \neq 1 \pmod{341}$$

suggests a possible primality test.

• Given *n* try trial division a few times, say for *d* = 2, 3, 5, 7 and then check successively for *a* = 2, 3, 5, 7

$$a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$
.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

• Unfortunately one can still have false positives.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

- Could Fermat's theorem give a primality test?
- Unfortunately it is possible that $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ when *n* is not prime, although this is rare.
- For example, when are n = 341, 561, 645

$$2^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

- Such *n* are called *pseudoprimes*.
- There are 245 less than 10⁶, compared with 78498 primes.
- Moreover

$$3^{341-1} \equiv 56 \neq 1 \pmod{341}$$

suggests a possible primality test.

• Given *n* try trial division a few times, say for *d* = 2, 3, 5, 7 and then check successively for *a* = 2, 3, 5, 7

$$a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$
.

- Unfortunately one can still have false positives.
- Thus 561 = 3.11.17 satisfies

$$a^{560} \equiv 1 \pmod{561}$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ◆ ●

for *all a* with (a, 561) = 1.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • Such numbers are interesting

Definition 19

A composite *n* which satisfies $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ for all *a* with (a, n) = 1 is called a Carmichael number.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • Such numbers are interesting

Definition 19

A composite *n* which satisfies $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ for all *a* with (a, n) = 1 is called a Carmichael number.

• There are infinitely Carmichael number. The smallest is 561 and there are 2163 of them below 25×10^9 .

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

• Such numbers are interesting

Definition 19

A composite *n* which satisfies $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ for all *a* with (a, n) = 1 is called a Carmichael number.

- There are infinitely Carmichael number. The smallest is 561 and there are 2163 of them below 25×10^9 .
- Also of interest.

Definition 20

Define $M(n) = 2^n - 1$. If it is prime it is a Mersenne prime.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • Such numbers are interesting

Definition 19

A composite *n* which satisfies $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ for all *a* with (a, n) = 1 is called a Carmichael number.

- There are infinitely Carmichael number. The smallest is 561 and there are 2163 of them below 25×10^9 .
- Also of interest.

Definition 20

Define $M(n) = 2^n - 1$. If it is prime it is a Mersenne prime.

• If n = ab, then $M(ab) = (2^a - 1)(2^{a(b-1)} + \dots + 2^a + 1)$.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • Such numbers are interesting

Definition 19

A composite *n* which satisfies $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ for all *a* with (a, n) = 1 is called a Carmichael number.

- There are infinitely Carmichael number. The smallest is 561 and there are 2163 of them below 25×10^9 .
- Also of interest.

Definition 20

Define $M(n) = 2^n - 1$. If it is prime it is a Mersenne prime.

- If n = ab, then $M(ab) = (2^a 1)(2^{a(b-1)} + \dots + 2^a + 1)$.
- Thus for M(n) to be prime it is necessary that n be prime.

Example 21

We have $3 = 2^2 - 1$, $7 = 2^3 - 1$, $31 = 2^5 - 1127 = 2^7 - 1$. However that is not sufficient. $2^{11} - 1 = 2047 = 23 \times 89$.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • As with linear equations, linear congruences are easiest.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

- As with linear equations, linear congruences are easiest.
- We have already solved ax ≡ b (mod m) in principle since it is equivalent to ax + my = b.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Theorem 22

The congruence $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ is soluble iff (a, m)|b, and the general solution is given by a residue class x_0 modulo m/(a, m). x_0 can be found by applying Euclid's algorithm.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

- As with linear equations, linear congruences are easiest.
- We have already solved ax ≡ b (mod m) in principle since it is equivalent to ax + my = b.

Theorem 22

The congruence $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ is soluble iff (a, m)|b, and the general solution is given by a residue class x_0 modulo m/(a, m). x_0 can be found by applying Euclid's algorithm.

• **Proof.** The congruence is equivalent to the equation ax + my = b and there can be no solution if $(a, m) \nmid b$.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

- As with linear equations, linear congruences are easiest.
- We have already solved ax ≡ b (mod m) in principle since it is equivalent to ax + my = b.

Theorem 22

The congruence $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ is soluble iff (a, m)|b, and the general solution is given by a residue class x_0 modulo m/(a, m). x_0 can be found by applying Euclid's algorithm.

