Theorems 00000 Other classes?

Proofs: random i.i.d

Proofs: flexibility

Angles between Oseledets spaces

Jairo Bochi (Penn State)

Joint work with Pablo Lessa (UDELAR, Uruguay)

Midwest Dynamical Systems Conference Northwestern University April 26, 2025

A naive question	Theorems	Other classes?	Proofs: random i.i.d.	Proofs: flexibility
●00000	00000	0000	00000000000	00000000
Setting				

- A measurable linear 2D cocycle consists of:
 - (Ω, S, μ) a probability space;
 - $T: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ an ergodic automorphism;
 - $F: \Omega \rightarrow GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ a measurable function.

The cocycle products $F^{(n)}(\omega)$ (where $\omega \in \Omega$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$) are defined by:

$$F^{(1)}(\omega) = F(\omega)$$
$$F^{(n+m)}(\omega) = F^{(n)}(T^m\omega)F^{(m)}(\omega)$$

F is called *log-integrable* if

$$\int_{\Omega} \underbrace{\log \max \left(\|F(\omega)\|, \|F(\omega)^{-1}\| \right)}_{\text{automatically} \geq 1} \ d\mu(\omega) < \infty \,.$$



A special case: one-step cocycles.

- $\Omega = A^{\mathbb{Z}}$ product space, $\mu =$ product (Bernoulli) measure $\pi^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}}$ on Ω
- $T = \sigma = \text{shift on } \Omega$
- $F: \Omega \to GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ measurable such that $F(\omega)$ only depends on ω_0

Let $\nu \coloneqq F_*(\mu) = \text{probability}$ measure on $GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ – it contains all the relevant information.

The cocycle is log-integrable iff ν has *finite first moment*:

$$\int_{\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{R})} \log \max(\|g\|, \|g^{-1}\|) \, d\nu(g) < \infty \, .$$

 A naive question coooco
 Theorems cooco
 Other classes? cooco
 Proofs: random i.i.d. cooco
 Proofs: flexibility coocococo

 Lyapunov exponents and Oseledets spaces

If (T, F) is a measurable log-integrable 2D cocycle, then Oseledets theorem applies, giving Lyapunov exponents $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2$ and Oseledets spaces (defined for μ -a.e. ω)

$$E_i(\omega) \coloneqq \left\{ v \in \mathbb{R}^2 ; v = 0 \text{ or } \lim_{n \to \pm \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|F^{(n)}(\omega)v\| = \lambda_i \right\}.$$



Other classes?

Proofs: random i.i.d.

Proofs: flexibility



Valeriy Iustinovich Oseledets 25 May 1940 – 13 March 2025



If a cocycle has distinct Lyapunov exponents $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$, then the Oseledets spaces are 1-dimensional and transverse:

$$\mathbb{R}^2 = E_1(\omega) \oplus E_2(\omega) \quad (\mu$$
-a.e. $\omega)$.

So the following *angle function* is defined μ -a.e.:

$$\theta(\omega) \coloneqq \measuredangle(E^1(\omega), E^2(\omega))$$
.

Angles can be small: essinf $\theta = 0$ is a common feature of *nonuniform hyperbolicity* (if $\lambda_1 > 0 > \lambda_2$)

How small can they be?

A naive question 000000

Theorems 00000 Other classes?

Proofs: random i.i.d.

Proofs: flexibility

Two observations and a question

Proposition (Tempered property, Oseledets 1968)

The angle heta is subexponential along the orbit of μ -a.e. ω :

2

$$\lim_{n\to\pm\infty}\frac{1}{|n|}\log\theta(T^n\omega)=0.$$

Remark

$$f \in L^1(\mu) \Rightarrow \frac{f \circ T^n}{n} \to 0$$
 a.e.

Question

Is $\log \theta$ always integrable?

Answer

NO, even for one-step cocycles!

Products of i.i.d. matrices: an example and a criterion

Theorem (B.–Lessa, 2025)

There exists a probability ν on $GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ with finite **first** moment such that $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$ and $\theta := \measuredangle(E^1, E^2)$ is not log-integrable.

Theorem (B.–Lessa, 2025)

If ν is a probability ν on $GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ with finite **second** moment:

$$\int_{GL(2,\mathbb{R})} \left[\log \max(\|g\|, \|g^{-1}\|) \right]^2 d\nu(g) < \infty$$

and $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$, then $oldsymbol{ heta} \coloneqq \measuredangle(E^1,E^2)$ is log-integrable. \blacklozenge

Theorems

Other classes?

