The proof of Corollary 3.5.(4) in the paper [1] is wrong, since f does not meet the invertibility requirement of Theorem 4. The error cannot be fixed: Corollary 3.5.(4) is false, as a consequence of the results of [2].

Note that Corollary 3.5.(4) concerns only a specific example. The other results stated in [1] stand.

References

[1] J. Bochi, M. Viana. Lyapunov exponents: How frequently are dynamical systems hyperbolic? Modern dynamical systems and applications, 271–297, Brin, Hasselblatt, Pesin (eds.) Cambridge Univ. Press, 2004.
[2] M. Viana, J. Yang. Continuity of Lyapunov exponents in the C^0 topology. Preprint arXiv:1612.09361