- **Proof.** The congruence is equivalent to the equation ax + my = b and there can be no solution if $(a, m) \nmid b$.
- If (a, m)|b, then Euclid's algorithm solves

$$\frac{a}{(a,m)}x+\frac{m}{(a,m)}y=\frac{b}{(a,m)}.$$

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

- As with linear equations, linear congruences are easiest.
- We have already solved ax ≡ b (mod m) in principle since it is equivalent to ax + my = b.

Theorem 22

The congruence $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ is soluble iff (a, m)|b, and the general solution is given by a residue class x_0 modulo m/(a, m). x_0 can be found by applying Euclid's algorithm.

- **Proof.** The congruence is equivalent to the equation ax + my = b and there can be no solution if $(a, m) \nmid b$.
- If (a, m)|b, then Euclid's algorithm solves

$$\frac{a}{(a,m)}x+\frac{m}{(a,m)}y=\frac{b}{(a,m)}.$$

• Let x_0 , y_0 be such a solution and let x, y be any solution. Then $a/(a, m)(x - x_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{m/(a, m)}$ and since (a/(a, m), m/(a, m)) = 1 it follows that x is in the residue class $x_0 \pmod{m/(a, m)}$.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • A curious result which uses somewhat similar ideas.

Theorem 23 (Wilson)

Let p be a prime number, then $(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • A curious result which uses somewhat similar ideas.

Theorem 23 (Wilson)

Let p be a prime number, then $(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.

Proof. The cases p = 2 and p = 3 are (2 - 1)! = 1 ≡ -1 (mod 2) and (3 - 1)! = 2 ≡ -1 (mod 3).

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • A curious result which uses somewhat similar ideas.

Theorem 23 (Wilson)

Let p be a prime number, then $(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.

Proof. The cases p = 2 and p = 3 are (2 - 1)! = 1 ≡ -1 (mod 2) and (3 - 1)! = 2 ≡ -1 (mod 3).

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ◆ ●

Thus we may suppose p ≥ 5. Observe now that x² ≡ 1 (mod p) implies x ≡ ±1 (mod p)

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • A curious result which uses somewhat similar ideas.

Theorem 23 (Wilson)

Let p be a prime number, then $(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.

- **Proof.** The cases p = 2 and p = 3 are $(2 1)! = 1 \equiv -1 \pmod{2}$ and $(3 1)! = 2 \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$.
- Thus we may suppose p ≥ 5. Observe now that x² ≡ 1 (mod p) implies x ≡ ±1 (mod p)
- Thus the numbers $2, 3, \ldots, p-2$ can be paired off into $\frac{p-3}{2}$ mutually exclusive pairs a, b such that $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • A curious result which uses somewhat similar ideas.

Theorem 23 (Wilson)

Let p be a prime number, then $(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.

- **Proof.** The cases p = 2 and p = 3 are $(2 1)! = 1 \equiv -1 \pmod{2}$ and $(3 1)! = 2 \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$.
- Thus we may suppose p ≥ 5. Observe now that x² ≡ 1 (mod p) implies x ≡ ±1 (mod p)
- Thus the numbers 2, 3, ..., p 2 can be paired off into ^{p-3}/₂ mutually exclusive pairs a, b such that ab ≡ 1 (mod p).

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ◆ ●

• Thus $(p-1)! \equiv p-1 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • A curious result which uses somewhat similar ideas.

Theorem 23 (Wilson)

Let p be a prime number, then $(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.

- **Proof.** The cases p = 2 and p = 3 are $(2 1)! = 1 \equiv -1 \pmod{2}$ and $(3 1)! = 2 \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$.
- Thus we may suppose p ≥ 5. Observe now that x² ≡ 1 (mod p) implies x ≡ ±1 (mod p)
- Thus the numbers 2, 3, ..., p 2 can be paired off into ^{p-3}/₂ mutually exclusive pairs a, b such that ab ≡ 1 (mod p).
- Thus $(p-1)! \equiv p-1 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.
- This theorem actually gives a necessary and sufficient condition for p to be a prime, since if p were to be composite, then we would have ((p - 1)!, p) > 1.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • A curious result which uses somewhat similar ideas.

Theorem 23 (Wilson)

Let p be a prime number, then $(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.