Proofs: random i.i.d.

Proofs: flexibility

Generalization?

Question

Does the previous theorem hold for general (measurable) cocycles? 99

Answer

NO. In fact, no integrability condition on the cocycle is sufficient to guarantee log-integrability of the Oseledets angle function.

Flexibility of Oseledets data for measurable cocycles

Theorem (B.–Lessa, 2025)

Given:

- An ergodic automorphism T of a non-atomic Lebesgue space (Ω, S, μ) ;
- a locally bounded function $N: \operatorname{GL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $N(g) \ge \max(||g||, ||g^{-1}||);$
- numbers $r_1 > r_2$;
- a Borel probability measure η on $\mathbb{R}P^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^1 \setminus \Delta$;

Then there exists a measurable $F: \Omega \to GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ with $\boxed{N \circ F \in L^1(\mu)}$ s.t. the cocycle (T, F) has Lyapunov exponents $\lambda_1 = r_1, \lambda_2 = r_2$, and the Oseledets spaces have joint distribution η – that is, $(E_1, E_2)_* \mu = \eta$.

Bounded cocycles

Question

Can we take $F \in L^{\infty}$ in the previous theorem?

Answer

NO. If the cocycle is bounded, then the distribution ζ of log sin $\measuredangle(E_1, E_2)$ must have **bounded gaps**.

Definition

Let ζ be a Borel probability measure on \mathbb{R} . A *gap* of ζ is a bounded connected component of $\mathbb{R} \setminus \text{supp}(\zeta)$. If the lengths of gaps of ζ are bounded above, then we say that ζ has *bounded gaps*.



Bounded gaps are the only obstruction for flexibility:

Theorem (B.–Lessa, 2025)

Given:

- An ergodic automorphism T of a non-atomic Lebesgue space (Ω, S, μ) ;
- numbers $r_1 > r_2$;
- a Borel probability measure η on ℝP¹ × ℝP¹ \ Δ s.t. (log sin ∡)_{*}η has bounded gaps;

Then there exists a bounded measurable $F: \Omega \to GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ s.t. the cocycle (T, F) has Lyapunov exponents $\lambda_1 = r_1$, $\lambda_2 = r_2$, and the Oseledets spaces have joint distribution η .

A naive question

Theorems

Other classes?

Proofs: random i.i.d

Proofs: flexibility

Two general questions

Question

Take your favorite class of linear cocycles.

What can you say about the distribution of Oseledets angles?

Question

Do quantitative properties of the distribution of Oseledets angles have interesting dynamical consequences?

For Schrödinger cocycles, the regularity of the integrated density of states is related to the distribution of Oseledets angles.



$$f(x,y) = (1 - ax^2 + y, bx)$$
 with $\mu =$ alleged SRB

Oseledets spaces E_1 and E_2 for a = 1.4, b = 0.4.



Plot by Mauro Artigiani, 2013.



 $f(x, y) = (1 - ax^2 + y, bx)$ with $\mu =$ alleged SRB

Distribution of $\measuredangle(E_1, E_2)$ for a = 1.179, b = 0.3.



Plot by Anishchenko, Vadivasova, Strelkova, and Kopeikin, 1998.

The following is a consequence of recent (Jan. 2025) work of Buzzi, Crovisier, and Sarig on exponential mixing for SPR diffeos:

Corollary

Let $f: M \to M$ be a topologically mixing C^{∞} diffeomorphism of a compact surface M with $h_{top}(f) > 0$. Let μ be the (necessarily unique) measure of maximal entropy. Then $\log \measuredangle(E_1, E_2) \in L^1(\mu)$ (with its distribution satisfying a power bound).

Thanks Snir Ben Ovadia for this observation.

Products of i.i.d. matrices: recap

 $u = \mathsf{probability} \text{ on } \mathsf{GL}(2,\mathbb{R})$

$$p^{\mathsf{th}}$$
 moment of $\mathcal{V} \coloneqq \int_{\mathsf{GL}(2,\mathbb{R})} \left[\log \max(\|g\|, \|g^{-1}\|) \right]^p d\mathcal{V}(g)$

Theorem (Example of non-log-integrable angle)

There exists a probability ν on GL(2, \mathbb{R}) with finite **first** moment such that $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$ and $\theta := \measuredangle(E^1, E^2)$ is not log-integrable.

Theorem (Criterion of log-integrability)

If ν is a probability ν on GL(2, \mathbb{R}) with finite **second** moment and $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$, then $\theta := \measuredangle(E^1, E^2)$ is log-integrable.

If (T, F) is any log-integrable 2D cocycle with $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$, the Oseledets direction $E_1(\omega)$ only depends on the past orbit $(T^n \omega)_{n < 0}$, while $E_2(\omega)$ only depends on the future orbit $(T^n \omega)_{n \ge 0}$.

In particular, if the cocycle is one-step, then

$$\begin{split} E_1(\omega) &= E_1(\omega^-), \quad \omega^- = (\ldots, \omega_{-2}, \omega_{-1}), \\ E_2(\omega) &= E_2(\omega^+), \quad \omega^+ = (\omega_0, \omega_1, \ldots). \end{split}$$

So the two directions E_1 , E_2 are **independent**, i.e., their joint distribution is a product measure $m_1 \times m_2$, where m_1 and m_2 are measures on \mathbb{RP}^1 called *Furstenberg measures*.



			0000		0000000
000000 0000000 000000000000000000000000	000000	00000	0000	0000000000	00000000

$$\int_{\Omega} |\log \sin \theta| \, d\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{P^1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{P^1}} |\log \sin \measuredangle(\xi_1, \xi_2)| \, dm_1(\xi_1) \, dm_2(\xi_2).$$

Theorem (Benoist–Quint, 2016)

If the matrix distribution v has finite second moment and is strongly irreducible, then the function f above is continuous.



So our Theorem 2 (the criterion for log-integrability) holds true in the strongly irreducible case. \checkmark

 A naive question
 Theorems
 Other classes?
 Proofs: random i.i.d.
 Proofs: flexibility

 00000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000

 Reduction to the reducible case
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000

Suppose ν is *not* strongly irreducible. This means that there is a finite set $S \subset \mathbb{RP}^1$ such that g(S) = S for ν -a.e. $g \in GL(2, \mathbb{R})$.

• If
$$\#S \geq$$
 3, then $\lambda_1(
u) = \lambda_2(
u)$: nothing to do. 🗸

• If
$$\#S = 2$$
, then either $\lambda_1(\nu) = \lambda_2(\nu)$ or $\{E_1(\omega), E_2(\omega)\} = S$ for a.e. ω : nothing to do. \checkmark

Reducible case

WLOG (after change of basis, rescaling, time reversal),

$$F(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} a(\omega_0) & b(\omega_0) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \int \log |a| < 0.$$

Lemma (Oseledets data for triangular cocycle)

$$egin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= 0\,, & \lambda_2 &= \int \log |a|\,, \ E_1(\omega) &= \operatorname{span} egin{pmatrix} X(\omega) \ 1 \end{pmatrix}, & E_2(\omega) &= \operatorname{span} egin{pmatrix} 1 \ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

$$X(\omega) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(T^{-1}\omega)a(T^{-2}\omega)\cdots a(T^{-n}\omega)b(T^{-n-1}\omega).$$

Note: $\log \sin \measuredangle (E_1, E_2) \in L^1 \iff \log^+ |X| \in L^1$

 A naive question
 Theorems
 Other classes?
 Proofs: random i.i.d.
 Proofs: flexibility

 00000
 0000
 0000
 00000000
 00000000

Proof of Theorem 1: an example with $\log \theta \notin L^1$

We leave Theorem 2 in limbo, and prove Theorem 1. Here's an explicit example:

$$F(\omega) \coloneqq \begin{pmatrix} e^{-1} & e^{\psi(\omega_0)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } \psi \in L^1 \smallsetminus L^2, \ \psi \ge 0.$$

Proof: The previous formula becomes

$$X(\omega) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{\psi(\omega_{-n-1})-n}$$

WTS:
$$\log X \notin L^1$$
. (Note that $X \ge 1$, since $\psi \ge 0$.)
We use the bound $X(\omega) \ge e^{Y(\omega)}$, where
 $Y(\omega) \coloneqq \sup_{n\ge 0} \left[\psi(\omega_{-n-1}) - n \right].$

0000	000	00000	0000	000000000000	00000000
	Lemma				
	Given ψ 🤅	$\equiv L^1, \ \psi \geq 0,$	let		
		Y(u	$(\omega) \coloneqq \sup_{n \ge 0} \Big[\psi(\omega_n) \Big]$	(-n-1)-n].	
	Then Y 🤅	$\equiv L^1 \iff \psi \in$	≡ L ² .		