- **Proof.** The cases p = 2 and p = 3 are $(2 1)! = 1 \equiv -1 \pmod{2}$ and $(3 1)! = 2 \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$.
- Thus we may suppose p ≥ 5. Observe now that x² ≡ 1 (mod p) implies x ≡ ±1 (mod p)
- Thus the numbers 2, 3, ..., p 2 can be paired off into ^{p-3}/₂ mutually exclusive pairs a, b such that ab ≡ 1 (mod p).
- Thus $(p-1)! \equiv p-1 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.
- This theorem actually gives a necessary and sufficient condition for p to be a prime, since if p were to be composite, then we would have ((p - 1)!, p) > 1.
- However this is useless since (p-1)! grows very rapidly.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • What about simultaneous linear congruences?

$$\begin{cases} a_1 x \equiv b_1 \pmod{q_1}, \\ \dots & \dots \\ a_r x \equiv b_r \pmod{q_r}. \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • What about simultaneous linear congruences?

$$\begin{cases} a_1 x \equiv b_1 \pmod{q_1}, \\ \dots & \dots \\ a_r x \equiv b_r \pmod{q_r}. \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

• There can only be a solution when each individual equation is soluble, so we require $(a_j, q_j)|b_j$ for every j.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • What about simultaneous linear congruences?

$$\begin{cases} a_1 x \equiv b_1 \pmod{q_1}, \\ \dots & \dots \\ a_r x \equiv b_r \pmod{q_r}. \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

- There can only be a solution when each individual equation is soluble, so we require $(a_j, q_j)|b_j$ for every j.
- Then we know that each individual equation is soluble by some residue class modulo $q_j/(a_j, q_j)$. Thus for some values of c_j and m_j this reduces to

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv c_1 \pmod{m_1}, \\ \dots & \dots \\ x \equiv c_r \pmod{m_r} \end{cases}$$
(2.2)

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • What about simultaneous linear congruences?

$$\begin{cases} a_1 x \equiv b_1 \pmod{q_1}, \\ \dots & \dots \\ a_r x \equiv b_r \pmod{q_r}. \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

- There can only be a solution when each individual equation is soluble, so we require $(a_j, q_j)|b_j$ for every j.
- Then we know that each individual equation is soluble by some residue class modulo $q_j/(a_j, q_j)$. Thus for some values of c_i and m_i this reduces to

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv c_1 \pmod{m_1}, \\ \dots & \dots \\ x \equiv c_r \pmod{m_r} \end{cases}$$
(2.2)

・ロト ・ 戸 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

• Suppose for some *i* and $j \neq i$ we have $(m_i, m_j) = d > 1$.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • What about simultaneous linear congruences?

$$\begin{cases} a_1 x \equiv b_1 \pmod{q_1}, \\ \dots & \dots \\ a_r x \equiv b_r \pmod{q_r}. \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

- There can only be a solution when each individual equation is soluble, so we require $(a_j, q_j)|b_j$ for every j.
- Then we know that each individual equation is soluble by some residue class modulo $q_j/(a_j, q_j)$. Thus for some values of c_i and m_i this reduces to

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv c_1 \pmod{m_1}, \\ \dots & \dots \\ x \equiv c_r \pmod{m_r} \end{cases}$$
(2.2)

- Suppose for some i and $j \neq i$ we have $(m_i, m_j) = d > 1$.
- Then x has to satisfy $c_i \equiv x \equiv c_j \pmod{d}$.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • What about simultaneous linear congruences?

$$\begin{cases} a_1 x \equiv b_1 \pmod{q_1}, \\ \dots & \dots \\ a_r x \equiv b_r \pmod{q_r}. \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

- There can only be a solution when each individual equation is soluble, so we require $(a_j, q_j)|b_j$ for every j.
- Then we know that each individual equation is soluble by some residue class modulo $q_j/(a_j, q_j)$. Thus for some values of c_i and m_i this reduces to

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv c_1 \pmod{m_1}, \\ \dots & \dots \\ x \equiv c_r \pmod{m_r} \end{cases}$$
(2.2)

- Suppose for some *i* and $j \neq i$ we have $(m_i, m_j) = d > 1$.
- Then x has to satisfy $c_i \equiv x \equiv c_j \pmod{d}$.
- This imposes conditions on c_j which can get complicated.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • What about simultaneous linear congruences?

$$\begin{cases} a_1 x \equiv b_1 \pmod{q_1}, \\ \dots & \dots \\ a_r x \equiv b_r \pmod{q_r}. \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

- There can only be a solution when each individual equation is soluble, so we require $(a_j, q_j)|b_j$ for every j.
- Then we know that each individual equation is soluble by some residue class modulo $q_j/(a_j, q_j)$. Thus for some values of c_i and m_i this reduces to

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv c_1 \pmod{m_1}, \\ \dots & \dots \\ x \equiv c_r \pmod{m_r} \end{cases}$$
(2.2)

- Suppose for some i and $j \neq i$ we have $(m_i, m_j) = d > 1$.
- Then x has to satisfy $c_i \equiv x \equiv c_j \pmod{d}$.
- This imposes conditions on c_j which can get complicated.
- Thus it is convenient to assume $(m_i, m_j) = 1$ when $i \neq j$.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • The following is known as the Chinese Remainder Theorem

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Theorem 24

Suppose that $(m_i, m_j) = 1$ for every $i \neq j$. Then the system (2.2) has as its complete solution precisely the members of a unique residue class modulo $m_1 m_2 \dots m_r$.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • The following is known as the Chinese Remainder Theorem

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ◆ ●

Theorem 24

Suppose that $(m_i, m_j) = 1$ for every $i \neq j$. Then the system (2.2) has as its complete solution precisely the members of a unique residue class modulo $m_1 m_2 \dots m_r$.

• **Proof.** We first show that there is a solution.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • The following is known as the Chinese Remainder Theorem

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ◆ ●

Theorem 24

Suppose that $(m_i, m_j) = 1$ for every $i \neq j$. Then the system (2.2) has as its complete solution precisely the members of a unique residue class modulo $m_1 m_2 \dots m_r$.

- **Proof.** We first show that there is a solution.
- Let $M = m_1 m_2 ... m_r$ and $M_j = M/m_j$, so that $(M_j, m_j) = 1$.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • The following is known as the Chinese Remainder Theorem

Theorem 24

Suppose that $(m_i, m_j) = 1$ for every $i \neq j$. Then the system (2.2) has as its complete solution precisely the members of a unique residue class modulo $m_1m_2 \dots m_r$.

- **Proof.** We first show that there is a solution.
- Let $M = m_1 m_2 \dots m_r$ and $M_j = M/m_j$, so that $(M_j, m_j) = 1$.
- We know that there is an N_j so that $M_j N_j \equiv c_j \pmod{m_j}$ (solve $yM_j \equiv c_j \pmod{m_j}$ in y).

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • The following is known as the Chinese Remainder Theorem

Theorem 24

Suppose that $(m_i, m_j) = 1$ for every $i \neq j$. Then the system (2.2) has as its complete solution precisely the members of a unique residue class modulo $m_1m_2 \dots m_r$.

- **Proof.** We first show that there is a solution.
- Let $M = m_1 m_2 \dots m_r$ and $M_j = M/m_j$, so that $(M_j, m_j) = 1$.
- We know that there is an N_j so that $M_j N_j \equiv c_j \pmod{m_j}$ (solve $yM_j \equiv c_j \pmod{m_j}$ in y).
- Let x be any member of the residue class

$$V_1M_1 + \cdots + N_rM_r \pmod{M}$$
.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • The following is known as the Chinese Remainder Theorem

Theorem 24

Suppose that $(m_i, m_j) = 1$ for every $i \neq j$. Then the system (2.2) has as its complete solution precisely the members of a unique residue class modulo $m_1m_2 \dots m_r$.

- **Proof.** We first show that there is a solution.
- Let $M = m_1 m_2 \dots m_r$ and $M_j = M/m_j$, so that $(M_j, m_j) = 1$.
- We know that there is an N_j so that $M_j N_j \equiv c_j \pmod{m_j}$ (solve $yM_j \equiv c_j \pmod{m_j}$ in y).
- Let x be any member of the residue class

 $N_1M_1 + \cdots + N_rM_r \pmod{M}$.