Proof: By the "layer cake formula",

$$\int Y \, d\mu < \infty \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \underbrace{\mu[Y \ge k]}_{b_k} < \infty \,.$$

$$1 - b_k = \mu[Y < k]$$

$$= \mu \Big[\psi(\omega_{-n-1}) < n+k, \ \forall n \ge 0 \Big]$$

$$= \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - a_{n+k}) \text{ with } \boxed{a_j := \mu[\psi \ge j]} \quad (\text{independence}).$$

naive question Theorems Other classes? **Proofs: random i.i.d.** Proofs: flexibilit

Proof of the Lemma (continued): $a_j := \mu[\psi \ge j]$, with $\psi \in L^1$, so $0 \le a_j \le 1$ and $(a_j) \in \ell^1$.

$$b_k = \left[1 - \prod_{j=k}^{\infty} (1 - a_j) \asymp \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} a_j\right].$$

Compare:
$$\left(\bigcap A_j^c\right)^c = \bigcup A_j$$

$$Y \in L^{1} \iff \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k} < \infty$$
$$\iff \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} a_{j} < \infty$$
$$\iff \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j a_{j} < \infty$$
$$\iff \Psi \in L^{2} \qquad \Box \text{ (Lemma and Thrm 1).}$$



We are reduced to the case

$$F(\omega) := \begin{pmatrix} \pm e^{\phi(\omega_0)} & \pm e^{\psi(\omega_0)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \phi \in L^2, \ \psi^+ \in L^2, \ \int \phi < 0.$$

WTS: $\log^+ |X| \in L^1$, where

$$X(\omega) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \pm e^{S_n(\omega)}, \quad S_n(\omega) \coloneqq \psi(\omega_{-n-1}) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(\omega_n).$$

A naive question	Theorems 00000	Other classes?	Proofs: random i.i.d. 00000000€00	Proofs: flexibility

Proof of Theorem 2 (continued): Let c > 0.

$$S_{n}(\omega) \leq \Psi^{+}(\omega_{-n-1}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi(\omega_{n})$$

= $-cn + [\Psi^{+}(\omega_{-n-1}) - cn] + [2cn + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi(\omega_{-i})]$
 $\leq -cn + Y(\omega) + Z(\omega),$

where Y and Z are defined by taking $\sup_{n\geq 0} [\cdots]$.

Previous Lemma applied to $c^{-1}\psi^+ \in L^2$ gives $Y \in L^1$.

A naive question	Theorems	Other classes?	Proofs: random i.i.d.	Proofs: flexibility
			000000000000	

Proof of Theorem 2 (continued): c > 0 small $\Rightarrow \int (2c + \phi) < 0 \Rightarrow$

$$Z(\omega) := \sup_{n\geq 0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (2c + \phi(\omega_{-i}))$$

- rightmost position of a random walk with
 drift to the left and square-integrable steps
- \in L¹ by Kiefer and Wolfowitz (1956).





A naive question	Theorems	Other classes?	Proofs: random i.i.d.	Proofs: flexibility
			00000000000	

Proof of Theorem 2 (finale):

$$\begin{aligned} X(\omega)| &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{S_n(\omega)} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-cn+Y(\omega)+Z(\omega)} \\ &\leq \text{Const.} e^{Y(\omega)+Z(\omega)} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore:

$$\log^+ |X(\omega)| \le \text{Const} + Y(\omega) + Z(\omega)$$

 $\in L^1.$

A naive question	Theorems 00000	Other classes?	Proofs: random i.i.d. 000000000000	Proofs: flexibility •0000000

Theorem

Given:

- An ergodic automorphism T of non-atomic Lebesgue space (Ω, S, μ);
- a locally bounded function $N: \operatorname{GL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $N(g) \ge \max(||g||, ||g^{-1}||);$
- numbers $r_1 > r_2$;
- a Borel probability measure η on $\mathbb{R}P^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^1 \smallsetminus \Delta$;

Then there exists a measurable $F: \Omega \rightarrow GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ with

 $N \circ F \in L^{1}(\mu)$ s.t. the cocycle (T, F) has Lyapunov exponents $\lambda_{1} = r_{1}, \lambda_{2} = r_{2}$, and the Oseledets spaces have joint distribution η – that is, $(E_{1}, E_{2})_{*}\mu = \eta$.