• Then for every j, since $m_j | M_i$ when $i \neq j$ we have

$$x \equiv N_j M_j \pmod{m_j}$$
$$\equiv c_j \pmod{m_j}$$

Sac

so the residue class $x \pmod{M}$ gives a solution.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences $\begin{cases} x \equiv c_1 \pmod{m_1}, \\ \dots & \dots \\ x \equiv c_r \pmod{m_r} \end{cases}$

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv c_1 \pmod{m_1}, \\ \dots & \dots \\ x \equiv c_r \pmod{m_r} \end{cases}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

• Now we have to show that the solution modulo *M* is unique.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv c_1 \pmod{m_1}, \\ \dots & \dots \\ x \equiv c_r \pmod{m_r} \end{cases}$$

- Now we have to show that the solution modulo *M* is unique.
- Suppose y is also a solution of the system.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv c_1 \pmod{m_1}, \\ \dots & \dots \\ x \equiv c_r \pmod{m_r} \end{cases}$$

- Now we have to show that the solution modulo *M* is unique.
- Suppose y is also a solution of the system.
- Then for every *j* we have

$$y \equiv c_j \pmod{m_j}$$
$$\equiv x \pmod{m_j}$$

and so $m_j | y - x$.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv c_1 \pmod{m_1}, \\ \dots & \dots \\ x \equiv c_r \pmod{m_r} \end{cases}$$

- Now we have to show that the solution modulo *M* is unique.
- Suppose *y* is also a solution of the system.
- Then for every *j* we have

$$y \equiv c_j \pmod{m_j}$$
$$\equiv x \pmod{m_j}$$

and so $m_j | y - x$.

• Since the m_j are pairwise co-prime we have M|y - x, so y is in the residue class x modulo M.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • Consider

Example 25

$$x \equiv 3 \pmod{4},$$

$$x \equiv 5 \pmod{21},$$

$$x \equiv 7 \pmod{25}.$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ □ > ● □ > ◆ □ > ● □ >

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences Consider

Example 25

 $x \equiv 3 \pmod{4},$ $x \equiv 5 \pmod{21},$ $x \equiv 7 \pmod{25}.$

•
$$m_1 = 4$$
, $m_2 = 21$, $m_3 = 25$, $M = 2100$, $M_1 = 525$, $M_2 = 100$, $M_3 = 84$. Thus first we have to solve

 $525N_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4},$ $100N_2 \equiv 5 \pmod{21},$ $84N_3 \equiv 7 \pmod{25}.$

◆□ > ◆母 > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ○ セ > ◆ ○ > ◇ < ○ >

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences $525N_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4},$ $100N_2 \equiv 5 \pmod{21},$ $84N_3 \equiv 7 \pmod{25}.$

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences $525N_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \\ 100N_2 \equiv 5 \pmod{21}, \\ 84N_3 \equiv 7 \pmod{25}.$

• Reducing the constants gives

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{N}_1\equiv 3 \pmod{4},\\ (-5)\mathcal{N}_2\equiv 5 \pmod{21},\\ 9\mathcal{N}_3\equiv 7 \pmod{25}. \end{array}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

- $525N_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \\ 100N_2 \equiv 5 \pmod{21}, \\ 84N_3 \equiv 7 \pmod{25}.$
- Reducing the constants gives

$$\begin{split} N_1 &\equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \\ (-5)N_2 &\equiv 5 \pmod{21}, \\ 9N_3 &\equiv 7 \pmod{25}. \end{split}$$

• Thus we can take $N_1 = 3$, $N_2 = 20$, $7 \equiv -18 \pmod{25}$ so $N_3 \equiv -2 \equiv 23 \pmod{25}$. Then the complete solution is

$$x \equiv N_1 M_1 + N_2 M_2 + N_3 M_3 = 3 \times 525 + 20 \times 100 + 23 \times 84 = 5507 \equiv 1307 \pmod{2100}.$$

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • The solution of a general polynomial congruence can be quite tricky, even for a polynomial with a single variable

$$f(x) := a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_j x^j + \dots + a_J x^J \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$$
 (3.3)

▲ロト ▲周ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨー のくで

where the a_i are integers.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • The solution of a general polynomial congruence can be quite tricky, even for a polynomial with a single variable

$$f(x) := a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_j x^j + \dots + a_J x^J \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \quad (3.3)$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

where the a_j are integers.

• The largest k such that $a_k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ is the degree of f modulo m.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • The solution of a general polynomial congruence can be quite tricky, even for a polynomial with a single variable

$$f(x) := a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_j x^j + \dots + a_J x^J \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$$
 (3.3)

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

where the a_j are integers.

1

- The largest k such that $a_k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ is the degree of f modulo m.
- If a_j ≡ 0 (mod m) for every j, then the degree of f modulo m is not defined.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • The solution of a general polynomial congruence can be quite tricky, even for a polynomial with a single variable

$$f(x) := a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_j x^j + \dots + a_J x^J \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \quad (3.3)$$

where the a_j are integers.

- The largest k such that a_k ≠ 0 (mod m) is the degree of f modulo m.
- If a_j ≡ 0 (mod m) for every j, then the degree of f modulo m is not defined.
- We have already seen that

$$x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

is solved by any odd x, so that it has four solutions modulo 8, $x \equiv 1$, 3, 5, 7 (mod 8).

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • The solution of a general polynomial congruence can be quite tricky, even for a polynomial with a single variable

$$f(x) := a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_j x^j + \dots + a_J x^J \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$$
 (3.3)

where the a_j are integers.

1

- The largest k such that a_k ≠ 0 (mod m) is the degree of f modulo m.
- If a_j ≡ 0 (mod m) for every j, then the degree of f modulo m is not defined.
- We have already seen that

$$x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$$

is solved by any odd x, so that it has four solutions modulo 8, $x \equiv 1$, 3, 5, 7 (mod 8).

• That is, more than the degree 2. However, when the modulus is prime we have a more familiar conclusion.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • When we have a solution x to a polynomial congruence such as (3.3) we may sometimes refer to such values as a *root* of the polynomial modulo m.

Theorem 26 (Lagrange)

Suppose that p is prime, and $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_jx^j + \cdots$ is a polynomial with integer coefficients a_j and it has degree k modulo p. Then the number of incongruent solutions of

 $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

is at most k.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • When we have a solution x to a polynomial congruence such as (3.3) we may sometimes refer to such values as a *root* of the polynomial modulo m.

Theorem 26 (Lagrange)

Suppose that p is prime, and $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_jx^j + \cdots$ is a polynomial with integer coefficients a_j and it has degree k modulo p. Then the number of incongruent solutions of

$$f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

is at most k.

• **Proof.** Degree 0 is obvious so we suppose $k \ge 1$.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • When we have a solution x to a polynomial congruence such as (3.3) we may sometimes refer to such values as a *root* of the polynomial modulo m.

Theorem 26 (Lagrange)

Suppose that p is prime, and $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_jx^j + \cdots$ is a polynomial with integer coefficients a_j and it has degree k modulo p. Then the number of incongruent solutions of

$$f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

is at most k.

- **Proof.** Degree 0 is obvious so we suppose $k \ge 1$.
- We use induction on the degree k.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • When we have a solution x to a polynomial congruence such as (3.3) we may sometimes refer to such values as a *root* of the polynomial modulo m.

Theorem 26 (Lagrange)

Suppose that p is prime, and $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_jx^j + \cdots$ is a polynomial with integer coefficients a_j and it has degree k modulo p. Then the number of incongruent solutions of

 $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$

is at most k.

- **Proof.** Degree 0 is obvious so we suppose $k \ge 1$.
- We use induction on the degree k.
- If a polynomial f has degree 1 modulo p, so that
 f(x) = a₀ + a₁x with p ∤ a₁, then the congruence becomes
 a₁x ≡ -a₀ (mod p) and since a₁ ≠ 0 (mod p) (because
 f has degree 1) we know that this is soluble by precisely
 the members of a unique residue class modulo p.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruence:

General polynomial congruences • Now suppose that the conclusion holds for all polynomials of a given degree k and suppose that

▲ロト ▲周ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨー のくで

 $f = a_0 + \cdots + a_{k+1} x^{k+1}$ has degree k + 1.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

- Now suppose that the conclusion holds for all polynomials of a given degree k and suppose that
 - $f = a_0 + \cdots + a_{k+1} x^{k+1}$ has degree k+1.
- If $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ has no solutions, then we are done.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