 A naive question
 Theorems
 Other classes?
 Proofs: random i.i.d.
 Proofs: flexibility

 Flexibility for measurable cocycles

Theorem (Simplified statement 😔)

Given:

- An ergodic automorphism T of non-atomic Lebesgue space (Ω, S, μ);
- the function $N: \operatorname{GL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $N(g) := \max(||g||, ||g^{-1}||);$
- numbers $r_1 > r_2$;
- a Borel probability measure η on $(0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$;

Then there exists a measurable $F: \Omega \to GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ with $\boxed{N \circ F \in L^1(\mu)}$ s.t. the cocycle (T, F) has Lyapunov exponents $\lambda_1 = r_1, \lambda_2 = r_2$, and the Oseledets angle $\theta = \measuredangle(E^1, E^2)$ has distribution η – that is, $\theta_*\mu = \eta$.

Nearly invariant functions with prescribed distributions

Lemma

Given:

- An ergodic automorphism T of non-atomic Lebesgue space (Ω, S, μ);
- a Borel probability measure η on \mathbb{R} ;
- ε > 0.

Then there exists a measurable function $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ with

$$f_*\mu = \eta$$
 and $||f \circ T - f||_{L^1(\mu)} < \varepsilon$.

Remark

There is an L^{∞} version of the Lemma (under a bounded gap assumption), which allows to prove Theorem 4 (Oseledets flexibility for bounded cocycles).



The Lemma gives $\alpha \colon \Omega \to (0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ with the prescribed distribution and $||f \circ T - f||_{L^1(\mu)} < \varepsilon$, where $f = \log \sin \frac{\alpha}{2}$.

Choice of the matrices:



Adjust stretch factors to get the desired Lyapunov exponents (no stretch when angles are too small).

So we are left to prove the Lemma...

Skyscraper decomposition

Given an ergodic autom. $T: (\Omega, \mu) \rightarrow (\Omega, \mu)$ and a measble. set $B \subseteq \Omega$ with $\mu(B) > 0$, let B_k be the set of points in B whose first return to B occurs at time k. The Kakutani skyscraper

. . .

decomposition is
$$\Omega = \bigsqcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigsqcup_{i=0}^{k-1} T^i(B_k) \mod 0.$$





Kac's lemma	د د			
A naive question	Theorems 00000	Other classes?	Proofs: random i.i.d. 00000000000	Proofs: flexibility

The measure of the k^{th} tower is:

$$\pi_k = k\mu(B_k)$$

In particular,

Equivalently, the average return time to B is
$$\frac{1}{\mu(B)}$$
 (Kac's lemma).

 $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \pi_k = 1$



A naive question

Proofs: random i.i.d. 00000000000 Proofs: flexibility

A converse to Kac's lemma: flexibility of π

Theorem (Alpern–Prasad, 1990)

If T is an ergodic automorphism of a non-atomic Lebesgue space and $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, ...)$ is s.t.

$$\pi_k \ge 0$$
, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \pi_k = 1$, GCD{ $k : \pi_k \ne 0$ } = 1,

there exists a skyscraper whose towers have measures as specified by the sequence π .





Example (Rokhlin–Halmos Lemma, 1940s) $\pi_k := \begin{cases} \varepsilon & \text{if } k = 1, \\ 1 - \varepsilon & \text{if } k = n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$



A naive question

Theorems 00000 Other classes?

Proofs: random i.i.d. 000000000000 Proofs: flexibility

Lemma (Nearly invariant f with prescribed distrib.)

Given the automorphism T, a Borel probability measure η on \mathbb{R} , and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a measurable function $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ with $f_*\mu = \eta$ and $||f \circ T - f||_{L^1(\mu)} < \varepsilon$.

Proof:

- Decompose η as a convex combination $\sum p_n \eta_n$ of compactly supported measures.
- Take a sequence $k_n \nearrow \infty$ fast, with GCD = 1.
- By Alpern–Prasad, there is a skyscraper composed of towers of heights k_1, k_2, \ldots and corresponding masses p_1, p_2, \ldots
- Choose a function f which is invariant on the tower of height k_n and has distribution η_n .
- Estimate the L¹ norm...