- Now suppose that the conclusion holds for all polynomials of a given degree k and suppose that
 - $f = a_0 + \cdots + a_{k+1} x^{k+1}$ has degree k + 1.
- If $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ has no solutions, then we are done.
- Hence we may assume at least one, say $x \equiv x_0 \pmod{p}$.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

- Now suppose that the conclusion holds for all polynomials of a given degree k and suppose that
 - $f = a_0 + \cdots + a_{k+1} x^{k+1}$ has degree k+1.
- If $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ has no solutions, then we are done.
- Hence we may assume at least one, say $x \equiv x_0 \pmod{p}$.
- By the division algorithm for polynomials we have

$$f(x) = (x - x_0)q(x) + f(x_0)$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

- Now suppose that the conclusion holds for all polynomials of a given degree k and suppose that
 - $f = a_0 + \cdots + a_{k+1} x^{k+1}$ has degree k+1.
- If $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ has no solutions, then we are done.
- Hence we may assume at least one, say $x \equiv x_0 \pmod{p}$.
- By the division algorithm for polynomials we have

$$f(x) = (x - x_0)q(x) + f(x_0)$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

where q(x) is a polynomial of degree k.

 Moreover the leading coefficient of q(x) is a_{k+1} ≠ 0 (mod p).

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

- Now suppose that the conclusion holds for all polynomials of a given degree k and suppose that
 - $f = a_0 + \cdots + a_{k+1} x^{k+1}$ has degree k+1.
- If $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ has no solutions, then we are done.
- Hence we may assume at least one, say $x \equiv x_0 \pmod{p}$.
- By the division algorithm for polynomials we have

$$f(x) = (x - x_0)q(x) + f(x_0)$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

- Moreover the leading coefficient of q(x) is a_{k+1} ≠ 0 (mod p).
- But $f(x_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, so that $f(x) \equiv (x x_0)q(x) \pmod{p}$.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

- Now suppose that the conclusion holds for all polynomials of a given degree k and suppose that
 - $f = a_0 + \cdots + a_{k+1} x^{k+1}$ has degree k + 1.
- If $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ has no solutions, then we are done.
- Hence we may assume at least one, say $x \equiv x_0 \pmod{p}$.
- By the division algorithm for polynomials we have

$$f(x) = (x - x_0)q(x) + f(x_0)$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ◆ ●

- Moreover the leading coefficient of q(x) is a_{k+1} ≠ 0 (mod p).
- But $f(x_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, so that $f(x) \equiv (x x_0)q(x) \pmod{p}$.
- If $f(x_1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, with $x_1 \not\equiv x_0 \pmod{p}$, then $p \nmid x_1 x_0$ so that $p \mid q(x_1)$.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

- Now suppose that the conclusion holds for all polynomials of a given degree k and suppose that
 - $f = a_0 + \cdots + a_{k+1} x^{k+1}$ has degree k+1.
- If $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ has no solutions, then we are done.
- Hence we may assume at least one, say $x \equiv x_0 \pmod{p}$.
- By the division algorithm for polynomials we have

$$f(x) = (x - x_0)q(x) + f(x_0)$$

Sac

- Moreover the leading coefficient of q(x) is a_{k+1} ≠ 0 (mod p).
- But $f(x_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, so that $f(x) \equiv (x x_0)q(x) \pmod{p}$.
- If $f(x_1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, with $x_1 \not\equiv x_0 \pmod{p}$, then $p \nmid x_1 x_0$ so that $p \mid q(x_1)$.
- By the inductive hypothesis there are at most k possibilities for x₁, so at most k + 1 in all.

> Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences • Non-linear polynomials in one variable are complicated.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

- Non-linear polynomials in one variable are complicated.
- The general modulus can be reduced to a prime power modulus, and that case can be reduced to the prime modulus. I will include the theory in the class text for those interested. In general the prime case leads to algebraic number theory.

Robert C. Vaughan

Residue Classes

Linear congruences

General polynomial congruences

- Non-linear polynomials in one variable are complicated.
- The general modulus can be reduced to a prime power modulus, and that case can be reduced to the prime modulus. I will include the theory in the class text for those interested. In general the prime case leads to algebraic number theory.
- The quadratic case we will need and will look at later.